1		DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF VIRGINIA DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	:
4	Plaintiff,	
5	V.	: No. 1:23-cr-00081 :
6	HAILONG ZHU,	: : September 7, 2023 : 8:56 a.m.
7	Defendant.	:
8	berendane.	· VOTUME 5
9		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF JURY BEFORE THE HONORABLE M UNITED STATES DISTRIC	MICHAEL S. NACHMANOFF,
11		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
12	APPEARANCES:	
13	A.	NITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE lexandra Zoe Bedell, AUSA
14	2:	tefanie Schwartz, AUSA 100 Jamieson Avenue lexandria, VA 22314
15		FFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC
16	DI	EFENDER eremy Kamens, Federal Defender
17	Na	athaniel Wenstrup, AFPD 650 King Street, Suite 500
18		lexandria, VA 22314
19		FN LAW PLLC ngus Fei Ni, Esquire
20	5	04 2nd Ave 4th Floor
21		eattle, WA 98104
22		andarin Interpreters: udith Shapiro
23		enee Wang
24		
25	(Continued)	
J		

1	(Continued)
2	Court Reporter: Diane Salters, B.S., CSR, RPR, RCR Official Court Reporter
3	United States District Court 401 Courthouse Square Alexandria, VA 22314
5	Email: Dianesalters.edva@gmail.com Telephone: (301) 338-8033
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	Proceedings reported by machine shorthand. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
	Diane Salters R S CSR RPR RCR

·	
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	EXAMINATION
3	WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
4	JEFFREY SHI
5	
6	BY MS. SCHWARTZ 9 BY MR. NI 13
7	RICHARD CRUZ
8	BY MS. BEDELL 31
9	CHRISTOPHER SAUNDERS
10	BY MS. BEDELL 45 BY MR. WENSTRUP 130
11	GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBITS
12	NUMBER PAGE
13	
14	5-2, 5-3, 5-4, 5-5, 5-7, 5-9, and 5-15 16-2 16-4
15	16-4 16-5 16-13
16	10-15A and 10-16A 60 5-6, 5-8, and 5-10 85
17	5-11 and 5-13 5-12
18	5-14
19	6-2, 6-3, and 6-5 6-4 and 6-6 101
20	7-2 through 7-7 102 8-2 through 8-5 107
21	9-2, 9-3, 9-4, 9-6, 9-6A, and 9-7 111 16-10 and 16-11 123
22	16-9 and 16-9B 126
23	
24	
25	
	Diana dalkawa D.G. dan DDD Dan

```
Proceedings
```

```
THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: United States of America v.
1
     Hailong Zhu, Case Number 1:23-cr-81. Will the parties please
2
     note their appearances for the record.
3
              MS. BEDELL: Good morning, Your Honor. Zoe Bedell and
4
     Stephanie Schwartz for the United States.
5
              THE COURT: Good morning.
6
7
              MR. KAMENS: Good morning, Your Honor. Geremy Kamens,
     Nate Wenstrup, and Angus Ni for Mr. Zhu, who's present.
8
              Can I ask the Court's indulgence for one moment?
9
10
              THE COURT: Yes.
               (Whereupon, there was a brief pause in the
11
     proceedings.)
12
              MR. KAMENS: This is a small point, Your Honor:
13
     Yesterday during the testimony of Yang Wen, the linguist, the
14
     government pointed him to a portion of the transcript in, I
15
     believe, 15-2A where the linguist had put a note where he
16
     identified a misunderstanding between Agent Shi and Mr. Zhu.
17
     That portion of the transcript is not in the portion that was
18
     admitted, 15-2B, and I would just ask that that portion be added.
19
20
     The government opposes that request, but if the jury, having
     heard that testimony, goes to look to see where is that, it won't
21
22
     be there. So we would ask, since the government pointed that
     out, that it be added, that even that line be added with the
23
24
     translator's note.
              MS. BEDELL: Given a second to think about it more, I
25
```

Proceedings

think if we just limited it to that very narrow line or -- I might need to look at that whole excerpt that we were looking at, but I think we would be okay with that, Your Honor, if we could have a moment to look at that before that ultimately is added.

MR. KAMENS: I just mentioned it to the government now,

THE COURT: That's fine. You can raise it later. I'm certainly not inclined, and I did not understand Mr. Kamens to be asking, to admit the entire large item, but to the extent he's asking for that one paragraph where those particular lines were discussed be admitted and the government doesn't object, I'd permit a redacted version of 15-2A to be admitted, but you can address that to me jointly after you've had a chance to think about it.

Are there any other issues that either side needs to raise?

MS. BEDELL: No, Your Honor.

MR. KAMENS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I am hopeful, and, maybe, unrealistically so, that, perhaps, we'll be able to move to the rest of this case and get to the jury instructions sooner rather than later. I will have jury instruction for you to review. I've looked at, carefully, your briefs and the disputed instructions. We've also looked very carefully at the joint instructions, and I will be giving the bulk of them, but there are some changes, but

Proceedings

stylistic and substantive, that I've made and I want you-all to be aware of those.

I believe that, perhaps, we've sent a verdict form. If not, we will do so this morning. Again, this is a very simple case, so I don't imagine there will be controversy about that. I don't know whether the parties have asked or considered whether or not some version of the indictment should go back with the jury; but if so, then I wanted to address that, because it would obviously have to be redacted in an appropriate way. I'm not suggesting that it should or shouldn't. I just want to think about it in the event that either the jury asks about it or the parties believe that it should go back in some form, because it will need to be redacted if that's the case. So you-all can talk about that and get back to me on it.

Let me thank our interpreters who are here with us this morning. They remain under oath from the beginning of the trial, so we don't need to put them under oath again.

We're missing one juror. Let me just think about any other matters. I saw the updated witness list, so as I understand it, after Agent Shi is finished, there are two more law enforcement officers that will make up the government's case in chief, and then we'll see whether the defense wishes to present any evidence in their case.

I'm also going to want the parties to confer about what exhibits have come in with each other and then with Ms. Creek so

Proceedings

that we have no confusion before the government rests as to exactly what's coming in and what is not.

MS. BEDELL: Your Honor, do you send an exhibit list back with the jury? Is that something that you consider?

THE COURT: Well, again, that's not a bad idea. My view is that anything that helps the jury sift through an enormous amount of material will be helpful, but you would have to provide an updated and redacted index, which I would want you-all to confer on before that.

MS. BEDELL: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. KAMENS: We're certainly not opposed to an index as long as there's not substantive information in the titles that would --

THE COURT: And to be clear with the parties, my practice is to give the jury instructions prior to closing arguments so that you have the opportunity to, essentially, have the last word with the jury. I'll give some very final instructions about picking the foreperson and the standard language, but I will give the bulk of the instructions prior to closing arguments.

MR. KAMENS: Understood.

THE COURT: We're missing one juror. It's just nine o'clock now. If you can have Agent Shi available and ready to start, I'll take a brief recess; and as soon as the final juror is here, we'll bring them in.

Proceedings

```
Court will be in recess.
1
               (Whereupon, a recess in the proceedings occurred from
2
     9:03 a.m. until 9:05 a.m.)
3
               (Whereupon, the witness takes the stand.)
 4
               THE COURT: You may bring in the jury.
 5
               (Jury in at 9:06 a.m.)
 6
               THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the
7
     jury. Welcome back. Thank you for coming early. We appreciate
8
     it. We handled some preliminary matters, and we'll take up the
9
     testimony of Agent Shi in one moment.
10
              Let me first confirm that you were able to follow all
11
     of the instructions of the Court, and that between last night and
12
     this morning, you did not discuss the case with each other or
13
     with anyone else; that you did not conduct any independent
14
     research on the internet or learn anything about the case and
15
     otherwise follow the Court's instructions; is that correct?
16
               I see you are all nodding your heads. Thank you very
17
     much.
18
              Hopefully, we'll be able to get through a lot today,
19
20
     and we appreciate your patience and your devotion to this matter.
              Are the parties ready to proceed?
21
              MS. BEDELL: Yes, Your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: Agent Shi, you remain under oath, and
23
24
     counsel may continue direct examination.
     /////
25
```

-Shi - Direct - Schwartz-

- 1 (JEFFREY SHI, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN)
- 2 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
- 4 Q. Good morning, Special Agent Shi.
- 5 A. Good morning.
- 6 Q. I would like to direct your attention back to
- 7 Exhibit 15-2B, page 14. So towards the bottom of page 14, you
- 8 mentioned earlier that you asked him repeatedly when he stopped
- 9 working with others; is that correct?
- 10 **A.** I did.
- 11 Q. And directing your attention to lines 564 through 566 and
- then line 568, what was his response?
- 13 A. [As read]: Zhu: When I first got there about two months
- 14 | ago, I decided not to do it. I started to refuse to do it
- 15 because he said -- for the first month after I got there, he
- 16 | said he'd give me the money, but he didn't. He said he would
- 17 | give me the next month. Then later, still no money. I said I
- 18 | would not do it.
- 19 Shi: Mhm, it was during -- during October to
- 20 November 2022?
- 21 Zhu: Yes, yes. They promised the money, but they ended
- 22 | up not giving me the money. I then said I will not do it.
- 23 | Q. Directing your attention to the top of page 15, did you
- 24 ask him anything about his living arrangements while he was in
- Los Angeles?

-Shi - Direct - Schwartz

- 1 **A.** I did.
- Q. What did he tell you? I direct you to line 603.
- 3 A. He told me that the people he worked with paid his rent.
- 4 Q. And directing you to the middle of the page, did you ask
- 5 him during the interview how he communicated with the people he
- 6 was working with?
- 7 **A.** I did.
- 8 Q. And how did he tell you he communicated with them?
- 9 A. He told me he communicated with them through WeChat,
- which is a Chinese social media messaging app.
- 11 Q. Did he say whether he still had the WeChats between him
- and the people he was working with?
- 13 A. He told me he deleted the chats.
- 14 Q. Did he say they communicated in other ways besides
- 15 WeChat?
- 16 A. He did.
- 17 **Q.** And directing you to the bottom of page 15, lines 683 to
- 18 686, what did he say -- what other means did he say they used to
- 19 speak?
- 20 A. He told me that they sometimes talked over the phone.
- 21 Q. Directing you to the top of page 16, did you ask him
- 22 about the two businesses he opened and whether he had ever
- performed services for those businesses?
- 24 **A.** I did.
- 25 Q. And directing you to line 722, what did he say?

-Shi - Direct - Schwartz

- 1 A. "Never."
- 2 **Q.** And 724?
- 3 **A.** "Never."
- Q. At the bottom of page 16, can you characterize what these
- few lines -- what you're discussing with him in these few lines?
- 6 A. I asked him whether he thought it was normal to open a
- business, labeling as a business in construction but not
- 8 actually performing any construction work with these businesses.
- 9 I asked him whether or not he thought it was -- it was an odd
- 10 thing, a wrong thing to do, and he told me that, at first, he
- didn't know; but, later, he realized it was wrong and stopped
- doing it, and he stopped because he wasn't getting the money
- 13 that he was promised.
- 14 Q. And can you read line 737?
- 15 A. "Zhu: Later, I realized I didn't get the -- later, I
- 16 realized that this was not a legitimate thing. Later -- they
- 17 didn't give me the money. I didn't get any money."
- 18 Q. Directing you to the top of page 17, did you ask him
- about whether after that October/November time frame he's
- 20 | mentioned repeatedly, whether he worked with them at all again?
- 21 **A.** I did.
- 22 **Q.** What did he say?
- 23 A. He said after November -- October to November of 2022, he
- opened one more bank account because he was promised \$5,000.
- 25 \mathbf{Q} . And directing you to the bottom of page 17, did he say

- whether he got that \$5,000?
- 2 **A.** Yes. He never got the \$5,000.
- Q. And can you read lines 786 through 789?
- 4 A. "Shi: Isn't it -- you just told us that in 2022, around
- October and November, when you realized that the business, the
- 6 | thing you were doing, was against law, illegal, right?
- 7 Zhu: Right.
- Shi: So, then why did you wait another few months before
- 9 helping them again, helped them do it a couple of times? Oh,
- 10 they gave you that \$5,000.
- 211 Zhu: He said -- he said he would give me the money. But
- 12 he waited so long, he never gave me -- never give me money."
- 13 Q. So, generally, during this interview, about how long was
- 14 it? How long were you speaking with Mr. Zhu?
- 15 A. It was approximately two hours.
- 16 Q. And over that two hours, how would you characterize your
- 17 interactions with him?
- 18 A. I would say the defendant was polite and respectful,
- 19 smart and well spoken. I would say he was being truthful with
- 20 | me in some things, such as when he told me that he was recruited
- 21 by people higher up to commit the fraud, and that they scammed
- 22 | him and didn't pay him the full money he was promised. I don't
- 23 think he was being as truth- --
- MR. NI: Objection; speculation.
- THE COURT: Sustained.

- 1 BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
- Q. At any point, did you show the defendant a picture of
- anyone you believed him to be working with?
- 4 **A.** I did.
- 5 Q. I would now like to show you what's been admitted as
- 6 Government's Exhibit 16-18.
- 7 MS. SCHWARTZ: If we could publish that.
- 8 (Exhibit published.)
- 9 BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
- 10 Q. Is this the photo you showed him?
- 11 **A.** It is.
- 12 Q. And was he able to identify who the person in the photo
- 13 was?
- 14 **A.** He was.
- 15 Q. What did he say about the person in the photo?
- 16 A. He identified the individual to be one of the people who
- 17 recruited him and who worked with him.
- MS. SCHWARTZ: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 20 Cross-examination?
- 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MR. NI:
- 23 Q. Good morning, Agent Shi.
- 24 A. Good morning.
- 25 Q. First, I want to talk about the picture that was just up

- there. When Hailong identified the man and said he knew him, 1
- did he say what his name was? 2
- Α. He did. 3
- What was his name? 4
- I don't recall the Chinese name he identified himself as Α. 5
- at this moment, but it's on my report. 6
- But was it -- did he identify him as Joseph Wong? 7 0.
- He didn't. Α. 8
- Let's talk about the search of Hailong's house. You only 9 Q.
- found bank cards in his own name there; is that correct? 10
- I personally found bank accounts in his own name, 11
- 12 correct.
- You didn't find bank cards in other people's names in his 13 Q.
- 14 possession?
- I can't speak to what my colleagues did, but I personally 15
- didn't find those. 16
- You only found identification in his own name; is that 17
- correct? 18
- Yes, correct. 19 Α.
- 20 Q. No fake passports?
- Not to my knowledge. 21 Α.
- 22 No fake IDs? Q.
- 23 Α. Not to my knowledge.
- 24 Q. You found no drugs?
- 25 Α. No.

- 1 Q. You found no guns?
- 2 **A.** No.
- 3 Q. You found no bank statements of other people's bank
- 4 accounts?
- 5 A. I personally didn't find any bank statements.
- 6 Q. You testified earlier that Hailong told you that he
- deleted all of his chats with Joseph Wong and blocked him when
- 8 they didn't pay him. Did you review Joseph Wong's phones?
- 9 A. I personally didn't review his phones.
- 10 Q. You don't know whether or not the government has in its
- possession Joseph Wong's WeChat records with Hailong, do you?
- 12 **A.** I personally don't know. You'd have to ask the case
- 13 agent.
- 14 Q. Let's talk about your interrogation of Mr. Hailong. You
- were born in China, and you came here at the age of four; is
- 16 | that correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And you testified yesterday you were fluent in Chinese
- 19 and you speak at home regularly and you spoke it with your
- 20 parents; is that correct?
- 21 **A.** Correct. I testified that I'm conversationally fluent in
- 22 Chinese and I speak it at home.
- 23 Q. And you can -- you attended Chinese language school for
- ten years; is that right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. Where you learned to speak, read, and write; is that
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And you can read and write Chinese today?
- 5 A. No. I'm a bit out of practice in reading and writing,
- 6 but I can speak Chinese.
- 7 Q. If I were to put some Chinese language text in front of
- 8 | you, would you be able to recognize some of it?
- 9 A. I wouldn't be able to recognize the Chinese characters,
- 10 | but I can read -- I can recognize and read Chinese pinyin, which
- 11 is a phonetic way of speaking Chinese, and it's the way that
- 12 people commonly type on their keyboards on their phone.
- 13 Q. Understood. Would you mind speaking up a little bit
- 14 more? It's hard for me to hear you.
- 15 **A.** Sure.
- 16 Q. And if I were to read Chinese to you, just directly, you
- 17 | would be able to understand it?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. In your interrogation, it was obvious that Hailong does
- 20 | not speak any English, correct?
- 21 A. Little to no English, correct.
- 22 **Q.** And you spoke to him exclusively fluent in Chinese?
- 23 A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
- 24 **Q.** And you spoke to him exclusively in Chinese?
- 25 A. Yes, in Mandarin Chinese.

- 1 Q. And you had no trouble understanding him?
- 2 A. I could understand fine, for the most part.
- 3 Q. You testified yesterday and today that he told you he was
- being promised \$70,000 but only got \$20,000 -- that's page 7 of
- 5 Government's Exhibit 15-2B -- is that right?
- 6 A. Correct. That is correct, he was promised \$70,000, but
- 7 he had got around \$20,000.
- Q. And you testified that he told you they were telling him
- 9 that he would get paid in a month or so and didn't pay him --
- 10 that's lines -- at page 14 of Government's Exhibit 15-2B -- is
- 11 that right?
- 12 A. I'm sorry, can you repeat? You said that a little bit
- 13 fast.
- 14 Q. So take a look at page 14 of Government's Exhibit 15-2B.
- 15 **A.** Okay.
- 16 Q. You testified that this was him telling you that the
- 17 people he was working with told him he would get paid in a month
- or so, didn't pay him; is that right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. That's also on page 16, line 737 --
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. -- where he said, "Later, I realized -- I didn't get --
- 23 | later, I realized that this was not a legitimate thing --
- 24 | later -- they didn't give me the money, I didn't get any money,"
- 25 right?

- 1 A. (No response.)
- Q. Do you understand that, essentially, what he was trying
- to convey to you was that he was being scammed by these people
- 4 when he didn't get paid?
- 5 A. I think these people did scam, but I think he was also
- 6 trying to get the money that they promised him.
- 7 Q. Can you say again?
- 8 A. I think these people did scam him by not giving him the
- 9 entirety of the money he was promised, but I also think that his
- greed, he decided to open up more bank accounts even after the
- 11 | fact he realized that this was the wrong thing to do; even after
- 12 the fact that he repeatedly sought reassurances from the people
- 13 he worked with that this was legal; even after the fact that the
- 14 | people he worked with told him that, Hey, you will lose all
- credibility with the banks if you do this, you will go bankrupt;
- 16 | in the future, you will only be able to use cash.
- So, yes, he was scammed, but I think, also, he kept
- opening accounts because he wanted to get all the money he was
- 19 promised.
- 20 Q. I want to zoom in on that a little bit. So you believe
- 21 that he was scammed?
- 22 **A.** I believe that he didn't get all the money that his
- 23 recruiters promised him.
- 24 Q. Let's talk about your understanding that he continued to
- 25 do things for these people out of greed, you said, right, even

- after he realized he was doing something illegal; that's your
- 2 understanding?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. Maybe let's zoom in on lines 786 to lines 791. Is this
- an example of an exchange where you came to understand from the
- 6 exchange that he was admitting to knowing he was doing something
- 7 illegal?
- 8 A. Yes. This is when the defendant told me that around --
- 9 during October/November of 2022, he realized that what he was
- 10 doing was against the law.
- 11 **Q.** And that's 786?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 **Q.** And 787?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Where in English -- well, the English transcription is --
- 16 you just told us that 2022, around October and November, when
- 17 you realized that the business, the thing you were doing, was
- against the law, illegal, right?"
- And your response, 787, is "Right"; is that correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 **Q.** You can't read the Chinese text, the transcription of
- what you asked him, can you, in 786?
- 23 A. I can't read the Chinese characters of what I asked him,
- 24 correct.
- 25 Q. Can I read them to you?

A. Yes.

MS. SCHWARTZ: Objection, Your Honor. This translation was done by the linguist who was on the stand yesterday, and the defense raised no objection to the way the linguist translated what the conversation was, and so this is an inappropriate question.

MR. NI: Your Honor, he testified he speaks Chinese fluently. He was communicating with this guy. He's using the English translation, and I want him to read his own Chinese statement to him. He speaks Chinese.

THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection, but we have to stay focused on asking questions and answering questions. So if you have a question, ask it; if he can answer it, he'll answer it.

MR. NI: I won't translate the Chinese text.

16 BY MR. NI:

Q. So, Agent Shi, I'm going to read exactly what you said to him in Chinese to you, and you tell me if the words "the thing you were doing" is in the Chinese.

(Foreign language spoken.)

- A. I'm sorry, are you reading from the very beginning?

 because in the beginning, it says (foreign language spoken).

 Are you reading my -- are you reading from the very beginning,

 or are you starting from the middle somewhere?
- Q. So you can read it?

- 1 A. I can read some characters here and there.
- 2 Q. I'll work with you.
- 3 **A.** Okay.
- 4 Q. I'll start at the beginning. (Foreign language spoken).
- 5 So my question is, in the section that corresponds to
- 6 | "the thing you were doing," is the words "the thing you were
- 7 doing" in there?
- 8 **A.** It is.
- 9 **Q.** How is it in there?
- 10 A. It's in here because I said (foreign language spoken),
- 11 (foreign language spoken), which means "the thing." That's what
- 12 (foreign language spoken) means. It means "the thing,"
- referring to the fraud that he was committing.
- 14 Q. Is the word "you" --
- MS. SCHWARTZ: Objection, Your Honor. Our agent is not
- 16 the one that we certified as a linguist.
- 17 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection. This
- 18 is not going to be a Chinese language lesson for the jury. We
- 19 need to focus on what we're doing here at the trial.
- You can continue, Mr. Ni.
- Objection sustained.
- BY MR. NI:
- 23 Q. Let me skip to something that's, perhaps, a little more
- 24 straightforward. You testified that the text between 786
- 25 through 791 meant that he was admitting to doing something

```
illegal, is that right; he kept doing it because --
```

- 2 A. I asked him if he realized what he was doing was illegal
- during October/November 2022, if it was illegal, why he
- 4 | continued opening up bank accounts for his recruiters after that
- date when he realized it was wrong, and he said it was because
- 6 they promised him \$5,000.
- 7 Q. Can you take a look at Government's Exhibit 15-2A,
- please, the complete transcript?
- 9 THE INTERPRETER: Is the exhibit on the monitor?
- 10 THE COURT: Are you asking about the exhibit?
- 11 THE INTERPRETER: Yes.
- MS. SCHWARTZ: We would object to that being shown,
- 13 Your Honor. It hasn't been admitted into evidence.
- 14 THE COURT: No, no, I think the interpreter just wants
- 15 to make sure she's understanding what's happening. And I'm not
- sure what's happening either, so it's a good question.
- Does the interpreter need a copy of this exhibit,
- 18 Mr. Ni?
- MR. NI: Maybe. I don't know if it's better for her to
- 20 | translate, but I guess not. I'll just read from it.
- THE COURT: Well, that's improper. Go ahead and ask
- your question and see whether there's anything that can be done
- here.
- 24 BY MR. NI:
- 25 Q. Mr. Shi, would you mind looking at -- the government's

- exhibit ends at line 791; is that right?
- 2 A. I'm not on that page, but if you say so.
- 3 Q. It's page -- it's the last page of -2B.
- 4 **A.** Yes, 791.
- 5 Q. And you said -- you testified that that segment of the
- 6 interrogation revealed to you that he admitted that he knew what
- 7 he was doing at the time was illegal.
- 8 A. Yes, he admitted to me that he knew what he was doing was
- 9 wrong.
- 10 Q. And if you take a look at page 68 of the full transcript,
- 11 literally, the next line, can you -- the next two lines
- immediately following 791, where the government's transcript
- ends. My question is, didn't you explicitly ask him if he knew
- 14 | what he was doing was illegal?
- 15 **A.** Which line are you referring to?
- 16 **Q.** 792 and 793.
- 17 **A.** 792, I asked him if he knew it was illegal.
- 18 Q. Yeah, so can you read those two lines for me?
- MS. SCHWARTZ: Objection, Your Honor; this is hearsay.
- MR. NI: Your Honor --
- 21 THE COURT: Ask your question directly and let him
- 22 | answer it directly. If the point you're trying to make is that
- the government has chosen to stop at one point and there's
- 24 | something else that's relevant that happened later, just ask the
- question. We don't need to go through what's on the paper.

```
MR. NI:
                       Understood.
1
     BY MR. NI:
2
           Mr. Shi, didn't you ask him explicitly whether he knew
3
     Q.
     what he was doing was illegal, and didn't he deny it to you?
4
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Objection, Your Honor. His response
5
     would be hearsay.
6
              THE COURT: Overruled.
7
              THE WITNESS: I asked him at the start of the interview
8
     whether or not he knew what he was doing was illegal and wrong.
9
     He said, I didn't know that was illegal at first, but after,
10
     during October/November 2022 when I wasn't being paid the money,
11
     he knew what he was doing was wrong, he knew something was wrong,
12
     but he continued to open more bank accounts because he wanted the
13
     money that he was promised; he wanted the money that they said
14
     that they would give him but that they didn't.
15
     BY MR. NI:
16
           Mr. Shi, my question is very limited to where the
17
     government's transcript ends and the next two lines immediately
18
     afterwards where the government's transcript ends. Did you or
19
20
```

- did you not ask him explicitly whether he knew what he was doing was illegal, and didn't he deny it; yes or no?
- 22 I asked him if he knew it was illegal, and he said, No, I 23 didn't know at the time.

21

24

25

I want to go back to your overall understanding that he Q. was trying to communicate he knew something was wrong and still

- did it anyway for money. Earlier, you testified you had no
- trouble understanding him at all; is that right?
- 3 A. I didn't say I had no trouble. I said that I could
- 4 understand him fine, for the most part.
- 5 Q. But didn't you say in the transcript -- when you were
- 6 interrogating him, you said to him that, I'm sorry, my Chinese
- 7 is not very good, didn't you?
- 8 A. Which line are you referring to?
- 9 Q. You said it a couple of times: Line 83, page 10, and
- 10 line 211, page 20.
- 11 **A.** I did. I do recall telling him that my Chinese isn't the
- best, isn't that of a native speaker, but we were able to
- 13 understand each other just fine, and we went back and forth and
- 14 were able to understand each other.
- 15 Q. And didn't you conclude, even while you were
- 16 interrogating him, that you couldn't nail down whether or not he
- 17 | was saying he knew he was doing something illegal?
- 18 A. I don't recall, but which line are you referring to?
- 19 Q. Can you take a look at line 796, please, of the
- 20 | transcript, just the next page after -- page 69; do you see
- 21 that?
- 22 A. I do. I'm reading it. Yes.
- 23 Q. So my question is, right after that exchange where you
- 24 asked him if he knew what he was doing was illegal, and he said
- 25 | no, you concluded on the transcript that you couldn't nail him

- down with this issue; isn't that right?
- 2 A. I believe what you are referring to is -- this was after
- he admitted to me that he realized during October/November of
- 4 2022, he realized that what he was doing was wrong and that it
- was illegal; and then when I asked him, Hey, if you knew during
- 6 this time it was illegal, how come afterwards, you kept on still
- 7 opening up bank accounts? And then he told me, I didn't know it
- 8 was illegal. So he backtracked on his initial answer, and so we
- 9 | went back and forth on that a few times, and that's when I told
- 10 my colleague Chris, Hey, we have to nail down the timeline, we
- 11 have to nail down why he keeps on contradicting himself. I
- 12 think that's what you are referring to in that line.
- 13 Q. Mr. Shi, did you prepare for your testimony with the
- 14 U.S. Attorney's Office?
- 15 **A.** I did.
- 16 Q. And during your preparation, did they tell you that the
- 17 | linguist that translated your interrogation identified a
- 18 critical fundamental misunderstanding between you and Hailong
- 19 during your interrogation?
- 20 A. I wasn't aware of that.
- 21 Q. So the U.S. Attorney's Office never told you that the
- 22 linguist had identified an issue?
- 23 A. Identified critical -- a critical language issue? I
- 24 wasn't aware of that.
- 25 **Q.** Yes. I don't know if you can remember this, but I can

```
show you the email where he identifies it.
1
     Α.
           Sure.
2
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Objection. I don't even know what he's
3
     showing.
4
              MR. NI: Sorry, I'm giving a copy to --
5
              THE COURT: Are you refreshing his recollection?
6
7
              MR. NI: Your Honor, yes.
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Objection, Your Honor. First, I would
8
     just like to see it before he's handed it and, perhaps, a second
9
     of the Court's indulgence just to review what he's looking at.
10
              THE COURT: All right.
11
12
               (Whereupon, there was a brief pause in the
13
     proceedings.)
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Objection, Your Honor. This document
14
     he's trying to refresh recollection never went to the witness, so
15
     it's an improper tool to refresh his recollection because he's
16
     never seen it.
17
              THE COURT: Well, refreshing recollection can be done
18
     by anything. That objection is overruled. It may not succeed in
19
     refreshing his recollection, but it's not improper to give him
20
     the opportunity to look at it.
21
     BY MR. NI:
22
23
     Q.
           So, Mr. Shi --
24
              THE COURT: All right; let's keep this moving, Mr. Ni.
```

Your next question is, does that document refresh your

25

```
-Shi - Cross - Ni-
     recollection?
1
     BY MR. NI:
2
           Mr. Shi, does this document refresh your recollection
3
     Q.
     about the fundamental misunderstanding between you two?
4
            I've never seen that document.
5
               MS. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, if I may, I think counsel is
6
     referring to the paragraph that we talked about before,
7
     paragraph --
8
               THE COURT: There's no pending question. Is there an
9
     objection?
10
               MS. SCHWARTZ: No, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Mr. Ni, ask your next question.
12
     BY MR. NI:
13
           So, Mr. Shi, when you went to interview Hailong, he
14
     agreed to speak with you voluntarily, right?
15
     Α.
           He did.
16
           And he sat with you for over an hour and a half?
17
           Correct.
     Α.
18
19
          He was cooperative?
     Q.
20
               THE INTERPRETER: The interpreter did not hear the
     question.
21
22
               MR. NI: Oh. He sat with you for an hour and a half?
23
               THE WITNESS: Correct. Close to two hours, we talked.
```

- 24 BY MR. NI:

He was cooperative? Q.

- 1 A. He was.
- 2 Q. He admitted that he opened accounts?
- 3 A. He did.
- 4 Q. He admitted that he used his own name to do so?
- 5 A. He did.
- 6 Q. He admitted that he went to Las Vegas and gambled with
- 7 these people?
- 8 A. He did.
- 9 Q. He admitted that he withdrew money and gave it to others?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 **Q.** All that is true, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. He also told you he wasn't being paid what he was
- 14 promised?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. You understood that -- and, in fact, you believed that he
- 17 | was scammed?
- 18 A. I believe that he wasn't given the money that they
- 19 promised him.
- 20 **Q.** But in questioning Hailong, you never asked him whether
- 21 he knew where the money came from that was deposited into his
- 22 accounts, correct?
- 23 A. I don't believe I asked that question. If I did, he
- 24 | probably told me he did not know where the money came from, the
- 25 money that they handed him to deposit.

- 1 Q. So your testimony is that if you asked him, he wouldn't
- 2 have told you where it came from because he didn't know?
- 3 A. I believe I might have asked him that question. I
- 4 believe his response -- I will have to review the record, but I
- believe his response was, I didn't know where the money came
- 6 from.
- 7 MR. KAMENS: Court's indulgence.
- 8 (Whereupon, there was a brief pause in the
- 9 proceedings.)
- 10 BY MR. NI:
- 11 Q. You testified earlier that Hailong identified Joseph Wong
- by the name Joseph Wong?
- 13 A. I don't believe so. I believe he referred to
- 14 Joseph Wong's Chinese name.
- 15 Q. So you are correcting earlier testimony; he never
- identified Joseph Wong as Joseph Wong?
- 17 A. I'm not correcting it. I told you initially that he
- 18 | identified the individual in the photo who he knows to be
- Joseph Wong by his Chinese name.
- 20 **Q.** And do you recall what that Chinese name was?
- 21 **A.** I do not, but it's in my report. Might have been
- 22 (foreign language spoken), I believe, but it's in my report.
- 23 Q. Was it Zhen He, Z-H-E-N H-E?
- 24 A. That sounds correct, Zhen He, I believe.
- 25 **Q.** But he didn't identify him by the name Joseph Wong?

```
-Cruz - Direct - Bedell-
            He did not.
1
     Α.
               MR. NI: No further questions.
2
               THE COURT: Any redirect?
3
               MS. SCHWARTZ: No, Your Honor.
4
               THE COURT: Thank you.
5
               And is Agent Shi released at this time?
6
7
               MS. SCHWARTZ: Yes, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Agent Shi, you may step down. You are now
8
     released and free to go.
9
               You may call your next witness.
10
               (Whereupon, the witness exits the stand.)
11
               MS. BEDELL: The government calls Richard Cruz, Your
12
     Honor.
13
           (RICHARD CRUZ, ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT, SWORN)
14
               (Whereupon, the witness takes the stand.)
15
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
16
     BY MS. BEDELL:
17
            Good morning.
18
     0.
19
            Good morning.
     Α.
20
            Could you please state your name and spell it for the
     record?
21
            Yes. It's Richard Cruz, R-I-C-H-A-R-D; Cruz, C-R-U-Z.
22
     Α.
23
            Where are you employed?
     Q.
24
     Α.
            I'm employed with the United States Secret Service.
            How long have you worked for the Secret Service?
25
     Q.
```

-Cruz - Direct - Bedell-

- 1 **A.** Since 2018.
- 2 Q. What is your title there?
- 3 A. Network intrusion forensic analyst, otherwise known as a
- 4 NIFA.
- 5 Q. Are you assigned to a particular unit?
- 6 A. I currently work out of Chicago in our digital evidence
- 7 forensic laboratory.
- **Q.** For how long have you been assigned there?
- 9 **A.** Since 2021.
- 10 **Q.** Where were you prior to that?
- 11 A. I was here in D.C. at the WFO, the Washington Field
- 12 Office.
- 13 Q. What work did you do prior to working for the Secret
- 14 Service?
- 15 | A. I was employed with the Michigan State Police. I was a
- 16 road trooper in 1995. I worked five years as a road trooper,
- and then I assisted standing up our computer crimes unit. That
- occurred in the year 2000. And from 2000 to 2018, I was
- 19 assigned to that cyber -- that computer section.
- 20 Q. You stated that you are a NIFA; what does that job
- 21 entail?
- 22 **A.** It's a digital forensic analyst. It's the extraction
- 23 processing and analyzing of data.
- 24 **Q.** Do you receive training for that role?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. What's that?
- 2 A. My training began in 2000. Since then, I have attended
- 3 | numerous trainings, thousands of hours in data extraction,
- 4 processing, analysis of phones, computers, vehicles, et cetera.
- 5 **Q.** Are you familiar with the system GrayKey?
- 6 **A.** I am.
- 7 Q. And what is that?
- 8 A. It is a data extraction device.
- 9 Q. And what sort of data are you extracting from what?
- 10 A. From GrayKey, we'll extract data from iPhones and Android
- 11 phones.
- 12 Q. And how do you use GrayKey?
- 13 A. It's rather easy. You connect the device to GrayKey.
- 14 GrayKey detects what's connected to it and advises what you can
- do with that device. There are different types of extractions
- 16 you can do depending on the phone that you have, and you select
- 17 | the type of extraction that you want, and it extracts the data
- and places that data in a file that we can then use to process.
- 19 Q. Okay. And does GrayKey let you know if the extraction
- 20 was successful?
- 21 **A.** It does. If there's an issue or an error, there's a log
- 22 | file. There's visual queues on the screen. It will advise you
- that there were issues, yes.
- 24 **Q.** When GrayKey generates its extraction, is that readable
- to a human?

- A. It is not. There's stuff that is readable, but it's not very friendly in terms of looking at it and really knowing what you're looking at.
- Q. So once you have that extraction, what do you do to make it more user friendly?
- A. Once we have the data extraction, we use something to process it, either like Magnet AXIOM or Cellebrite's physical analyzer.
- 9 Q. And Magnet AXIOM and Cellebrite, do those work similarly?
- 10 A. They do, yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 11 **Q.** And how do they work?
- A. They work in the sense that you point the application at a data extraction; it reads the data extraction; it ingests it; it detects what's there; and it parses data that it knows what to look for, for example, digital images or text messages or phone calls. It parses that information out, and then it puts it in a human readable format to be able to review.
 - Q. Do they have methods for confirming the accuracy of their parsing?
 - A. They do. There are, again, visual queues if there are issues. Part of the process as well is hashing the data. When we perform the original extraction, there's a calculation that's made on that data, and it's a very large alpha numeric number. And when it's finished, it provides that to the examiner, and we use that to ensure the integrity of that data. At any time, we

- should be able to run that data through a program and be able to
- 2 retrieve that same hash value. That just ensures to us that
- that data has never changed from the point that we took the
- 4 extraction to today.
- 5 Q. If there is an error, what does the program return?
- 6 A. Again, a lot of times, it will be a visual queue. If
- 7 | it's unable to parse something at times, it will just not
- 8 include it in the report. It just won't be something that you
- 9 see.
- 10 Q. But it won't just make up data?
- 11 A. No, it does not make up data, no.
- 12 Q. Do you have any experience imaging and analyzing SIM
- 13 cards?
- 14 **A.** I do.
- 15 Q. What is a SIM card?
- 16 **A.** A SIM card is a -- it's a small electronic card that is
- 17 used in mobile devices, and it contains information which allows
- 18 that mobile device to connect to a cellular network.
- 19 Q. Which would you use to image a SIM card?
- 20 A. Usually, I would use UFED 4PC.
- 21 **Q.** Can you explain the process for analyzing a SIM card?
- 22 **A.** It's much like a phone. We have a reader which is
- connected to a computer that is running the Cellebrite software;
- 24 | connect the SIM into the reader. Cellebrite detects that the
- 25 | SIM card is present. It reads the data from the SIM and places

- it in a folder. Unlike the data from the phone, which has to be processed and put in human readable form, the process of looking at the data from a SIM, it's seamless. It reads the data, and it places it into a human readable format for you right away.
 - Q. What kind of data can you retrieve from a SIM card?
- A. You can retrieve -- there's a unique number assigned to
 the SIM, known as an ICCID. There's information such as that.

 There's what's called an MSISDN, which is a multi [sic] station
 international subscriber directory number, otherwise known as a
 telephone number.
 - MS. BEDELL: Your Honor, the United States now moves to qualify NIFA Cruz as an expert in instructing, parsing, and analyzing data from cell phones and SIM cards.
- MR. WENSTRUP: No objection, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: He would be so qualified without objection.
- BY MS. BEDELL:

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

- Q. I would like to move to discussing the present case; how did you come to be involved in this case?
- A. I was in the office, and I was asked if I would be available to extract data from a phone.
- 21 **Q.** And what role have you played in the case then?
- A. It was that exactly: Receiving the phone, extracting the data from the phone, placing it into a human readable format, placing that on a -- and turning that back over for the
- 25 investigators.

- 1 Q. So once you created the extraction in a readable format
- of the extraction, did you review that extraction yourself for
- 3 the phone?
- 4 A. Yes. I browsed through it and made sure that there were
- 5 no issues with it. I don't want to hand something off to an
- 6 investigator that they can't get into in case there are issues.
- 7 So, sure, I did, yes.
- 8 Q. So quality control, but not substantive review?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you review the report for the SIM cards in this case?
- 11 A. Yes, I did, yes.
- 12 Q. Could you take a look at Exhibits 9-1 and 9-5, which are
- 13 | actually together? I'm sorry, it's a physical object -- I'm
- 14 sorry, a cell phone, physical object.
- Do you recognize these items?
- 16 A. I recognize the bag. Yes, this is the phone which I
- 17 extracted data from that day.
- 18 Q. And how do you recognize it?
- 19 A. I photographed the phone. I recall the phone, the case,
- 20 | the SIM card on the case; and the label that's on the phone was
- 21 placed there by myself.
- 22 \mathbf{Q} . So is there -- does the phone currently have a SIM card
- or SIM cards associated with it?
- 24 A. Yes, there would be a SIM card in it, and there's another
- 25 SIM card on the back of the case.

- Q. And when you received that SIM card that's not inside the phone, where was that when you --
- A. When I received the phone, the phone was just a phone.
- 4 Our analysis requires us to remove the SIM card that's in the
- phone, and I did that; and what I had noticed was, between the
- phone itself and the case, this SIM card was between the phone
- 7 and the case.
- Q. And did you create extractions of this phone and those
- 9 SIM cards?
- 10 **A.** Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. And you used the processes for imaging phones and SIM
- 12 cards that you discussed earlier?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 **Q.** And were you able to identify the phone numbers
- associated with these two SIM cards?
- 16 **A.** I did, yes.
- 17 **Q.** And what were they?
- 18 \mathbf{A} . One telephone number was 1 (312) 493-4646, and the other
- 19 telephone number was 1 (773) 828-1816.
- 20 Q. Okay. I'd like to direct you to a report for another one
- of the phones seized in this case.
- MS. BEDELL: Your Honor, at this point, I would like to
- read one of the paragraphs from one of our stipulations.
- "The following exhibits are true and accurate
- 25 depictions of data extracted from the iPhone 12 Pro Max at

-Cruz - Direct - Bedell-

- Exhibit 5-1, through the use of GrayKey, a reliable forensic
- 2 | access tool, that extracted data from the cell phone."
- Those exhibits are 5-2, 5-3, 5-4, 5-5, 5-7, 5-9, and
- 4 5-15. At this point, I would move to admit those exhibits.
- 5 THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. WENSTRUP: No objection.
- 7 THE COURT: They will be admitted without objection.
- 8 (Government's Exhibit Nos. 5-2, 5-3, 5-4, 5-5, 5-7, 5-9
- 9 and 5-15 received in evidence.)
- 10 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 11 Q. Could we take a look then at Exhibit 5-2? That's in the
- 12 binder. And what is this document?
- 13 A. This is what is produced from Cellebrite.
- 14 | Q. And what phone is this report -- this particular report
- 15 for?
- 16 **A.** This is for an iPhone 12 Pro Max.
- 17 **Q.** Now, to clarify, you did not create the image of this
- 18 phone?
- 19 A. I did not.
- 20 **Q.** But you're familiar with these reports?
- 21 **A.** I am. This is produced by Cellebrite. Yes.
- 22 **Q.** And so you're familiar with the data that's generally in
- 23 | these reports?
- 24 **A.** Yes, I am.
- 25 Q. And so does this look to you like a fairly typical type

-Cruz - Direct - Bedell-

- of report for this phone?
- 2 A. Very.
- Q. Do you see on this report that, when it provides a time,
- 4 it says UTC+0?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. What does UTC mean when it appears in front of data?
- 7 A. UTC is a universal coordinated time, otherwise a
- 8 Greenwich Mean Time. Here, what where we are right now, we're
- 9 in the eastern time zone. We're currently four hours from UTC.
- 10 This means that there has been no alteration made to the dates
- and times in this report; that they are UTC time.
- 12 Q. Further down the page, it lists MSISDN, you testified
- that that's essentially the phone number?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. So this is assigned to a SIM card and not a phone, just
- in general, the MSISDN?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And is it possible to tell if a device has used multiple
- 19 SIM cards?
- 20 A. Yes. The software -- again, depending on the make and
- 21 | model of the device. But, yes, the phone will store that
- 22 | information, and the software that we use will read that
- 23 information and provide that to you in the report, the
- 24 additional telephone numbers that that phone has used.
- 25 **Q.** Taking a look at the heading "physical SIM," there's a

- line MSISDN a little bit above that and a couple below, is that an indication -- with different numbers -- is that an indication
- that this phone has used multiple SIM cards at different times?
- 4 **A.** Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I will just ask you some general questions about the sort of data that might be pulled from a phone.
 - Let's say that you locate a picture that was on a phone, how can you know where the picture was stored on that device?
 - A. There will be a folder system or -- the file would have a name. The file itself will be in a folder. And so when the data is parsed and the information about that photo is provided, it will be part of a file name and within a folder naming convention.
- 14 Q. What does DCIM indicate if it is in that file path?
 - A. That file path is a common file path for photos that have been taken by that device.
 - Q. And are there other ways that you might know if a photo has been taken with a specific device that it was found on?
 - A. Aside from where it's located, there's metadata within that file. You have the file itself; and in that file is data for the image. Well, with that is also metadata, and that metadata will include information such as when the photo was taken, the aperture setting of the phone, whether a flash was used and fired off, the size -- the size of the actual photo itself. Sometimes you can get latitude and longitude and serial

- numbers. But, yeah, there's metadata as well as what took the photo, whether it was an iPhone or an Android phone.
- Q. Could the name of the file give any indication about whether it was likely taken with that device?
- A. It can be an indication, yes. Different phones have
 different methods of naming files, and the iPhone uses a
 convention, and it names the images sequentially. It begins -I'm not sure what it begins with, but when it names it, it's
- sequential. You'll see img_1023, img_1024, img_1025. So those
- will be sequential.

20

- Q. And if an image or a video doesn't have this metadata associated with it, what might that mean?
- A. It could mean -- it could mean several things. One, it

 could just be a format that doesn't have metadata, doesn't

 provide metadata. Many times when files are sent using

 applications, like texting applications or any applications or

 things over the internet, a lot of times, that metadata will be

 stripped out for security and privacy.
 - Q. And then backing up a second, if you see a file that includes the file path SplashBoard/Snapshots, what does that signal?
- A. That's -- on iPhones, when you're in an application and
 you either close that application -- I'll use the term "swipe it
 away" or "move it away," you get into another application or
 even lock the phone. The iPhone, the operating system will take

- a snapshot of whatever application it was that they were using.
- 2 That's for user experience. When you go and you look at your
- open applications, rather than it showing you the actual
- 4 application, it will show you that snapshot, and then you can
- see which one you want to get into. You say, Okay, there's
- 6 where I want to get into, and you press it.
- So it's a photo that's taken by the operating system, not
- 8 | controlled by the user at all, taken by the operating system,
- 9 and it's just a snapshot of the way that that application
- 10 existed when you did something with it, got into another
- 11 application or whatever.
- 12 Q. What is a .heic file?
- 13 A. That is a -- it's an image file. Apple started using it
- 14 | a few models ago -- iPhone models ago, and it is very similar to
- 15 like a PMG or a JPEG image. It's just another file format that
- 16 Apple uses, and it's capable of storing still images as well as
- 17 auto and video.
- 18 Q. And what is a .mov file?
- 19 A. A video file.
- 20 Q. What might it mean if an image is labeled "recently
- 21 deleted"?
- 22 **A.** When --
- THE INTERPRETER: Repeat.
- MS. BEDELL: What might it mean if an image is labeled
- 25 "recently deleted"?

-Cruz - Direct - Bedell-

- A. When a file is deleted, many times, it's not deleted right away; the phone will maintain that file, whatever has been deleted, and hang onto it. And then at a time that it deems it wants to delete it, it will delete it. So a recently deleted file is exactly that; it's a file which has been deleted, may or may not be accessible or visible to the user any longer. The software detects that it's there and says it's recently deleted. At some point, the operating system will flush that file and delete that file for good.
- Q. Could that also appear if an application in which a file was found was deleted even if the file itself hadn't been specifically deleted? Like, say, something was -- a text messaging app or a text message was deleted, would the images in it, maybe, have that marking?
- A. Yes. When we store files on our phone, the operating system may take copies of that file and place it in different folders and do different things with it, but when the original is deleted or if the application is deleted, that file or a copy of that file, it may exist in different parts on the phone, so it will get flagged as deleted.
- MS. BEDELL: No further questions at this time, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- 24 Cross-examination?

MR. WENSTRUP: No, Your Honor.

```
Saunders - Direct - Bedell
               THE COURT: Very good.
1
               You may step down, and you're released at this time.
2
               (Whereupon, the witness exits the stand.)
3
               MS. BEDELL: Your Honor, at this time, we call Special
4
     Agent Chris Saunders.
5
               MR. KAMENS: When does the Court plan to take a break?
6
               THE COURT: I thought maybe we could take a break a
7
     little bit early since we started at 9:00, but, perhaps, we could
8
     try and get through the direct examination or go till 10:30 or
9
     10:45. Is that agreeable?
10
               (Jurors nod.)
11
               MR. KAMENS: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
      (CHRISTOPHER SAUNDERS, ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT, SWORN)
13
               (Whereupon, the witness takes the stand.)
14
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
15
     BY MS. BEDELL:
16
            Good morning. Could you please state your name and spell
17
     it for the record?
18
            Christopher Saunders, C-H-R-I-S-T-O-P-H-E-R
19
20
     S-A-U-N-D-E-R-S.
            Where do you work?
21
     Q.
22
     Α.
            At the United States Secret Service.
23
     Q.
            How long have you been with the Secret Service?
24
     Α.
            About five years.
            What is your title there?
25
     Q.
```

- 1 A. Special agent.
- 2 Q. What unit are you assigned to?
- 3 A. I'm out of our headquarters in the global investigative
- 4 operations center.
- 5 Q. How long have you been with that unit?
- 6 A. Close to two years.
- 7 Q. What are your duties in that role?
- 8 A. We support field offices with large cases and work cases
- 9 that have an international nexus.
- 10 **Q.** Have you received training for your job?
- 11 **A.** I have.
- 12 Q. What's that?
- 13 A. I've received basic law enforcement training at the
- 14 | Federal Law Enforcement Training Center. I've received Secret
- 15 | Service training. I've received training in cryptocurrency
- 16 through Chainalysis, and cyber training as well.
- 17 **Q.** Where were you assigned prior to your current position?
- 18 A. At the Washington Field Office with the Secret Service.
- 19 Q. Where did you work before you joined the Secret Service?
- 20 A. At Department of Commerce.
- 21 Q. What is your educational background?
- 22 **A.** I have an undergrad in accounting, a master's in
- 23 accounting, and I'm a CPA.
- 24 **Q.** Are you the lead case agent assigned to this case?
- 25 **A.** I am.

- 1 Q. How did the Secret Service first become involved in this
- 2 case?
- 3 A. Our field offices were receiving a lot of cryptocurrency
- 4 scam reports, and we got involved in investigation around some
- of the fake websites.
- Q. Was there a particular website that came to your
- 7 attention relatively early in the process?
- 8 **A.** Yes, there was. The website was SIMEX, S-I-M-E-X.
- 9 Q. Did you visit the SIMEX website?
- 10 **A.** I did.
- 11 **Q.** Did you engage in an undercover operation on that
- website?
- 13 **A.** I did.
- 14 Q. Could you take a look at Exhibit 16-2, please?
- 15 **A.** Okay.
- 16 **Q.** Do you recognize this exhibit?
- 17 **A.** I do.
- 18 Q. What is it?
- 19 A. This is the home page of one of the SIMEX fake websites.
- 20 Q. And did you -- does the exhibit contain other images --
- 21 | are there screenshots of other pages within that website?
- 22 A. Yes, it does.
- 23 Q. And are these screenshots a fair and accurate
- 24 representation of what the website looked like?
- 25 A. They are.

- MS. BEDELL: At this point, we would move to admit and publish 16-2.
- MR. WENSTRUP: No objection.
- THE COURT: Admitted without objection.
- 5 (Government's Exhibit No. 16-2 received in evidence.)
- 6 (Exhibit published.)
- 7 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 8 Q. So what are we looking at here?
- 9 A. This is the home page of the fake SIMEX website. It
- 10 mimics the Singaporean international monetary change.
- 11 **Q.** What is the website address here?
- 12 A. This one is simexlua.com.
- 13 Q. Were there other websites that had similar SIMEX-related
- 14 names?
- 15 **A.** Yes, there were. There were at least seven of them, and
- 16 they just changed last three letters, so they would have SIMEX
- and then a different three letters, and they were all the exact
- 18 same website.
- 19 Q. So taking a look at this screenshot, then, is there an
- 20 | "about" tab here?
- 21 A. There is.
- 22 Q. And did you visit that tab?
- 23 **A.** I did.
- 24 **Q.** What did you find there?
- 25 **A.** We found that this website had pulled language from the

- 1 Singaporean -- website.
- 2 Q. So it was pulled from the real crypto -- website?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. Did you visit the registration page on this page?
- 5 **A.** I did.
- 6 Q. Could we turn to page 2 of the exhibit? And is this the
- 7 registration page?
- 8 A. Yes, it is.
- 9 Q. What did you do from here?
- 10 **A.** From here, I used an undercover profile and created an
- 11 account.
- 12 Q. And could you turn to page 3, please? What is this?
- 13 **A.** After you create an account, when you go to execute
- 14 trades and make investments, the website provides you with a
- 15 deposit address. You can choose between USDC, which is -- which
- 16 mimics the U.S. dollar. You can choose between Bitcoin and a
- 17 | couple other cryptocurrency coins.
- 18 Q. Did you invest through this route?
- 19 **A.** I did.
- 20 **Q.** Did you ever get your money back?
- 21 A. I did not.
- 22 **Q.** Did you also access a SIMEX website on your phone?
- 23 **A.** I did.
- 24 **Q.** And do you remember the name of that particular website?
- 25 **A.** Simexwim was the mobile version of this particular

- website.
- Q. And when you were on the mobile version, at some point,
- 3 did you begin speaking to customer service?
- 4 **A.** I did.
- 5 Q. And why did you do that?
- 6 A. Because I had had some interviews with some of the other
- 7 victims who said that that's where they were receiving some of
- 8 their instructions.
- 9 Q. And what did you discuss with customer service?
- 10 **A.** I discussed with customer service how to make an
- 11 investment via bank wire.
- 12 Q. Could you look at Exhibit 16-4, please?
- 13 A. I'm there.
- 14 Q. Do you recognize this exhibit?
- 15 **A.** I do.
- 16 **Q.** What is it?
- 17 **A.** This was their response to me asking -- or me informing
- them I'd like to make a ten -- maybe I said a \$9,000 investment.
- 19 I don't recall the exact amount I told them I was going to
- 20 | invest. I told them I would like to invest in a traditional
- 21 bank wire, and this is what the website gave me.
- 22 **Q.** Okay. And is this a fair and accurate screenshot of your
- chat?
- 24 **A.** It is.
- MS. BEDELL: At this point, we would move to admit and

```
-Saunders - Direct - Bedell-
```

publish Exhibit 16-4. 1 MR. WENSTRUP: No objection. 2 THE COURT: It's admitted without objection. 3 (Government's Exhibit No. 16-4 received in evidence.) 4 (Exhibit published.) 5 BY MS. BEDELL: 6 7 Can you describe what happened here and what information you received back? 8 So, again, this is the wire details that simexwim online 9 customer service provided me when I requested to make an 10 investment. 11 What is the name of the company that you were directed to 12 Q. send the money to? 13 Sea Dragon Remodel Inc. 14 Α. What was the last four of the account number? 15 Q. 5581. 16 Α. Did you investigate the website SIMEX? 17 Q. I did. Α. 18 And what did you learn about who had registered it? 19 Q. 20 Α. We learned that the registrar did the -- the registrar, which is the company you go to to register a domain, was 21 22 PublicDomainRegistry.com, and they utilized an address of 23 hongkong123456. There was a phone number. There was an email 24 address. Anytime you register a website, the registry captures some of that subscriber information, and then reports it to a 25

- 1 public database called Whois.
- 2 Q. Could you look at Exhibit 16-5, which is a physical
- 3 exhibit, a CD --
- MS. BEDELL: If we could request assistance with the CD
- 5 part of it.
- 6 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 7 Q. -- and then, also, there's a paper part.
- 8 While we're working on the CD, do you recognize the paper
- 9 portion of the exhibit?
- 10 **A.** I do.
- 11 Q. What is that?
- 12 **A.** This is the full list of websites that were linked to the
- 13 same identifiers as SIMEX.
- 14 Q. What is contained on the CD, once you have a chance to
- 15 take a look at it?
- 16 A. What's contained on this CD is, this report came from a
- 17 | tool that we use called "domain tools," and so the CD contains
- an Excel spreadsheet that has these domains plus the subscriber
- 19 information next to it.
- 20 **Q.** And so all of that data is publicly available
- 21 information?
- 22 A. Correct.
- MS. BEDELL: At this point, we would move to admit and
- 24 publish 16-5.
- MR. WENSTRUP: No objection.

```
—Saunders - Direct - Bedell-
```

- THE COURT: It's admitted without objection.
- 2 (Government's Exhibit No. 16-5 received in evidence.)
- 3 (Exhibit published.)
- 4 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 5 Q. This one has a lot of words on it, but do the SIMEX
- 6 domains appear on this list?
- 7 A. They do.
- 8 Q. And did you visit any of these other websites?
- 9 **A.** I did.
- 10 Q. And did you find any that were similar to the SIMEX site?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 **Q.** And how so?
- 13 A. Well, the subscriber information from the registrar was
- 14 | the same, but just the website layout looked the same. They all
- 15 had a feature to chat with online customer service. It was
- 16 typically that green button in the bottom right of the
- 17 | home screen. You click on that, and then a box pops up and you
- 18 can start chatting.
- 19 Q. So we've looked at a number of bank materials today, and
- 20 | I'm going to cover a few additional points.
- MS. BEDELL: But before that, Your Honor, I'd like to
- 22 | read into the record Stipulation No. 12.
- "The United States and the defendant, Hailong Zhu,
- 24 | stipulate and agree that at all times relevant to this case, the
- deposits at JPMorgan Bank Chase, N.A., ("JPMC"); Bank of America,

```
N.A.; Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, ("M&T Bank");
Lakeland Bank; U.S. Bank N.A.; Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; and SoFi
```

- Bank, N.A., including their main offices and domestic branch
- offices, were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance
- 5 Corporation ("FDIC"), and, therefore, were federally insured
- 6 depository institutions.
- 7 The United States and the defendant, Hailong Zhu,
- 8 | further stipulate and agree that the exhibit set forth below is
- 9 comprised of authentic, accurate copies of business records of
- 10 the FDIC that meet the requirements of Federal Rule of
- 11 | Evidence 902(11) and 803(6)."
- And that's Exhibit 16-13. At this point, I would move
- 13 to admit that exhibit.
- MR. WENSTRUP: No objection.
- 15 THE COURT: It's admitted without objection.
- 16 (Government's Exhibit No. 16-13 received in evidence.)
- 17 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 18 Q. Have you reviewed exhibit -- or could you take a look at
- 19 Exhibit 16-13?
- 20 A. I'm there.
- 21 Q. Does this exhibit include certificates from the FDIC of
- those banks' insurance that I just read off?
- 23 A. Yes, it does.
- MS. BEDELL: Let's look at Exhibit 10-12.
- 25 (Exhibit published.)

- 1 BY MS. BEDELL:
- Q. This has already been admitted. When you have a chance,
- 3 let me know what that document is.
- 4 A. All right.
- 5 Q. What is this document?
- 6 A. This is a bank statement or credit card statement for
- 7 account ending 2222 in the name of Hailong Zhu at Bank of
- 8 America, the period August 22nd through September 21st of 2022.
- 9 **Q.** And whose credit card is this?
- 10 A. This is Mr. Zhu's credit card.
- 11 Q. So it's not a business credit card?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And what is the address on the account?
- 14 A. The address is 1140 South El Molino Street, Alhambra,
- 15 California.
- 16 Q. Did you review other months of this statement?
- 17 **A.** Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. What address appeared on prior statements?
- 19 A. It was an Illinois address.
- 20 **Q.** Did you find other evidence that Zhu lived at 1140 South
- 21 | El Molino Street?
- 22 **A.** Yes, I did.
- 23 Q. What evidence was that?
- 24 | A. In his phone, we also saw an image of an Edison Power
- 25 | bill. My understanding is Edison Power is the power utility

- company that services this area, and his name was on it with the
- address.
- Q. And if we could look at page 3 of this exhibit; what are
- 4 we looking at here?
- 5 A. This is a listing of transactions -- credits and
- 6 purchases -- for the period of August 22nd through September 21,
- 7 2022.
- Q. And what is listed for the two charges on September 9th?
- 9 A. September 9th is -- there's a California Secretary of
- 10 | State for \$355, and then another California Secretary of State
- 11 for \$25.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 10-9, please?
- 13 (Exhibit published.)
- 14 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 15 Q. This has been admitted, but could you just refresh on
- 16 what this exhibit is?
- 17 **A.** You said 10-9?
- 18 **Q.** 10-9.
- 19 A. This is a Bank of America statement, Hailong Zhu's
- personal account ending 6689, for the period of September 28th
- 21 to October 22nd of 2021.
- 22 **Q.** And have you reviewed these statements?
- 23 **A.** I have.
- Q. When was this account opened?
- 25 **A.** This account was -- looks like it appears to be opened on

- 1 September 28th of 2021.
- Q. And what did the spending pattern look like for the first
- year after this account was opened?
- 4 | A. It was relatively low.
- 5 Q. In the sense that it wasn't high dollar amounts?
- 6 A. Correct, yes.
- 7 MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 10-10?
- 8 (Exhibit published.)
- 9 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 10 Q. What is this document?
- 11 **A.** This is the same bank statement that we just looked at
- ending in 6689, but for the period October 25th to November 22nd
- of 2022.
- MS. BEDELL: And can we look at page 3 of this exhibit,
- 15 please?
- 16 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 17 **Q.** And can you describe any significant transaction activity
- during this period and the deposits and withdrawals?
- 19 A. Yeah. On 10-27 of '22, there's a teller transfer of
- 20 | 30,000. On 10/28, there's a counter credit of 2,800; on 10/31,
- there's an ATM deposit of 2,000.
- 22 \mathbf{Q} . And let me jump in. So you said there was a 10/27
- deposit of 30K. Does there appear to be a sort of similar
- 24 | transaction going out of the account also on October 27th?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. Could you flag that, please?
- A. Yeah. On 10/27, there's a customer withdrawal of 30,015.
- 3 Q. And what happens in the account this month?
- 4 Let me rephrase that question. Was this activity
- 5 consistent with what you had seen in the prior months?
- 6 A. No, not at all.
- 7 Q. And how did it differ?
- 8 A. The dollar values were much higher.
- 9 MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 10-11?
- 10 (Exhibit published.)
- 11 THE WITNESS: I'm there.
- 12 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 13 Q. What is this document?
- 14 A. This is the same personal Bank of America account ending
- in 6689 for the period November to December of 2022.
- 16 **Q.** And does this account continue to see larger transactions
- in this month? We can take a look at page 3.
- 18 A. Yes, it does.
- 19 Q. And specifically on page 3, what is the only transaction
- 20 | listed in the deposits?
- 21 **A.** On 12/9/22, there's \$75,000 deposit, a wire in from an
- 22 individual named Robert Kessler.
- 23 Q. What bank did this transaction come from?
- 24 A. Alaska U.S.A. Federal Credit Union.
- 25 **Q.** Did you investigate this transaction?

-Saunders - Direct - Bedell-

- 1 **A.** I did.
- 2 Q. And where does Mr. Kessler live?
- 3 A. Anchorage, Alaska.
- 4 Q. And was there any follow-up from the bank regarding this
- 5 transaction?
- 6 A. Yes, there was.
- 7 **Q.** What was that?
- 8 A. Bank of America said they received a hold harmless claim
- 9 on this wire.
- 10 Q. Do you know if the victim -- excuse me -- if Mr. Kessler
- 11 eventually received his funds back?
- 12 A. Yes. I spoke with Mr. Kessler, who said he did receive
- 13 his funds back.
- 14 Q. Could we look at the CDs that are marked 10-15, 10-15A,
- 15 | 10-16, 10-16A, and 10-17?
- 16 **A.** I'm sorry, you said 10-15?
- 17 Q. 10-15 to 10-17. I believe it's all on one CD, but there
- 18 might be actually several CDs.
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. What materials are on -- could you remind me if it is one
- 21 CD or multiple CDs?
- 22 A. One CD.
- Q. What is on this CD?
- 24 A. This is the Bank of America surveillance for -- so this
- 25 | was surveillance footage provided to us by Bank of America.

- 1 **Q.** And do Exhibits 10-15, 10-16, and 10-17 contain full
- videos from Bank of America?
- 3 A. Yes, they do.
- 4 \bigcirc And then do Exhibits 10-15A and 10-16A contain edited
- 5 | clips of those surveillance videos?
- 6 A. Yes, they do.
- 7 Q. Are those clips fair and accurate depictions of the
- 8 | content of the videos?
- 9 A. They are.
- MS. BEDELL: At this time, we would move to
- admit 10-15A and 10-16A, which, I believe, they're the only ones
- 12 that have not yet been admitted, Your Honor.
- MR. WENSTRUP: No objection.
- 14 THE COURT: They will be admitted without objection.
- 15 (Government's Exhibit Nos. 10-15A and 10-16A received
- in evidence.)
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 10-15A, please?
- 18 (Exhibit published.)
- 19 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 20 **Q.** Is 10-15A the clipped version of 10-15?
- 21 **A.** It is.
- 22 Q. And what is this video depicting?
- 23 | A. This is depicting a transaction on 12/9/2022 in a Bank of
- 24 | America account. Hailong Zhu is interacting with the teller,
- and Joseph Wong is standing in the background on his phone.

- 1 Q. And just to clarify what we're looking at, does this
- video contain two different camera angles of the same
- 3 transaction?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.
- 5 Q. Do you recall what account this transaction was
- 6 | associated with?
- 7 **A.** I don't.
- Q. Do you recall if at any point Mr. Wong approaches the
- 9 window and participates directly in this transaction?
- 10 A. On this one, I do not believe that he does.
- MS. BEDELL: We can pause here and move on to 10-16A,
- 12 please.
- 13 (Exhibit published.)
- 14 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 15 Q. What is the date on this video?
- 16 **A.** Does it get any bigger?
- 17 Q. No, I don't think it does.
- Are you able to see the date? You can also look at
- 19 | 10-17A, which has stills. I believe 10-17A was admitted
- 20 | yesterday through the defense. If you want to look at 10-17A in
- 21 the binder as this is continuing to play.
- 22 **A.** 10/31/2022, in Alhambra, an ATM machine.
- 23 Q. Who are the three people depicted in this video?
- 24 A. From left to right, Small 7, and then in the center is
- Joseph Wong, and then on the right is Hailong Zhu.

- Q. And it does seem to be pausing a little bit as we're going through here.
- You mentioned Small 7. Yesterday, I think we heard
- 4 testimony, the translator translated his name as Little 7. Is
- 5 that the same --
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 Q. -- person that is in those chats?
- 8 A. The same.
- 9 MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 10-17, please?
- 10 (Exhibit published.)
- 11 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 12 Q. One final question from that video we just were looking
- 13 at: Is there any interaction with a bank representative during
- 14 that transaction?
- 15 **A.** There's no interaction. This transaction is at an ATM
- 16 machine.
- MS. BEDELL: If we could play 10-17, please.
- 18 (Video played.)
- 19 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 20 **Q.** Who is depicted in this video?
- 21 A. We believe this to be Nikki.
- 22 Q. And who else is there?
- 23 A. And Hailong Zhu.
- 24 Q. How long does this video go on, total?
- 25 **A.** I do not recall the total length of the video.

- 1 Q. And is that cash depicted in Mr. Zhu's hands?
- 2 A. Yes, that is cash.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we -- we can stop that -- the video
- goes on for quite a bit ways more, so we will move through this
- 5 quickly.
- 6 Could we pull up 10-17A, please?
- 7 (Exhibit published.)
- 8 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 9 Q. And what is this exhibit? And that is in both your
- 10 binder and --
- 11 **A.** These are stills from the video surveillance that we just
- observed.
- 13 Q. And what is in this first image?
- 14 A. They appear to be looking at the ATM.
- MS. BEDELL: Can we flip to the next page, please?
- 16 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 17 Q. This is still from the transaction?
- 18 A. Yes, this is from the same video.
- 19 MS. BEDELL: And then the next page, please. And then
- on to the next page.
- 21 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 22 Q. And what is this screenshot from?
- 23 A. This is from the video that we just observed with Nikki
- 24 on the left and Hailong Zhu on the right coming in with the cash
- 25 in the bag.

- 1 Q. And what is the date on this video?
- 2 **A.** 10/28/2022.
- Q. And on page 5, what's happening here?
- 4 A. Page 5 is published?
- 5 Q. Page 5 is published.
- 6 A. So they -- after the conclusion of the transaction, Nikki
- 7 appears to be putting some cash in the bag.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at page 6?
- 9 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 10 **Q.** What is happening here?
- 11 A. So if we had watched the full video, Nikki leaves the
- bank and Hailong is there by himself.
- MS. BEDELL: And could we look at page 7 and then
- 14 page 8?
- 15 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 16 Q. And what happens at the end of the video?
- 17 A. At the end of the video, Hailong Zhu departs the bank
- 18 branch with the bag with the cash in it.
- MS. BEDELL: And could we look at Exhibit 10-18,
- 20 please?
- 21 (Exhibit published.)
- 22 A. I'm there.
- 23 Q. What is this document?
- 24 A. This is a -- Bank of America calls it a "TRMS report."
- It is a report that is filed inside of a branch when

- something -- when there's a potential fraud flag.
- Q. And what account is this associated -- is this report
- 3 associated with?
- 4 A. This is on the account ending 6689, which is Hailong
- 5 Zhu's personal Bank of America account.
- 6 Q. What is the date this document was submitted?
- 7 **A.** 12/10 of 2022.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we pull this document up? It should
- 9 have been admitted through the stipulation.
- 10 (Exhibit published.)
- 11 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 12 Q. And what is the reason that it states on this document
- 13 that it was submitted?
- 14 A. Potential fraud -- reason. Sorry. The referral reason
- is fraud prevented at a banking center.
- 16 **Q.** And who was the party who was involved?
- 17 A. Hailong Zhu.
- 18 Q. We'll just give a minute to allow the jury to take a look
- 19 at this first page.
- Now, taking a look at page 2, was ID provided?
- 21 A. A passport.
- 22 **Q.** So whose ID was provided?
- 23 A. Hailong Zhu's passport.
- Q. Where was that passport from?
- 25 A. China.

- 1 Q. And where did this transaction occur?
- 2 A. It occurred -- the cost center is Spring Mountain/Wynn,
- 3 which Wynn is a casino.
- 4 Q. What city?
- 5 A. Las Vegas.
- 6 Q. What was the transaction type?
- 7 A. A withdrawal.
- 8 | Q. And what was the transaction amount?
- 9 **A.** 74,988.
- 10 Q. And on page 3, could you -- can you read the narrative
- 11 here?
- 12 A. "Hailong Zhu visited our FC" -- which we learned is a
- financial center -- "12/10/2022 around 9:50 a.m. requesting to
- 14 | withdraw \$74,988 in cash. He received a wire transfer for
- \$75,000 the day prior on 12/9/2022. When we asked him who was
- 16 | sending the funds, he seemed confused and was not able to
- 17 | provide a name. He then said he needed to check his phone to
- 18 | find out. We then asked him what the purpose of the payment
- was, and he said it was for some remodeling he did for someone.
- I asked what he needed the cash for, and he said he was using it
- 21 for gambling. The transaction was declined."
- 22 And then it says, "This account involves the following
- 23 | account(s):" [As read]: account ending in 6689, belonging to
- 24 Hailong Zhu.
- 25 Q. And the deposit that it is referring to on December 9th,

- 1 what deposit is that?
- 2 A. The \$75,000 wire from Robert Kessler.
- Q. Does the report mention that anyone was with him?
- 4 A. It does not. It just says he received.
- 5 MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 13-2, please?
- 6 (Exhibit published.)
- 7 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 8 Q. What is this document?
- 9 A. This is a Wells Fargo statement for Sea Dragon Remodel
- 10 Inc.
- 11 Q. What is the last four on this account?
- 12 **A.** 6778.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at page 2?
- 14 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 15 Q. What were the total deposits into the account during this
- 16 | period?
- 17 **A.** 2,500.
- 18 Q. And what is this period?
- 19 A. The month of November.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at page 6, please?
- 21 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 22 Q. What is depicted on page 6?
- 23 **A.** These are -- this is the transaction history for the
- 24 month of December.
- Q. What were the deposits into the account?

- 1 **A.** 71,825.
- Q. And could you describe those two transfers, please?
- 3 A. Yes. The first transaction is on 12/18. It's what
- 4 appears to be a wire from an individual named Charlene Dunn.
- 5 And then the second transaction, also on 12/16, is what looks
- 6 like a wire from Edwardsville United Methodist Church for
- 7 41,850.
- 8 Q. And what are the two largest withdrawals?
- 9 A. There's a -- on 12/19, it says withdrawal made in a
- branch, slash, store for 40,000.
- 11 **Q.** And the next biggest one?
- 12 **A.** And then on 12/22, there's a wire out for 30,835.51.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 14-2, please?
- 14 (Exhibit published.)
- THE WITNESS: I'm there.
- 16 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 17 Q. What is this exhibit?
- 18 A. This is the account statement at East West Bank for
- 19 Hailong Zhu for --
- Go ahead.
- 21 Q. What is the last four of the account number?
- 22 **A.** 9074.
- 23 Q. What is the date of this particular page -- the statement
- 24 for this page?
- 25 A. This is October 31st through November 30th of 2022.

- Q. Can you describe the credits into the account covered on
- 2 this statement?
- 3 **A.** Yes. There are four [sic] deposits: 10/31, \$500; 11/8,
- 4 \$4,000; 11/9, \$10,000.
- 5 Q. What was the outgoing wire on 11/16?
- A. On 11/16, there's a \$13,000 outgoing wire to Hailong Zhu.
- 7 Q. And you're familiar with the U.S. Bank account records in
- 8 this case, correct?
- 9 A. Yes, I am.
- 10 Q. Does this transfer out of this account correspond with
- 11 | the transfer that went into the -- Mr. Zhu's U.S. Bank account
- on November 16th?
- 13 A. It does.
- 14 Q. Looking at 14-2 on page 3, please; what are the credits
- into the account during this period?
- 16 **A.** There's a \$102,000 deposit on 12/1.
- 17 **Q.** And what is the most significant transfer out of this
- 18 account?
- 19 A. There's a 102,128 transfer out to Yunzhu Xie.
- 20 **Q.** On what date?
- 21 **A.** On 12/12.
- 22 **Q.** And did you come across the name Xie anywhere else in
- 23 this investigation?
- 24 **A.** Yes, I did.
- 25 **Q.** Where was that?

- 1 A. Xie was -- we found some documents at Wong's house with
 2 Yunzhu Xie and her business entity.
 - Q. Did you come across the name in any of Mr. Wong's phones?
 - A. Yes, I did.

4

9

10

11

12

13

16

- 5 MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 14-3?
- THE COURT: Ms. Bedell, let me stop you there. We just hit 10:45. I don't know if this is a natural place --
- MS. BEDELL: This is the perfect spot, yes, Your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, why don't we take our morning break. We'll resume in 15 minutes, at the latest, right at 11:00. Please remember my admonitions not to discuss the matter or engage in any independent research. Just take a few minutes to relax, and we'll resume promptly.
- You're free to go now.
- 15 (Jury out at 10:47 a.m.)
 - THE COURT: Agent Saunders, you may step down. You remain under oath.
- 18 How much longer do you think you anticipate,
- 19 Ms. Bedell, that you have for direct?
- MS. BEDELL: Your Honor, this is a fairly long one.
- 21 I'm probably a quarter of the way through, but I have been
- speeding through things. Some of the exhibits that I know are
- coming up, we already looked at with Defense, so we'll be able to
- 24 | get through this a little bit faster. So I am conscious of
- 25 trying to get through this as quickly as possible, but we do have

-Saunders - Direct - Bedell-

```
1
     a ways to go.
               THE COURT: All right. I understand. And I think that
2
     may put us on a track to then have, perhaps, an early lunch and
3
     address whatever comes next so that we can prepare for the
4
     afternoon. But let's just see how it goes, then, when we come
5
     back at 11:00. We can, hopefully, move quickly through this
6
7
     final government witness.
              Court will be in recess.
8
               (Whereupon, a recess in the proceedings occurred from
9
     10:48 a.m. until 11:00 a.m.)
10
               THE COURT: Bring the jury in.
11
               (Jury in at 11:00 a.m.)
12
               THE COURT: I'm going to ask you the familiar question
13
     to make sure that you were able to follow the Court's
14
     instructions and not discuss the case or engage in any
15
     independent research during the break.
16
               I see you're nodding your heads.
17
              We'll resume with the direct examination.
18
              Agent Saunders, you remain under oath.
19
     BY MS. BEDELL:
20
           We were taking a look at 14-3. And what is this?
21
     Ο.
22
     Α.
           This is our screenshot from East West Bank of various
23
     deposit slips and checks.
           At the bottom of page 1, what is depicted there?
24
     Q.
           It's a check to Hailong Zhu for $4,000 from Sea Dragon
```

25

Α.

-Saunders - Direct - Bedell-

- 1 Remodel Inc. on 11/8/2022.
- 2 Q. And looking at page 2, at the top of page 2, what is
- 3 depicted there?
- 4 A. This is a deposit slip for \$10,000 into Hailong Zhu's
- 5 East West account ending in 9074.
- Q. What was deposited then? Was that cash?
- 7 A. Yes, it was cash, and it says the source of funds is from
- 8 BofA, and the purpose is payments.
- 9 Q. The purpose is payments?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 **Q.** And I'm sorry, did you read the date on this transfer?
- 12 **A.** Yes, but I can say it again: 11/9/2022.
- 13 Q. Does this indicate whether the transaction was done in
- 14 person?
- 15 A. It does. It was stamped, but -- at Branch 8, Teller 11.
- 16 Q. Did they check an ID?
- 17 **A.** Yes; down at the bottom left, an Illinois ID.
- 18 Q. The third item on page 2, what is that?
- 19 **A.** This is a cash deposit slip for \$102,000 on 12/1/2022.
- Q. What was the breakdown of that \$102,000?
- 21 **A.** 83,000 in currency; looks like eight hundred thirty
- 100-dollar bills, and then a check for 19,000.
- 23 Q. Does the slip indicate the source of the funds?
- 24 A. Yeah. Down -- it does. It says it's cash from casino,
- 25 from the Wynn.

- Q. What's the date on this transaction?
- 2 **A.** 12/1/2022.
- 3 Q. Is this the same date the defendant tried to deposit
- 4 \$83,000 in cash and a \$19,000 check at U.S. Bank?
- 5 A. Yes, it is.
- 6 Q. Does the slip note if the funds are immediately
- 7 available?
- 8 A. It says that they're on hold for seven days.
- 9 Q. What do you understand to have happened here?
- 10 **A.** At the U.S. Bank when he was unable to send the funds
- 11 wherever he wanted to send them overseas and we called them
- back, they were then brought to East West Bank and deposited
- there.
- 14 Q. Could you look at Exhibit 1-4B?
- 15 (Exhibit published.)
- THE WITNESS: Would you say that again?
- 17 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 18 Q. 1-4B. It might be in another binder. We're going to
- switch that first binder anyway. And it's also pulled up on the
- 20 screen.
- 21 A. I am here.
- 22 Q. What is this document?
- 23 A. This is the SoFi personal loan agreement with Mr. Kwadwo
- 24 Danso-Fordjour.
- 25 **Q.** Did you receive a clean copy of this document without

- 1 that copy view watermark written on it?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- 3 Q. Is that document otherwise identical to this one?
- 4 A. Yes, it is.
- 5 MS. BEDELL: Could we look at 1-4?
- 6 (Exhibit published.)
- 7 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 8 Q. Is this the clean version of the document?
- 9 **A.** It is.
- 10 Q. But is Mr. Danso-Fordjour's signature on the last page of
- 11 this one?
- 12 A. It's not on this one, no.
- 13 Q. But all the text in between is identical?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. Could you turn to page 5 of this document? Could you
- read paragraph 6?
- 17 | A. Paragraph 6: "I may not assign my loan (including my
- 18 application) or any of its benefits or obligations. You may
- 19 assign my loan agreement (including my application) at any time.
- 20 The terms and conditions of my loan agreement apply to, bind,
- 21 and inure to the benefits of your successors and assigns."
- 22 Q. Also on page 5, section 0, paragraph 1, could you read
- the second sentence?
- 24 A. Starting with "I certify"?
- 25 **Q.** Yes.

- 1 A. "I certify that the loan proceeds will only be used for
- unsecured personal, family, or household expenses and not for
- real estate, business purposes, investment, purchases of
- 4 securities, post-secondary education, or short-term bridge
- financing."
- Q. And on page 7, please, in the second column under the
- 7 heading "Notice to Borrowers Regarding Loan Sales," can you read
- 8 the first sentence there?
- 9 A. [As read]: I understand that you may sell, transfer, or
- 10 assign my agreement without consent.
- 11 Q. Does it say "without my consent"?
- 12 A. "Without my consent." I'm sorry.
- MS. BEDELL: Can we look at Exhibit 5-1, which is a
- 14 | cell phone, please? And, actually, if you could hand up 5-1,
- 15 6-1, 7-1, 8-1.
- 16 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 17 Q. Do you recognize these items?
- 18 A. Yes, I do. These are iPhones that were seized from
- 19 Joseph Wong.
- 20 Q. Joseph Wong?
- 21 **A.** These were iPhones that were seized from Joseph Wong.
- 22 **Q.** Okay. Did you receive and analyze forensic copies of all
- four of these phones?
- 24 **A.** I did.
- 25 \mathbf{Q} . Let's start with Item 5-1, which is labeled Item 1. So

- 1 taking a look at Exhibit 5-2 -- and these exhibits have been
- 2 | admitted, so we can publish -- do you recognize this item?
- 3 A. Yes. This is the preliminary device report that was
- 4 generated during the exam from the examiner.
- 5 Q. What is the device name?
- 6 A. The device name is josephiPhone.
- 7 Q. And what is the model?
- 8 A. The model is iPhone 12 Pro Max.
- 9 Q. What is the MSISDN associated with the phone?
- 10 **A.** It ends in 6045.
- 11 Q. And then are there other MSISDNs?
- 12 **A.** There are.
- 13 Q. What are those?
- 14 A. There's one that ends in 1044, and then there's one that
- 15 ends in 6466.
- 16 Q. What appears on the second and third pages of this
- 17 exhibit?
- 18 A. These are a list of accounts that were linked to this
- 19 device.
- 20 **Q.** And on page 2, is there an entry for WeChat?
- 21 A. Yes, there is.
- 22 \mathbf{Q} . And what is the username and the user ID associated with
- the WeChat entry?
- 24 A. The username is Joseph, and the ID is a long string of
- 25 numbers ending in 1922.

- Q. From this data and your review of the phone, what did you
- 2 determine this device to be?
- 3 A. Well, we determined this to be his primary phone.
- 4 Q. His -- whose?
- 5 A. Sorry. Joseph Wong's primary device.
- 6 MS. BEDELL: Could we look quickly at Exhibit 5-3?
- 7 (Exhibit published.)
- 8 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 9 **O.** What is this exhibit?
- 10 **A.** These are notes that were in Joseph Wong's primary
- 11 device.
- 12 Q. And when you say "notes," is that from the Notes
- 13 application?
- 14 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 15 Q. Could you just characterize the type of information found
- in the first three notes?
- 17 | A. Yeah. The first note is a list of Sea Dragon entities.
- 18 The second note is a list of Mingxing entities; so Mingxing
- 19 Trading, Mingxing Remodel. And then the third note is another
- 20 list of entities: The Yunzhu Xie, with the YZX Luxury and YZX
- 21 Trending entities.
- Q. What is contained in Notes 4 and 5?
- 23 A. These are wire instructions.
- 24 Q. And what is Note 4?
- 25 **A.** Note 4 is wire instructions for O Diamonds Trading

- 1 Limited.
- 2 Q. And then what is Note 5?
- 3 A. Note 5 is wire instructions to Mitsubishi UFJ, and it
- 4 also includes the additional details: For further credit to
- 5 Axis Digital Limited and GTAL, G-T-A-L.
- 6 Q. Could you look at Note 8?
- 7 A. These are wire instruction to Mitsubishi that further
- 8 credited to Axis Digital Limited.
- 9 MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 5-4, please?
- 10 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 11 Q. The first page of this exhibit -- I'm sorry. What is
- this exhibit, generally, contained broadly in here?
- 13 A. This is the details, or metadata, of a particular image.
- 14 Q. And Exhibit 5-4, does this just contain a number of
- images found on the device?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. And then page 1, you said, is the metadata associated?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And for this first file, what is the file name?
- 20 **A.** It is a file ending 3571.jpeg.
- 21 **Q.** What is the created date on this file?
- 22 **A.** 11/3/2022.
- 23 Q. And the file type?
- 24 A. J-P-E-G, JPEG.
- 25 Q. And on the right of the screen, is that just a small

- 1 version of the image?
- 2 A. Yes, that's the thumbnail version of the larger image.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at page 2?
- 4 **A.** Yep.
- 5 **Q.** Is this the larger version of that image?
- 6 **A.** It is.
- 7 Q. Does this exhibit follow the pattern where the first page
- 8 has this metadata information and the second page is the image
- 9 itself?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 | Q. As I'm going to review this document, I'm just going to
- 12 skip the data pages and just look at the big images. If you
- need the data, you can refer back to that there.
- So on page 2, what is depicted in this image?
- 15 **A.** This is a Bank of America wire form from Sea Dragon
- 16 Remodel Inc. out of account 9529.
- 17 **Q.** Who is the form going to?
- 18 A. O Diamonds Trading Limited.
- 19 Q. For how much money?
- 20 **A.** \$94,000 on 11/3/2022.
- 21 Q. According to the form, how was this transfer executed?
- 22 A. In person.
- 23 Q. How did they verify ID?
- 24 A. Two IDs: One is a U.S. nondriver's license ID -- the
- 25 | state is cut off -- and then second is Bank of America debit

- 1 card, ATM card.
- Q. What is listed as the purpose of the transfer?
- 3 A. Goods.
- 4 O. And we've reviewed Bank of America records for these
- 5 accounts. Is this wire reflected on the statement for the 9529
- 6 account? And if you need to refresh, it's Exhibit 10-6, which I
- 7 think might be in the other binder.
- 8 **A.** Let me --
- 9 Q. You want to refresh?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. I would recommend you look at page 13.
- MS. BEDELL: And, actually, Ms. McNeill may have helped
- 13 you out here.
- 14 **A.** Yes. On 11/3, \$94,000.
- 15 Q. If we could return to Exhibit 5-4, page 4, what is on
- 16 page 4?
- 17 | A. Page 4 is a Chase wire form, Sea Dragon Trading LLC.
- 18 Q. What is the last four on the account that it's coming out
- 19 of?
- 20 **A.** 3886.
- 21 Q. What's the date?
- 22 **A.** The date is 10/25/2022 for \$152,000.
- 23 Q. And who's it going to?
- 24 A. O Diamonds Trading.
- 25 \mathbf{Q} . From the top section, how was this transfer executed?

- 1 A. In person.
- 2 Q. And did they confirm the ID?
- 3 A. Yes, a state-issued ID from Illinois, and then a
- 4 secondary type, a Chase bank issued credit/debit card.
- 5 Q. Let's look at page 6. What is this?
- A. Page 6 is another Bank of America wire form, Sea Dragon
- 7 Remodel Inc., account ending 9529.
- Q. And how much is the transfer for and the date, please?
- 9 **A.** \$108,000 on 10/31/2022.
- 10 Q. What is the destination?
- 11 A. The destination is O Diamonds Trading Limited.
- 12 **Q.** How was this transfer executed?
- 13 A. In person.
- 14 Q. What was the confirmation used, if any?
- 15 A. A U.S. nondriver's license and a Bank of America debit
- 16 | card, or ATM card.
- 17 **Q.** What is the purpose of the payment that's provided?
- 18 A. Goods.
- 19 Q. And is the form signed?
- 20 **A.** It is.
- 21 **Q.** Whose name is on it?
- 22 A. Hailong Zhu.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at page 8, please?
- 24 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 25 Q. What is this image?

- 1 A. This is a Cathay Bank wire transfer form for \$63,000.
- 2 Q. What is the originator account?
- 3 A. It is Mingxing Remodel LLC.
- 4 Q. In the top left corner, what does it say is the purpose
- of the wire?
- 6 A. Merchandise payment.
- 7 Q. Who does the transfer go to?
- 8 A. It goes to Mitsubishi UFJ Trust, and it includes the "for
- 9 further credit" account details to Axis Digital Limited.
- 10 Q. What is the date on this form?
- 11 **A.** 12/19/2022.
- 12 Q. Who signed this form?
- 13 A. Mingxing Lyu.
- 14 Q. Did you find other images of wire transfers with Mingxing
- 15 Lyu's name on them in this exhibit?
- 16 **A.** Yes, I did.
- 17 **Q.** Could we jump ahead to page 12? And what is this?
- 18 A. This is a bank card bearing the name Yunzhu Xie, YZX
- 19 Luxury LLC.
- 20 Q. Let's look at page 16. What is this?
- 21 **A.** This is a Bank of America wire form from Kais Tea Sets
- 22 Supplies LLC.
- 23 Q. Did you find other transfer forms for other companies
- within the images on Joseph Wong's phone?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. Let's jump -- did you find other details related to the
- 2 individual bofacai on the phone?
- 3 A. Yes, I did.
- 4 Q. Let's jump ahead to page 22, please.
- 5 **A.** Which --
- 6 Q. That is going to be another wire transfer form. What is
- 7 | pictured here?
- 8 A. This is an outgoing wire form from an individual named
- 9 Lori Collingwood.
- 10 Q. Can you make out her full name there?
- 11 A. Yes. Lori Collingwood-Foehlinger.
- 12 Q. Where is she located?
- 13 A. Lancaster, Pennsylvania.
- 14 Q. Who is the beneficiary?
- 15 A. The beneficiary is Jian Long.
- 16 Q. Did you come across that name in your investigation?
- 17 **A.** I did.
- 18 Q. Where was that?
- 19 A. It was one of the fake aliases of Joseph Wong.
- 20 Q. How much is this transfer for?
- 21 **A.** 39,450.
- 22 Q. And what is the date?
- 23 **A.** The date is March 13, 2023.
- 24 \mathbf{Q} . Could we jump to page 24 -- it should be the last page in
- 25 the document -- and what is this?

- A. This is the driver's license of Lori Collingwood on top
 of the wire form that we just looked at.
- 3 Q. What do you understand to be happening here?
- 4 A. I understand that this is likely a victim, and she was
- able to send a successful wire, as we've seen some of the other
- 6 victims; after they send a wire, they send their driver's
- 7 license and a picture of the wire form.
- Q. Let's take a look at Exhibits 5-5 through 5-10, which are
- 9 CDs. What is this exhibit?
- 10 **A.** These are calls to Bank of America from Item 1, which is
- 11 Joseph Wong's primary device.
- 12 Q. Does the CD also contain shortened clips of these videos,
- 13 Exhibits 5-6, 5-8, and 5-10?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. And what was shortened in these?
- 16 A. Times in which he was on hold with the bank was clipped
- 17 out.
- 18 Q. Did you also sometimes remove multiple entries of account
- 19 information?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 \mathbf{Q} . And are 5-6, 5-8, and 5-10 [sic] fair and accurate clips
- of Exhibits 5-5, 5-7, and 5-10 respectively?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- MS. BEDELL: At this time, I would move -- excuse me, I
- think I meant to say 5-9 on this last one. I move to admit 5-6,

```
Saunders - Direct - Bedell
     5-8, and 5-10, Your Honor.
1
               MR. WENSTRUP: No objection, Your Honor.
2
               THE COURT: They're admitted without objection.
3
               (Government's Exhibit Nos. 5-6, 5-8, and 5-10 received
 4
     in evidence.)
5
               MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 5-6?
6
               (Exhibit published.)
7
     BY MS. BEDELL:
8
            What is the date on the video?
9
     0.
            November 29th.
10
            We're just going to play the first 50 seconds or so.
11
     0.
               (Audio played.)
12
13
               MS. BEDELL: If we could pause there.
14
     BY MS. BEDELL:
            Whose face is reflected in the video there?
15
     Q.
            Joseph Wong.
16
     Α.
            So what seems to be going on in this video?
17
            He is accessing Hailong Zhu's account. He's taking a
18
     Α.
     video from his primary device of a phone that he's made a call
19
20
     from a different phone, so he's actually recording himself
     making a phone call to the bank.
21
22
            In your investigation, did you determine why he might do
     Q.
23
     this?
24
            Yeah. There appears to be direction from Nikki to send
     these videos.
25
```

- 1 Q. And why were they sending them?
- 2 A. I think they were sending them to just -- it was part of
- a scheme to make sure that all of the accounts are accounted
- 4 for.
- 5 Q. Okay. Exhibit 5-8, you were in the courtroom yesterday
- 6 when Defense played their Defense Exhibit, I believe it was, 6.
- 7 Is Exhibit 5-8 the same as Defendant's Exhibit 6?
- 8 **A.** It is.
- 9 Q. We won't need to review that one again here.
- Exhibit 5-10, is that a call into the Mingxing account?
- 11 **A.** It is.
- 12 Q. Can you describe generally what happens in that video?
- 13 A. Yeah. Same type of phone call here. He called the bank.
- 14 He was pretending to be Mingxing Lyu; provided Mingxing Lyu's
- 15 identifiers to get through the verification process, and then, I
- 16 think, on that call, he attempted to retrieve funds.
- MS. BEDELL: Court's indulgence.
- 18 (Whereupon, there was a brief pause in the
- 19 proceedings.)
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibits 5-11 and 5-13,
- 21 | which, I believe, are CDs?
- 22 **A.** 5-11 is a CD.
- 23 Q. So 5-11 and 5-13 should be CDs. Do you recognize those
- 24 CDs?
- 25 **A.** I do. These are chats from Item 1, which is Wong's

- 1 primary device.
- Q. And we heard testimony from Ms. Alex Liu yesterday about translating these chats?
- 4 **A.** Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 5 **Q.** And the chats were originally in Mandarin?
- 6 A. They were.

7 MS. BEDELL: I will now read, I believe it's Stipulation 11, paragraph 3.

"The following exhibits are translations of text messages. The translations of the text messages were not found on the phone itself. However, the underlying Chinese language versions of the text messages and accompanying data are true and accurate depictions of chats and data extracted from the iPhone 12 Pro Max at GX 5-1, through the use of GrayKey, a reliable forensic access tool, that extracted data from the cell phone."

That's 5-11 and 5-13.

And I think we discussed these -- are these already admitted? I've lost track. At this point, then, I would move to admit 5-11 and 5-13.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. WENSTRUP: No objection.

THE COURT: They're admitted without objection.

(Government's Exhibit Nos. 5-11 and 5-13 received in

evidence.)

- 1 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 2 Q. And Exhibit 5-12, which is in the binder, what is this
- 3 exhibit?
- 4 A. This is a chat between Joseph, Nikki, and Little 7.
- 5 Q. And is this an excerpt of the full chat found at
- 6 Exhibit 5-11?
- 7 **A.** Yes, it is.
- Q. Is 5-12 a fair and accurate representation of the chats
- on Wong's device, plus the translations?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- MS. BEDELL: I just want to move to admit and publish
- 5-12, Your Honor.
- MR. WENSTRUP: No objection.
- 14 THE COURT: It's admitted without objection. You may
- 15 publish it.
- 16 (Government's Exhibit No. 5-12 received in evidence.)
- 17 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 18 Q. All right. You mentioned this, but could you let us know
- 19 who are the participants in this chat?
- 20 A. Yeah. The participants are Joseph, Nikki, and Little 7.
- 21 Q. Which Joseph do you believe this to be?
- 22 A. It's Joseph Wong.
- 23 Q. And Hailong Zhu is not a participant in this chat?
- 24 A. He is not.
- 25 **Q.** One question: We're looking at two chats from this

- phone; how many chats did you find on this phone, generally,
- 2 approximately?
- 3 **A.** Um --
- 4 Q. Was it a large number of chats?
- 5 A. Thousands, terabytes of information.
- 6 Q. What language was these chats in?
- 7 A. Predominantly, they were in Mandarin.
- 8 Q. How did you identify which ones you wanted to look at
- 9 more closely?
- 10 A. We looked at whether there were any, like, phone numbers
- 11 that we needed to look into. He did most of his chatting
- 12 through WeChat, so we looked at the chats with Nikki; we looked
- 13 at the chats with Little 7; and then we searched for other,
- 14 like, keywords to try to identify any other chats that would be
- 15 relevant.
- 16 Q. And did you use any machine translations?
- 17 | A. Yeah. Initially, we tried to get some bulk translation
- done through Cellebrite. Cellebrite has a feature where you
- can, like, bulk translate everything from Mandarin into English,
- 20 | but it was -- it wasn't very useful.
- 21 **Q.** But using that process, you did narrow down on a number
- 22 of chats, and those two -- 5-11 and 5-13 -- are two particular
- ones?
- 24 A. Yes, correct.
- 25 Q. So looking at the first page of the exhibit, what is the

- 1 date on these chats?
- 2 A. This date is on December 2, 2022.
- 3 Q. And what is the general nature of these conversations?
- 4 A. So Little 7 and Joseph and Nikki here are talking about
- 5 taking people to the bank. They're talking about getting
- 6 personal checks out of accounts, making appointments to go to
- 7 banks.
- Q. Can you turn to page 3, please? What does the gray bar
- 9 at the end of this mean?
- 10 **A.** This means there's a break in the chat.
- 11 Q. Turning to page 4, what is the date on the first chat on
- 12 page 4?
- 13 **A.** 12/9/2022.
- 14 Q. And can you read through that chat?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- "Nikki: Send me a photo of Ms. Xie's Chase personal
- 17 account checks.
- Nikki: It says void."
- Joseph then sends a pic, 1517.pic.
- "Joseph: Is this check okay?"
- 21 Q. I think you may have missed the third message from Nikki.
- 22 **A.** Oh, I'm sorry.
- THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, I didn't hear that.
- MS. BEDELL: We may have missed the third message from
- 25 Nikki.

- A. Yes. The third message: "In the future, record the
- address of the bank where the account is opened."
- Q. Okay. And then going back to that blank, you said that
- 4 | meant that a picture had been sent?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And how do you know that?
- 7 A. Because it has a .pic file, and the .pic file is also in
- 8 a phone.
- 9 Q. Could you read the second excerpt on page 4, please?
- 10 **A.** "Joseph: Everyone received 75,000 received in personal
- 11 accounts."
- 12 **Q.** And what is the date on that?
- 13 **A.** 12/9/2022.
- 14 Q. Did Mr. Zhu, in fact, receive \$75,000 into his personal
- 15 account that day?
- 16 A. Yes; that is the one from Robert Kessler.
- 17 **Q.** Could you read the third excerpt on page 4, please?
- A. "Nikki: At 9:30 a.m. tomorrow morning, cash needs to be
- 19 withdrawn from Hailong's BoA.
- Joseph: Okay.
- Nikki: And then Hailong's East West, withdraw what you
- 22 can.
- Joseph: Okay.
- Nikki: If you can't withdraw, remit 99,800 to Ms. Xie.
- Joseph: Okay."

- 1 Q. And what is the date of that?
- 2 **A.** 12/10/2022.
- 3 Q. Now, were there chats that then explained why that
- 4 transfer ultimately happened a few days later?
- 5 A. Will you repeat the question?
- 6 Q. Were there chats that aren't included here that did
- 7 ultimately explain why the transfer happened a few days later?
- 8 And if you don't remember, that's fine.
- 9 A. Can you refresh?
- 10 **Q.** Can you read the fourth excerpt on page 4?
- 11 A. "Nikki: Hailong just asked, Don't we go to Wynn this
- 12 time? Laughing emoji.
- Joseph: Ha ha! He's got an idea.
- 14 Little 7: Chuckling, chuckling, chuckling emojis."
- 15 Q. What do you understand to be happening here?
- 16 **A.** We have evidence showing that Hailong's been to casinos
- with these people, and so it appears that he's learned the
- 18 pattern of behavior what to do after cash is withdrawn.
- 19 Q. Could we turn to page 5, please?
- 20 A. I'm there.
- 21 **Q.** I think -- could you just explain what's happening in
- 22 this chat?
- 23 A. Seems like they're trying to talk to a manager about how
- 24 | much money to get, and then to say that it's from gambling. The
- chat is on 12/10/2022; so, presumably, it was when they were at

- the Bank of America withdrawing -- trying to withdraw the
- 2 approximate 75,000.
- 3 Q. Could we skip to page 7 now? Can you read the first line
- 4 of this excerpt?
- 5 A. On 12/12/2022, Nikki says, "Give me Chase personal
- 6 account information, BofA private account, Wells Fargo public
- 7 | account and private account."
- Q. What does "public" and "private account" refer to here?
- 9 A. We believe that public account is referring to the
- 10 | business accounts and private accounts are referring to the
- 11 individual's personal accounts.
- 12 **Q.** And then what does Small 7 provide in response?
- 13 **A.** Small 7 provides the account information for Mingxing
- 14 Lyu.
- 15 Q. What does Nikki say in response to that?
- 16 **A.** Nikki says -- again, on 12/12/2022 -- "I need the address
- 17 | too." Nikki then says again, "I'll give you the pattern," and
- 18 then Nikki provides the Sea Dragon Remodel Bank of America
- 19 account.
- 20 **Q.** And what is the last line of the chat?
- 21 **A.** The last line is, "This is the address for all," from
- 22 Nikki on 12/12/2022.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at page 8, please?
- BY MS. BEDELL:
- 25 **Q.** Could you describe what's happening in this excerpt?

- A. Yeah. Nikki appears to be giving Small 7 and Joseph Wong instructions on exactly what to ask the bank.
 - Q. Let's go back to page -- oh, excuse me.
- At the end, it looks like Small 7 sends an attachment.
- 5 Can you describe what happens there?
- A. Oh, yeah. So this is a video thumbnail. So what appears to have happened is, like we saw Joseph Wong sending videos of himself calling the bank, we believe that Small 7 also sent a video of himself calling the bank. We didn't actually see the video on Joseph Wong's phone. We just saw this video thumbnail
- Q. And was there a transfer into Zhu's personal Bank of
 America account at around this time?
- THE INTERPRETER: Counsel, if you could slow down, that would be helpful.
- 16 THE COURT: Please just slow down a little bit for the interpreters.
- 18 BY MS. BEDELL:

11

- Q. Was there a transfer into Zhu's personal Bank of America account at around this time?
- 21 **A.** On 12/12?
- 22 Q. Yes, around that time.

image come through.

- 23 A. Yes. On 12/9, there was a transfer from Robert Kessler.
- Q. Okay. Let's go back to page 6. What is the date on this
- 25 chat?

```
-Saunders - Direct - Bedell-
     Α.
            12/10/2022.
1
            And can you read this chat?
2
     Q.
            Starting from the top?
3
     Α.
            Starting from the top.
 4
     Q.
            "Nikki: The card can't be used at the ATM?
     Α.
5
            Small 7: No.
6
            Nikki: BofA won't be able to unlock it, I guess.
7
            Small 7: Try calling.
8
            Nikki: Mm-hmm.
9
            Nikki: Can you log into online banking?
10
            Nikki: You can't be so anxious to collect the money from
11
12
     now on.
            Nikki: Split it in two.
13
            Small 7: Mm-hmm.
14
            Small 7: Online banking works.
15
            Nikki: Here's the video.
16
            Small 7: I'm on the phone.
17
            Nikki: Okay."
18
            And then Nikki sends a 1794.pic, and then Nikki also
19
     sends 1795.pic, and then Nikki says, "75,000 sender information.
20
            Small 7: Okay.
21
22
            Small 7: I can't unlock it today. I need to call on
23
     Monday.
24
           Nikki: Mm-hmm."
               MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 5-15, please?
25
```

```
1 (Exhibit published.)
```

- 2 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 3 Q. And what is this?
- 4 A. This is that Cellebrite report that captures the details
- of this image here, 1794.pic.
- 6 Q. And 1794.pic, what was the create date here?
- 7 **A.** 12/10/2022.
- Q. And is that the same picture title as we just saw in the
- 9 chat we were just looking at?
- 10 **A.** It is.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at page 2?
- 12 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 13 Q. What is this?
- 14 A. This is Robert Kessler's Alaska driver's license.
- 15 Q. And is this the individual who sent 75,000?
- 16 A. This is.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at page 3 of this exhibit?
- 18 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 19 Q. And what is the title of this image?
- 20 A. The title?
- 21 Q. Or name. Excuse me.
- 22 A. The name of this image is 1795.pic.
- 23 Q. When was it created?
- 24 **A.** On 12/10/2022.
- 25 Q. This is the same image that we just saw in the exhibit

```
-Saunders - Direct - Bedell-
```

- 1 | with the chats?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at page 4?
- 4 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 5 Q. And what is this?
- 6 **A.** This is a wire form showing that Robert Kessler sent
- 7 \$75,000 on 12/9/2022 to Hailong Zhu's Bank of America account
- 8 | ending 6689.
- 9 Q. And on page 5, please, what is this?
- 10 **A.** This is the Cellebrite details metadata over this image
- 11 | 1517.pic.
- 12 Q. Created what date?
- 13 **A.** On 12/9/2022.
- 14 Q. On page 6, what is the image itself?
- 15 **A.** These are checks. They're blank checks. They're from
- 16 Chase account -- from Yunzhu Xie's Chase account, and they're
- 17 signed.
- 18 Q. And remember when we were looking at 5-12, is this the
- image that Nikki had asked for and Joseph sent in the chat on
- 20 12/9?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 5-14?
- 23 (Exhibit published.)
- 24 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 25 Q. And do you recognize this exhibit?

- 1 A. Yes, I do. This is an excerpt of one of the chats.
- 2 Q. Is it an excerpt of the full chats that were at 5-13?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. Is 5-14 a fair and accurate representation found on
- 5 Wong's device?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 Q. And the translations later provided?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 MS. BEDELL: At this time, we'd move to admit and
- 10 publish 5-14, Your Honor.
- MR. WENSTRUP: No objection.
- 12 THE COURT: It will be admitted without objection.
- (Government's Exhibit No. 5-14 received in evidence.)
- 14 BY MS. BEDELL:
- Q. Who are the participants in this chat?
- 16 A. This one is between Nikki and Joseph Wong.
- 17 Q. Were there dates on these chats?
- 18 A. There were not on these chats. We later learned that
- 19 they were in February.
- 20 \mathbf{Q} . Okay. Are the chats in Exhibit 5-13 similar to the other
- 21 chats that we read?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. And do these excerpts reflect the nature of the chats?
- 24 **A.** They do.
- 25 Q. I will direct your attention to the second excerpt on

- page 1; what is going on here?
- 2 A. I think he says -- so Nikki says, "Tomorrow, clear the
- 3 checks from Hailong, Mingxing, and Ms. Xie, and write a check
- for the rest that cannot be withdrawn."
- 5 Q. Had law enforcement found anything in search relevant to
- 6 this discussion?
- 7 A. Yes. We saw pre-signed checks from Hailong and Ms. Xie
- 8 as well.
- 9 Q. And you said you were able to determine that these chats
- were from February; do I have that correct?
- 11 A. Yes, correct.
- 12 Q. Let us look at -- move to Item 10. So you've already
- 13 looked at Exhibit 6-1, which is the phone.
- MS. BEDELL: So at this point, I will read another
- 15 stipulation, Your Honor.
- "The following exhibits are true and accurate
- 17 depictions of data extracted from the iPhone 11 Pro Max at
- 18 Government Exhibit 6-1, through the use of GrayKey, a reliable
- 19 forensic access tool, that extracted data from the cell phone."
- Exhibits 6-2, 6-3, and 6-5; at this time, we move to
- 21 admit those exhibits.
- MR. WENSTRUP: No objection.
- THE COURT: Admitted without objection.
- (Government's Exhibit Nos. 6-2, 6-3, and 6-5 received
- in evidence.)

```
-Saunders - Direct - Bedell-
```

- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 6-2? 1
- (Exhibit published.) 2
- BY MS. BEDELL: 3
- And what is the Apple ID associated with this phone? 4 Q.
- The Apple ID is josephwong954734@icloud.com. 5 Α.
- And what are the last four of the phone numbers 6
- 7 associated with this phone?
- There's a 7846, a 1044, and a 6466. 8 Α.
- And on page 2, what's depicted on page 2? 9 Q.
- These are user accounts that are associated with this 10
- device. 11
- Are most of them associated with that iCloud account that 12
- 13 you just read?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- Based on your investigation, what did you determine that 15
- this phone was? 16
- We determined that this phone was likely his secondary 17 Α.
- phone. 18
- Could we look at Exhibits 6-3 through 6-6, which are CDs? 19 Q.
- 20 Α. Okay.
- What are depicted on these CDs? 21 Q.
- Α. These are videos taken from that secondary phone. 22
- 23 And does the CD also contain Exhibits 6-4 and 6-6, which
- 24 are shortened clips of the videos at 6-3 and 6-5?
- Yes, it does. 25 Α.

- Q. And were they shortened in the similar ways to the other videos?

 A. Correct.

 Q. Are they fair and accurate clips of the longer videos at
- 6 **A.** Yes.

5

6-4 and 6-6?

- MS. BEDELL: At this time, we would move to admit 6-4 and 6-6, Your Honor.
- 9 MR. WENSTRUP: No objection.
- 10 THE COURT: Admitted without objection.
- 11 (Government's Exhibit Nos. 6-4 and 6-6 received in
- 12 evidence.)
- MS. BEDELL: Could we play just the very beginning of
- 14 | 6-6, please?
- 15 (Audio played.)
- 16 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 17 Q. What is depicted going on here, depicted in this video?
- 18 A. This is another phone call where Joseph Wong is calling
 19 the bank representing himself as Hailong Zhu.
- Q. I apologize, I believe I misspoke earlier. I think this
- one was actually Defense Exhibit 6 yesterday, so we did watch
- 22 this in full yesterday.
- So it was Joseph Wong calling the bank -- excuse me.
- Is that also what's contained in Exhibit 6-4?
- 25 **A.** It is.

- Q. And is he again pretending to be Hailong Zhu on this call?
- 3 **A.** He is.
- MS. BEDELL: Moving to exhibits from Item 5, which is a phone, Exhibit 7-1. At this point, I will read the stipulation.
- The following exhibits are true and accurate
 depictions of data extracted from the iPhone 13 Pro Max at
- 8 Government's Exhibit 7-1, through the use of GrayKey, a reliable
- forensic access tool, that extracted data from the cell phone."
- 10 It's Exhibits 7-2, 7-3, 7-4, 7-5, 7-6, and 7-7. At
- 11 this time, we would move to admit those exhibits, Your Honor.
- MR. WENSTRUP: No objection.
- 13 THE COURT: They will be admitted without objection.
- 14 (Government's Exhibit Nos. 7-2 through 7-7 received in
- 15 evidence.)
- 16 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 17 Q. Could we take a look at Exhibit 7-2, please? Do you
- 18 recognize this item?
- 19 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 20 **Q.** What is depicted here?
- 21 **A.** This is a preliminary device report from Cellebrite
- regarding this device, Item 5.
- 23 Q. What is the device name of this device?
- 24 A. The device name is hailongiPhone.
- 25 \mathbf{Q} . Is this the same as the name of the device that was

- accessing the Sea Dragon JPMorgan accounts? 1
- Α. It was. 2
- And, again, this was found in Joseph Wong's possession? 3 Q.
- Correct. Α. 4
- What phone numbers are associated with this phone? 5 Q.
- Phone numbers 1024 and 1546. 6 Α.
- 7 Is that 1546 number the one used on the Sea Dragon bank 0.
- accounts? 8
- It is. 9 Α.
- Was the 1024 number also associated with Wong's primary 10
- phone? 11
- Α. 12 It was.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 7-3? 13
- (Exhibit published.) 14
- BY MS. BEDELL: 15
- What's depicted here? 16 0.
- These are applications that were installed on this 17
- device. 18
- And what were the applications installed on this device, 19
- 20 generally?
- Generally, they were banking applications. 21 Α.
- 22 Could you look at Exhibit 7-4? What is this document? Q.
- 23 Α. These are accounts that are associated with this device.
- 24 Q. And in creating this exhibit, did you just select the key
- accounts that had recognizable information with them? 25

- Yes, I did. I believe there were three accounts that 1 Α.
- were not linked to any type of email address or that was 2
- recognizable that I excluded from this report. 3
- What is the user account associated with the last entry? 0. 4
- Α. Zhuhailong923@gmail.com. 5
- Did you come across this email anywhere else in the 6
- investigation? 7
- I did. Α. 8
- Do you recall where? 9 Q.
- There's a sticky note that was found in his wallet 10
- during the search warrant. 11
- Whose wallet are you referring to there? 12 Q.
- Hailong Zhu's wallet. 13 Α.
- Could you look at Exhibit 7-5, please? What is this 14
- document? 15
- These are notes that were in the Notes app of this phone, 16 Α.
- and it appears to be showing account -- last four digits of 17
- account numbers associated with Mingxing Trading LLC and the Sea 18
- 19 Dragon Remodel at Chase.
- 20 0. Could you look at Exhibit 7-6, please? What is this
- document? 21
- 22 Α. These are various text messages to this device.
- 23 Q. What do these chats primarily consist of?
- 24 They consist of banks sending verification codes to this
- phone number. 25

- Q. And so basically your review of the phone, what did you
- conclude this phone was primarily used for?
- A. This phone was primarily used to access Hailong Zhu's
- online banking account at Sea Dragon -- the Sea Dragon accounts.
- 5 Q. Let's take a look at 7-7, so the last one from this
- 6 device. What is this exhibit?
- 7 A. This is a Cellebrite report showing the details of this
- 8 PDF, seadragon.article.pdf.
- 9 Q. More generally, does this exhibit contain numerous PDFs
- 10 found on the phone?
- 11 A. Yes, it does.
- 12 Q. If we look at page 2, what is the document?
- 13 **A.** Page 2 is the State of California articles of
- 14 incorporation for Sea Dragon Remodel Inc.
- 15 | Q. And does the rest of this exhibit proceed similarly --
- 16 (Court reporter interruption.)
- MS. BEDELL: I'm sorry. I'm trying to move quickly.
- 18 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 19 Q. Does the rest of this exhibit proceed similarly where the
- 20 | first page is metadata and the second page is the document
- 21 itself?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. Let's look at page 4. What is this document?
- 24 **A.** This is an IRS notification showing that Sea Dragon
- 25 Remodel received an employer identification number.

- 1 Q. And then page 8, what is this?
- 2 A. This is the bank statement, Hailong Zhu's U.S. Bank, for
- the period October 25th through November 22nd of 2022.
- 4 O. Does the account end in 4148?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And is this Mr. Zhu's personal account?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. Could you look at page 13, please? What is this?
- 9 A. Sorry, these aren't numbered here. This is the State of
- 10 | California statement of information for Sea Dragon Remodel Inc.
- 11 Q. And then page 16, please, what is this?
- 12 **A.** This is the bank statement for East West Bank, account
- 13 ending 9074, in the name of Hailong Zhu.
- 14 Q. And this is a personal account?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Moving on to exhibit -- the 8 series, group 8.
- MS. BEDELL: I will read another stipulation.
- "The following exhibits are true and accurate
- depictions of data extracted from the iPhone 13 at Government's
- 20 Exhibit 8-1, through the use of GrayKey, a reliable forensic
- 21 | access tool, that extracted data from the cell phone."
- Exhibits 8-2, 8-3, 8-4, and 8-5; at this time, we would
- 23 | move to admit those exhibits.
- MR. WENSTRUP: No objection.
- THE COURT: They will be admitted without objection.

```
Case 1:23-cr-00081-MSN Document 109 Filed 11/01/23 Page 107 of 192 PageID# 1470 107
                               -Saunders - Direct - Bedell-
                (Government's Exhibit Nos. 8-2 through 8-5 received in
 1
     evidence.)
 2
               MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 8-2, please?
 3
                (Exhibit published.)
 4
     BY MS. BEDELL:
 5
            Do you recognize this item?
 6
     Q.
            I do.
 7
     Α.
            And what is it?
 8
     Q.
            This is the preliminary device report showing the details
 9
     Α.
     out of Item 8, which is one of the devices in Wong's possession.
10
            What is the device name of this device?
11
     0.
12
     Α.
            MingxingiPhone.
            And what is the Apple ID associated with the phone?
13
     Q.
            Mingxinglyn@gmail.com.
14
     Α.
            What phone numbers are associated with this phone?
15
     Q.
            Phone number 5475.
16
     Α.
            Is there also a phone number 1024?
17
     Q.
            Yes, there is.
     Α.
18
            And was that one associated with the hailongiPhone and
19
     Q.
20
     Wong's primary phone as well?
     Α.
            Yes.
21
22
            Okay. Could you look at Exhibit 8-3? What is this
```

This is a listing of user accounts associated with this device.

page -- or what is this exhibit?

23

24

- 1 Q. Could you look at the account at the bottom of the first
- 2 page?
- 3 **A.** Number 6?
- 4 O. Yes. What is the username?
- 5 A. The username is sunkangjun1967@gmail.com.
- 6 Q. Is that name associated with PBB International
- 7 Consulting?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. Does this exhibit contain user accounts associated with
- the names of Bofa Cai, Huimin Huang, and Yunzhu Xie, among
- 11 others?
- 12 A. Yes, it does.
- 13 Q. Let's jump to Exhibit 8-5; what is this exhibit?
- 14 **A.** This is the Cellebrite extraction report for -- well,
- 15 | sorry. These are various emails from this device.
- 16 Q. And does the first page contain data about the email?
- 17 A. Yes, it does.
- 18 Q. And in the left column, what appears there?
- 19 A. In the left column, it shows the parties and the email.
- 20 | So this one is from Chase to Bofa Cai's email address.
- 21 **Q.** And then what appears in this column labeled "content"?
- 22 **A.** It has the subject, and it has the body. The body is not
- in a language that --
- 24 Q. I'm sorry, I could not hear that last --
- 25 A. It has the subject, and it has the body. The body is not

- in a language that we can read, so you have to pull up the
- 2 actual email to read it.
- 3 Q. And so turning to page 2, is this the actual email?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. Does the rest of the exhibit proceed in a similar
- 6 fashion, alternating pages between metadata and the email?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- MS. BEDELL: Court's indulgence, Your Honor.
- 9 (Whereupon, there was a brief pause in the
- 10 proceedings.)
- 11 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 12 Q. We will move on to Exhibit 9-1. Could you take a look at
- 13 Exhibit 9-1? That is a phone that you have not previously
- 14 | looked at. Actually, I apologize. Could you just generally
- 15 characterize the emails that were in the exhibit?
- 16 **A.** Yeah. So they were emails from banks noting alerts on
- 17 | accounts. Anytime they would, you know, add a wire payment,
- 18 that would be sent back to them in an email, "successful wire
- 19 payment added." So mostly emails from banks.
- 20 | Q. Now we will look at Exhibit 9-1. Do you recognize this
- 21 exhibit?
- 22 **A.** I do.
- 23 **Q.** What is it?
- 24 A. This is the phone belonging to Hailong Zhu that was found
- 25 at his residence along with a SIM card.

- Q. Did you receive and analyze a forensic copy of this phone?
- **A.** I did.
- Q. And did you find the items and information relevant to your investigation on that device?
- **A.** Yes.

7 MS. BEDELL: The next stipulation, Your Honor:

"The following exhibits are true and accurate depictions of data extracted from the iPhone 11 Pro Max at Government's Exhibit 9-1, through the use of GrayKey, a reliable forensic access tool, that extracted data from the cell phone."

9-2, 9-3, 9-4, 9-6A, and 9-7.

And then, additionally, regarding translations of chats from extraction of iPhone 12 Pro Max, "Leyou Li, a contract Chinese linguist, is the translator and owner of the translations of WeChat chats from the" iPhone -- excuse me -- "11 Pro Max, Government's Exhibit 9-1, found at 25W171 Essex Avenue, Naperville-DuPage, Illinois. Mr. Li reviewed the chat transcripts located at Government's Exhibit 9-6A and prepared a translation of the chats located at Government's Exhibit 9-6. The translations are fair and accurate to the best of Mr. Li's knowledge and ability as a Chinese linguist. A copy of Mr. Li's curriculum vitae is at Government's Exhibit 16-17 and is admissible."

And so at this point, Your Honor, we would move to

- 1 admit 9-2, 9-3, 9-4, 9-6, 9-6A, and 9-7.
- THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. WENSTRUP: No objection.
- THE COURT: They will be admitted without objection.
- 5 (Government's Exhibit Nos. 9-2, 9-3, 9-4, 9-6, 9-6A,
- and 9-7 received in evidence.)
- 7 MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 9-2?
- 8 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 9 Q. What is this device -- what is this exhibit?
- 10 **A.** This is the GrayKey progress report showing some of the
- 11 details of this device during the extraction.
- 12 Q. What is the device name here?
- 13 A. Device name is iPhone.
- 14 Q. And the model?
- 15 A. iPhone 11 Pro Max.
- 16 Q. What are the accounts associated with the phone?
- 17 A. Hailongzhu666@icloud.com, hailongzhu1983923@icloud.com.
- 18 Q. Does Exhibit 9-3 contain user accounts associated with
- 19 this phone?
- 20 A. Yes, it does.
- 21 **Q.** And are the user accounts associated with the phone
- 22 | mostly those two iCloud accounts that you just read?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 **Q.** Let's take a look at Exhibit 9-4. What is this exhibit?
- 25 \mathbf{A} . 9-4 is the metadata around a screenshot that was found on

- 1 the device.
- Q. And in backing up a little bit, does 9-4 contain a number
- of images found on Mr. Zhu's phone? You can flip through the
- 4 pages of 9-4 and just confirm that.
- 5 A. Yes. There are two -- are you asking for the total
- 6 number of --
- 7 Q. No, no, just general -- what does it generally contain
- 8 within 9-4?
- 9 A. It's images found in Hailong Zhu's phone.
- 10 Q. Looking at page 1 here, you said this was the metadata,
- but there's an image at the top. Is this a capture of what the
- 12 image is?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. And what is on the -- in looking at that image itself,
- what is depicted there?
- 16 A. This is the O Diamonds Trading Limited wire instructions.
- 17 Q. What is on the left in that image?
- 18 | A. On the left is the -- is who the messages are coming in
- 19 from.
- 20 Q. So a list of other text messages that came in?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** What is the text that came in from 66719?
- 23 A. That's a text message from U.S. Bank on the account
- 24 ending 4148.
- 25 Q. And that's his personal account?

Saunders - Direct - Bedell

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- Q. What is the creation date associated with this image?
- 3 **A.** 1/24/2023.
- 4 Q. Looking down at the file path here, it's a bit long, but
- does it contain the location SplashBoard/Snapshots?
- 6 A. Yes, it does.
- 7 MS. BEDELL: Could we look at page 2, please?
- 8 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 9 Q. And what is depicted here?
- 10 A. This is the metadata associated with an image found in
- 11 this phone.
- 12 Q. What is included in -- what is the file path?
- 13 **A.** The file path is IMG 1069.PNG.
- 14 Q. Is that, perhaps, the file name?
- 15 A. Yes, that's the file name. You asked for the file path?
- 16 Q. Yes, at the top.
- 17 **A.** The directory?
- 18 **Q.** Yes.
- 19 **A.** DCIM.
- 20 Q. What is depicted on this sheet of paper?
- 21 A. 1140 South El Molino, Alhambra, California, and then a
- 22 | phone number ending in 1546, and then that email address
- zhuhailong.
- 24 Q. Have you seen this email address before?
- 25 A. Yes, I have.

-Saunders - Direct - Bedell-

- 1 Q. Where was it?
- 2 A. I don't recall.
- 3 Q. Could you look at page 4, please? And is this just the
- 4 image itself that we had looked at the metadata for?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. Do the rest of the images here proceed in the same
- 7 fashion?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. For this one, we'll focus on the pages that actually
- 10 | contain the metadata. So if we could look at page 5. Zooming
- in on this top part, so we can see some of the opening
- information, what is depicted here?
- 13 **A.** This is the IRS notification of an employer
- 14 | identification number for Sea Dragon Trading LLC.
- 15 Q. What is the name of the file?
- 16 A. The name of the file is -- it's 1103.HEIC, and the DCIM
- 17 directory.
- 18 Q. Could we scroll down? What is the create date?
- 19 **A.** The --
- 20 Q. I'm sorry, you're right, it's right there.
- 21 **A.** The creation date is 12/14/2022.
- MS. BEDELL: Can we look at page 8, please?
- BY MS. BEDELL:
- 24 **Q.** And looking at the top, what is depicted here?
- 25 **A.** This is image 1105.HEIC, also in the DCIM directory. It

- is the California Secretary of State business filing for Sea
- 2 Dragon Trading, LLC.
- 3 Q. And what is the create date?
- 4 **A.** 12/14/2022.
- MS. BEDELL: Can we look at page 8 -- I'm sorry --
- 6 page 11, please? And zooming in at the top again.
- 7 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 8 Q. What are we looking at here?
- 9 A. File name 1106.HEIC, also in the DCIM directory, on
- 10 | 12/14/2022. It is an image of the California Secretary of State
- 11 certified copy filing for Sea Dragon Trading LLC.
- 12 Q. Looking at page 14, what is depicted here?
- 13 A. 1107.HEIC, also in the DCIM directory, created on
- 14 | 12/14/2022. This is the state of California articles of
- 15 organization for Sea Dragon Trading LLC.
- 16 Q. Page 17, please, what is depicted here?
- 17 **A.** Image 1108.HEIC, in the DCIM directory, on 12/14/2022.
- 18 This is the state of California statement of information for Sea
- 19 Dragon Trading LLC.
- 20 **Q.** Page 20, please what is depicted here?
- 21 **A.** 1113.HEIC is the file name in the DCIM directory on
- 22 | 12/17/2022. It's an image of Hailong Zhu's Sea Dragon Remodel
- Wells Fargo card and his, presumably, Illinois state ID card.
- 24 **Q.** And does that card depict his signature as well?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at page 23, please?
- 2 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 3 Q. And what is -- what are we looking at here?
- 4 A. This is a 1124.PNG file in the DCIM directory created on
- 5 1/7/2023. This is a screenshot, looks like a screenshot of a
- 6 chat with Nikki, and the green text is Hailong Zhu.
- 7 Q. How do you know that that is Hailong Zhu?
- 8 A. The image for the profile of the ammunition and the
- 9 lighters seen elsewhere in his phone chatting with other
- 10 individuals.
- 11 Q. And what application is this chat from?
- 12 **A.** Excuse me?
- 13 Q. What application is this chat from?
- 14 A. We don't know -- I don't know the application, if this
- was just a screenshot. We think it's WeChat because that's the
- 16 same picture on his WeChat, but this was a screenshot.
- 17 \mathbf{Q} . Can we go to page 25? I think it will be easier to look
- 18 at. It's a close-up there. You mentioned that the picture
- 19 associated with Zhu's screenshot -- could we zoom next to that
- 20 | first bubble so we can see what that is? Are you able to make
- out what this is, Special Agent Saunders?
- 22 A. This image?
- 23 **Q.** Yes.
- 24 A. Yeah. There are handgun rounds, ammunition, three of
- 25 them, and then a lighter.

- Could you look at the chat that was sent on 10/17, the 1 Q.
- first image? 2
- MS. BEDELL: Could we zoom in on that? 3
- BY MS. BEDELL: 4
- And what is depicted in this image? 5 Q.
- This appears to be Chase username and password. 6 Α.
- And can you see any names or recognizable words there? 7 Ο.
- Yeah. There's Sea Dragon and the password. Α. 8
- MS. BEDELL: Could we zoom back out and go to the 9
- second chat? 10
- BY MS. BEDELL: 11
- Who sent this image? 12 Q.
- This image came from Hailong Zhu, and it's his Bank of 13 Α.
- America card and it's the card number ending 8943 and his name 14
- Hailong Zhu. 15
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at page 26, please? 16
- BY MS. BEDELL: 17
- What is depicted here? 18 0.
- This is a -- this is an image of a boarding pass from 19
- 20 L.A. to Chicago.
- And what is the date? 21 Q.
- 22 Α. The date is January 27th.
- 23 And what is the name on the boarding pass? Q.
- 24 Α. The name? Zhu Hailong -- Hailong Zhu.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 9-6, please? 25

- 1 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 2 Q. What is this?
- 3 A. This is various WeChats found in Hailong Zhu's device.
- 4 Q. And which messages are from Zhu?
- 5 A. The ones that are in blue.
- 6 Q. And are those the ones ending 2812 as well?
- 7 A. Correct.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look to page 2, please?
- 9 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 10 Q. What is the first message from Zhu on this page?
- 11 **A.** This is what appears to be a screenshot with Mingxing's
- 12 itinerary for his flight. It says, "Your flight is booked."
- 13 **Q.** What is the date that this message was sent?
- 14 **A.** 9/2/2022.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we turn to page 3?
- 16 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 17 \mathbf{Q} . And does page 3 depict the bottom half of the screenshot?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And what are the additional details that are visible in
- this bottom half of the screenshot?
- 21 **A.** It shows the actual flight information from Chicago to
- 22 Los Angeles on the United Airlines flight at 1:58 p.m.
- 23 **Q.** And on what date is the flight?
- MS. BEDELL: Actually, sorry, I apologize. Going back
- to the chats that you had previously pulled up.

-Saunders - Direct - Bedell-

```
1 BY MS. BEDELL:
```

- 2 Q. Can you read what these messages say?
- A. You mean to read after the image here starting with, "I'd
- 4 like you to book" -- so Hailong Zhu says on 9/2/2022, "I'd like
- you to book me on a flight on the 6th at 1:48." And then user
- 6 ending in 021 says, "Didn't you already book this flight?"
- 7 Hailong Zhu: "Please check if there are the lights on
- 8 the 6th that is one or two hours later than the one already
- 9 booked."
- 10 Q. When it references "lights," what do you understand has
- 11 happened there?
- 12 A. We understand a typo, and that should be "flights."
- 13 Q. Could you look at page 5, please? Can you read the
- 14 second chat on page 5?
- 15 A. "My town fellow has already booked me a flight."
- 16 **Q.** Then what happens below that?
- 17 **A.** And then there was a screenshot of the flight
- 18 information.
- 19 Q. And what numbers are at the top there -- sorry.
- MS. BEDELL: Scroll down a little bit.
- 21 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 22 **Q.** The date 9/6.
- MR. WENSTRUP: Your Honor, just a point of
- 24 | clarification. I know we're looking at English messages, but I
- just wanted to clarify that these were -- the original messages

```
-Saunders - Direct - Bedell-
     were in Mandarin, and these have been subsequently translated by
1
     a translator.
2
     BY MS. BEDELL:
3
            Is that correct?
     0.
4
            Yes, that's correct.
5
     Α.
            And are the original Mandarin messages at Exhibit 9-6A?
6
7
     Α.
            Yes.
               THE COURT: To be clear, Agent, there was no
8
     communication between these individuals in the English language;
9
     is that correct?
10
               THE WITNESS: That's correct.
11
               THE COURT: Let me be clear: What you're seeing is
12
13
     translated versions of the original messages?
               MS. BEDELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
               Can we take a look at Exhibit 9-7, which is a CD?
15
               (Video played.)
16
     BY MS. BEDELL:
17
            What is the date on this video -- or what is this
18
     exhibit?
19
20
            This is -- the date is 12/10. It's a video from Hailong
     Zhu's phone.
21
               THE COURT: Counsel, let me ask you to stop just for
22
23
     one second. I just need to speak with my clerks for a moment, so
24
     if you could put on the noise machine, please.
               (Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.)
25
```

Saunders - Direct - Bedell

- THE COURT: You may continue. 1
- MS. BEDELL: I'm sorry, could we just play 9-7 again? 2
- (Video played.) 3
- BY MS. BEDELL: 4
- Do you recognize the location that this video is filmed? 5
- Yes. This is near Caesar's Palace on the Las Vegas 6
- 7 strip.
- This is -- this is the shot at the end of this video. 8 Q.
- Who are the people depicted here? 9
- The people that I recognize from this angle: In the blue 10
- jean shirt is Joseph Wong, and then in the sweatshirt with the 11
- white hood is Little 7, or Small 7. 12
- 13 Q. Is there one who appears to be standing in front of Wong?
- Yes. You can make out her hair right there right in 14
- front of Wong. 15
- Just to be clear, all of these exhibits in this 9 group 16
- that we've gone through, these are all items that were found on 17
- Hailong Zhu's iPhone? 18
- That's correct. 19 Α.
- 20 0. And where was that iPhone seized from?
- The residence that he was staying in when we made the 21
- 22 arrest.
- 23 And what city was that in? Q.
- 24 Α. Naperville, Illinois, off of Essex Avenue.
- As part of your investigation, did you look into various 25 Q.

Saunders - Direct - Bedell

- phone numbers that appeared in this case? 1
- Yes, I did. 2
- And did you look into the phone number, that 3 Q.
- (773) 828-1816? 4
- I did. Α. 5
- And was that the same phone number that was listed on 6
- Mr. Zhu's personal bank account -- excuse me -- personal 7
- U.S. Bank account? 8
- The U.S. Bank account, yes. 9 Α.
- 10 Is it the same number that was associated with the phone
- seized from Zhu? 11
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Did you receive records from T-Mobile regarding this
- number? 14
- Α. I did. 15
- MS. BEDELL: I want to read Stipulation No. 7. 16
- "The United States and the defendant, Hailong Zhu, 17
- stipulate and agree that the exhibits set forth below are 18
- authentic, accurate copies of business records of T-Mobile, Inc. 19
- 20 that meet the requirements of Federal Rule of Evidence 902(11)
- and 803(6). The defendant reserves his right to object to 21
- 22 admissibility on other grounds."
- 23 Exhibits 16-10 and 16-11; at this point, we would move
- 24 to admit those exhibits, Your Honor.
- MR. WENSTRUP: No objection. 25

```
-Saunders - Direct - Bedell-
               THE COURT: Admitted without objection.
1
               (Government's Exhibit Nos. 16-10 and 16-11 received in
2
     evidence.)
3
               MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 16-10, please?
4
     Actually, that's a CD, I believe. I apologize. That might be
5
     16-11.
6
7
               THE WITNESS: There's a 16-11 in here.
               (Exhibit published.)
8
     BY MS. BEDELL:
9
            What is contained on this CD?
10
     Ο.
            It is the T-Mobile records for that 773 number.
11
12
     Q.
            And is there a subscriber name listed when you reviewed
     those records?
13
14
     Α.
            There was not.
            And why do you understand that to be?
15
     Q.
            It was a wholesale provider of a prepaid -- wholesale
16
     providers, they oftentimes don't require you to provide
17
     subscriber information.
18
            Now we can look at 16-10, which is in the binder.
19
     Q.
20
               MS. BEDELL: And if we could publish that, please.
               (Exhibit published.)
21
     BY MS. BEDELL:
22
23
            And what is this document?
            16-10 is the call detail records provided by T-Mobile for
24
     Α.
```

this phone number ending in 1816.

-Saunders - Direct - Bedell-

- And when do the call records start? 1 Q.
- On January 5, 2022. 2 Α.
- Is that when this account was activated? 3 Q.
- Α. That's what the subscriber information says. 4
- Did you review these records? 5 Q.
- I did. 6 Α.
- Are the calls with Wong's phone numbers ending in 1044 7 Ο.
- and 6466 numbers highlighted in red? 8
- Α. Yes. 9
- 10 MS. BEDELL: Could we turn to page 30?
- BY MS. BEDELL: 11
- And in looking at page 30, is this the first time you saw 12 Q.
- the 1816 number communicating with a number known to belong to 13
- Joseph Wong? 14
- Yes, on September 9th of 2022. 15 Α.
- And which number was that he was communicating with? 16 0.
- 6466. 17 Α.
- And does the 1816 number continue to be fairly regularly 18 0.
- in contact with the two numbers associated with Wong through 19
- 20 early January as reflected in these records?
- Α. Yes. 21
- 22 And when do the records end? Q.
- 23 Α. They end on January the 20th, 2023.
- 24 Q. And why do they end on that date?
- 25 Α. That was the date that we served the subpoena to

- 1 T-Mobile.
- Q. And did you find any other records of Zhu's calls with
- 3 this phone number?
- 4 A. Yes. In his phone, we saw the call records from his
- 5 actual device.
- Q. And did you identify any other calls with Joseph Wong or
- 7 Nikki?
- 8 A. I did. Into February, there were calls with both
- 9 Joseph Wong and to Nikki.
- 10 | Q. And can you describe -- do you know the duration of those
- 11 calls, approximate duration?
- 12 A. The call with Nikki was over three minutes long. The
- 13 | call with Wong, I don't think was very long.
- 14 Q. Okay. Did you receive records from The Venetian that
- were relevant to this investigation?
- 16 **A.** I did.
- 17 Q. What is The Venetian?
- 18 A. It's a casino in Las Vegas.
- MS. BEDELL: At this point, I would read Stipulation
- 20 No. 8.
- "The United States and the defendant, Hailong Zhu,
- 22 | stipulate and agree that the exhibits set forth below are
- authentic, accurate copies of business records of The Venetian
- 24 Resort and The Venetian Las Vegas Gaming that meet the
- 25 requirements of Federal Rule of Evidence 902(11) and 803(6). The

```
-Saunders - Direct - Bedell-
```

- defendant reserves his right to object to admissibility on other 1 grounds." 2
- Exhibits 16-9 and 16-9B; at this point, we move to 3 admit those exhibits, Your Honor. 4
- MR. WENSTRUP: No objection. 5
- THE COURT: Admitted without objection. 6
- (Government's Exhibit Nos. 16-9 and 16-9B received in 7 evidence.) 8
- MS. BEDELL: Can we look at 16-9, please? 9
- BY MS. BEDELL: 10
- What is this document? 11
- This is the account information for Hailong Zhu that was 12 Α. 13 provided to The Venetian.
- 14 MS. BEDELL: And could we turn to page 2?
- BY MS. BEDELL: 15
- What is reflected here? 16 0.
- This is a summary of his plays at The Venetian. 17 Α.
- How many trips did he make to Vegas where he visited The 18
- Venetian? 19
- 20 Α. There were five trips. The one on 12/23, it says he did
- not play. 21
- Okay. And how are you understanding -- can you help us 22
- 23 understand what we're looking at in these records a little bit?
- Yeah. So the first column here on 11/10/2022 was a 24 Α.
- six-day play, as shown by the 6D there, and then it continues 25

- 1 on.
- Q. And so on that, it says -- excuse me. So just taking an
- example of the 12/9 trip in the second column that's currently
- on the screen, it says "12/09/22 4D"; what does that mean?
- 5 A. Four days of playing.
- Q. And then above that, it says "2NP"; what does that mean?
- 7 A. Two days of not playing within that four-day time period.
- Q. And for this trip, what was the cash deposit for that
- 9 trip?
- 10 **A.** \$75,000.
- 11 Q. And what were -- okay. Excuse me.
- 12 Could we look at the trip on, I believe, 11/10? It's the
- 13 first column on the top section. How long was this trip?
- 14 **A.** It was a six-day trip with three days of not playing.
- 15 Q. And what was his cash deposit for this trip?
- 16 **A.** 50,000.
- 17 Q. And then was there a cash buy-in at all?
- 18 **A.** Yes, of 2,000.
- 19 Q. What were his winnings on this trip?
- 20 **A.** He won a little over 13,000 -- 13,088.
- 21 **Q.** Did you review other records that reflect what he cashed
- 22 out from this trip?
- 23 A. Yes. Other Venetian records show his cash out of
- approximately \$63,000.
- 25 **Q.** And what date was that on?

- 1 A. It was around this same time frame.
- Q. Did you see a large deposit into one of his bank accounts
- 3 following this trip?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- MS. BEDELL: Can we look at Exhibit 12-2, please? If
- 6 we could pull that up, and then if we could --
- 7 BY MS. BEDELL:
- 8 Q. So what is that large deposit that is around this time
- 9 frame?
- 10 **A.** There was a large cash deposit of \$75,000 on
- 11 November 15th, and we know it's cash because of the currency
- 12 transaction report that was filed.
- 13 Q. Is that the currency transaction report at Exhibit 12-4?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. Apologies. Returning back to 16-9; and on page 2, the
- 16 | final column on that bottom screen, what is that column
- 17 depicting?
- 18 A. The accumulated figures for these five visits.
- 19 Q. What is the total cash that was deposited across these
- 20 visits?
- 21 **A.** The cash deposits are \$275,000.
- MS. BEDELL: Could we look at Exhibit 16-9B, please?
- (Exhibit published.)
- BY MS. BEDELL:
- 25 Q. What are these reports -- or what is this document?

- These are surveillance notes taken by The Venetian. 1 Α.
- Could we go to the synopsis on the first note? What is 2
- the activity reflected here? 3
- It says that Hailong Zhu, his account ending in 110 at 4 Α.
- spot 3, in 20,000 marker, table limits. Joseph Wong account 5
- ending in 468. Spot 5 is pushing for Mr. Zhu. 6
- So from talking to The Venetian, what we know what that 7
- means is Joseph Wong is actually betting on behalf of Hailong 8
- Zhu. 9
- And if we go to page 2, what is the synopsis of the 10
- activity reflected there? 11
- It says that Hailong Zhu is pushing for Joseph Wang. 12 Α.
- Is the account number for this Joseph Wang the same as 13 Q.
- the account number we've seen for Joseph Wong on the previous 14
- page? 15
- It is. 16 Α.
- What is the date of this log? 17 Q.
- 12/10/2022. Α. 18
- MS. BEDELL: Court's indulgence, Your Honor. 19
- 20 (Whereupon, there was a brief pause in the
- proceedings.) 21
- BY MS. BEDELL: 22
- 23 One final question: You were here yesterday when we
- 24 reviewed evidence of guns that were found at Joseph Wong's home,
- correct? 25

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Did you investigate those guns?
- A. My understanding is that the agents found that the
- 4 | weapons were lawfully registered.
- 5 **Q.** Okay.
- MS. BEDELL: No further questions at this time, Your
- 7 Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 9 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, would you like to
- 10 stand up and stretch for a moment while we switch to
- cross-examination, and then try and push forward? Feel free to
- 12 stand up and stretch.
- Mr. Wenstrup, if you're ready for cross-examination,
- 14 you can come forward.
- MR. KAMENS: Your Honor, can counsel do the same?
- 16 THE COURT: Yes, and the witness as well.
- MR. WENSTRUP: May I proceed, Your Honor?
- 18 THE COURT: You may.
- 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MR. WENSTRUP:
- 21 Q. Good afternoon --
- 22 A. Good afternoon.
- 23 Q. -- Special Agent Saunders.
- Now, I'm going to take you back to the beginning of your
- 25 direct examination. You mentioned that you investigated a

- platform called SIMEX? 1
- That's correct. 2
- And that was the platform the scammers used to take money 3
- from Ms. Chavez? 4
- It wasn't on SIMEX. Ms. Chavez was a different one. 5
- think it was Gamma. 6
- Was that associated? 7 0.
- Α. It was. 8
- And based on your investigation, there's no evidence that 9 Q.
- Hailong had anything to do with managing or operating SIMEX? 10
- Α. Absolutely no evidence. 11
- And then earlier on direct, you also mentioned a man 12 Q.
- named Kessler, I believe his last name was? 13
- 14 Α. (No response.)
- That's correct? 15 Q.
- That's correct. 16 Α.
- And there's no evidence that Hailong ever contacted 17
- Mr. Kessler, correct? 18
- 19 Α. None.
- 20 Q. And just like there's no evidence that he had contacted
- any of the other victims? 21
- 22 Α. Correct.
- 23 Again, back on direct examination, there was -- you
- reviewed three clips of video surveillance with Ms. Bedell; do 24
- you remember that? 25

-Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup

- 1 Α. Yes.
- Now, in those clips, someone was with Hailong during each 2
- bank interaction, correct? 3
- Correct. Α. 4
- And the first interaction, Wong was just a couple of feet 5
- behind him, correct? 6
- 7 That's right. Α.
- While Hailong was at the teller? 8 Q.
- That's right. 9 Α.
- Q. And then the second surveillance footage was from the 10
- ATM, correct? 11
- That's right. 12 Α.
- And, there, Wong and Hailong are basically next to each 13 Q.
- 14 other?
- And Small 7 was also there as well. 15 Α.
- 16 0. Thank you.
- And then at the third surveillance footage, the woman 17
- we've kind of referred to that you've identified as Nikki was 18
- next to him at the teller window? 19
- 20 Α. Yes; we believe that to be Nikki.
- And on the surveillance footage, you can see that while 21 Ο.
- 22 she's at the teller window, Nikki is actually putting cash into
- 23 a bag?
- Yes. It looks like Hailong's pulling the cash out of the 24
- bag at the beginning, and then Nikki is putting the cash in the 25

Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup

- bag, and then she exits without the bag. 1
- So during the transaction, Nikki is actually handling the 2
- money during that transaction -- she is handling money during 3
- that transaction? 4
- She is handling money in addition to Hailong, yes. 5
- Now, you and Ms. Bedell also referred to a transaction 6
- that I believe it was at the Bank of America at the Wynn casino; 7
- am I remembering that correctly? 8
- Α. Yes. You're talking about the TRMS report from the bank? 9
- 10 Q. Yes.
- Α. Yes. 11
- Just so we're clear, was that at a Bank of America at the 12 Q.
- 13 Wynn casino?
- That, I don't know. In that report, it said Wynn. 14
- terms of if the bank was inside the casino or not, that, I 15
- wouldn't know. 16
- Got it. But it's a Bank of America location? 17 Q.
- Α. Correct. 18
- And that was an attempted withdrawal, correct? 19 Q.
- 20 Α. Attempted, that's right.
- And we didn't see any CCTV from that account, right? 21 Q.
- 22 Α. Any what?
- 23 Any surveillance footage from that interaction, correct? Q.
- 24 Α. Not from that one.
- MR. WENSTRUP: I would ask if the government can pull 25

-Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup

- up Exhibit 10-18. 1
- (Exhibit published.) 2
- BY MR. WENSTRUP: 3
- Do you mind, just in your binder, taking a look at 4
- Exhibit 10-18? 5
- Sure. Okay. 6
- 7 So toward the end of that document, after the transaction Ο.
- was declined, the notes there say that Hailong was confused, 8
- correct? 9
- I'm sorry, are you talking about the last page under the 10
- narrative? 11
- 12 Q. Yes. Thank you.
- 13 Α. Let me read it. Do you want me to read the whole thing?
- Go ahead and read it, sure. 14
- [As read]: Hailong Zhu visited FC 12/10/2022 around 15
- 9:50 a.m. requesting to withdraw 74,988 in cash. He just 16
- received a wire transfer of 75,000 the day prior, on 12/9/2022. 17
- When we asked him who was sending the funds, he seemed confused 18
- and he was not able to provide a name. 19
- 20 0. When the decline occurred, the report describes Hailong
- is confused, correct? 21
- 22 Α. Correct.
- 23 And he told them that he would need to check his phone,
- 24 correct?
- That's correct, and then he said it was for some 25 A.

- 1 remodeling he did for someone.
- Q. All right. And then -- if you recall, there was also an
- occurrence where Hailong had attempted to make a withdrawal with
- 4 a Ms. Andrea Pozos, correct, from U.S. Bank?
- 5 A. At U.S. Bank, yep.
- 6 Q. And in that interaction, she said she also declined his
- 7 transaction, correct?
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9 Q. And at that point, she -- in that interaction, she said
- 10 that he asked her what to do at that point, correct?
- 11 **A.** (No response.)
- 12 Q. I can just be a little more clear. In this interaction,
- once the transaction was declined, he said he was -- the report
- 14 says that Hailong was confused and had to check his phone. In
- 15 the interaction with Ms. Pozos, she said that after she declined
- 16 the international transfer, he then asked her if there was
- 17 | anything that he could do?
- MS. BEDELL: Objection, Your Honor; he's just repeating
- 19 | the other witness' testimony at this point.
- THE COURT: Just ask a simple question. Let him answer
- 21 | if he remembers the testimony. The jury's recollection will
- 22 recall.
- MR. WENSTRUP: I think the point was made, Your Honor.
- 24 We can save time and move on.
- 25 /////

- 1 BY MR. WENSTRUP:
- Q. And then -- actually, I want to go back. You mentioned
- with Ms. Bedell a picture of a power bill with Hailong's name on
- 4 it, correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. And I think you testified that that image was found on
- 7 his phone?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And it was your belief, based on that power bill, that he
- 10 resided at the house?
- 11 A. Yes. Typically, if your name --
- 12 Q. It was just yes or no.
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. So you did conduct some surveillance operations in this
- 15 case, correct?
- 16 A. We did.
- 17 **Q.** Okay. But no Secret Service agent ever observed Hailong
- 18 at that address, correct?
- 19 A. When I did the surveillance, it was after he had already
- 20 left L.A.
- 21 Q. I appreciate that. I'm asking during any surveillance at
- 22 any time, did any Secret Service agent observe Hailong at that
- 23 address?
- 24 **A.** No.
- 25 Q. And did you ever conduct a search on this address?

-Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup-

- On which address? 1 Α.
- The El Molino address where you were saying he lived. 2 Q.
- Like, a search warrant? 3 Α.
- Yes. 4 Q.
- 5 Α. No.
- So the Secret Service never searched the inside of this 6
- 7 house?
- Α. No. 8
- So the Secret Service never found any of Hailong's 9 Q.
- belongings in this house? 10
- Α. No. 11
- The Secret Service never found a bedroom for Hailong at 12
- this house? 13
- 14 Α. No.
- The Secret Service never found a phone or electronics of 15
- his at this house? 16
- 17 Α. No.
- The Secret Service never found pictures of his or his 18
- loved ones at this house? 19
- 20 Α. No.
- So there was no evidence from the house itself that 21
- 22 Hailong lived there?
- 23 Later on when we were looking for Wong, we did speak with
- 24 someone at that residence, and that individual did say that she
- recognized his name and that he did reside there. 25

Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup

- Who was this person? 1 Q.
- She said to have lived there. There's an interview 2
- report on it. 3
- I'm just asking do you remember who this person was that 4 0.
- said that he lived there? 5
- Α. I don't. 6
- Do you remember -- did you do an identification 7 Ο.
- procedure? 8
- Α. No. 9
- What race was this person? 10 Q.
- Α. What race was she? 11
- 12 Q. Yes.
- She appeared to be, like, Asian. 13 Α.
- Like, Asian? Do you know where she was from? 14 Q.
- Α. No. 15
- Did you talk to her at all about identifying people of 16 0.
- Asian origin? 17
- No. She just said that she recognized Hailong Zhu's 18 Α.
- 19 name.
- 20 Q. She recognized his name?
- Α. Yeah. 21
- 22 She didn't say that she had ever seen him there? Q.
- 23 Α. She said that he used to stay there.
- 24 Q. Did she say that she had seen him there?
- I guess not exactly like that. I don't recall. I just 25 Α.

- know that she said that --1
- Let me back up and save us some time. I'm asking -- she 2
- told you that he resided there? 3
- That's correct. Α. 4
- And I'm just asking did you ask follow-up questions about 5
- whether she had seen him there, whether she had seen his 6
- belongings there? Did you ask those kind of follow-up 7
- questions? 8
- She couldn't remember the time period that he was there, 9
- and so we did not ask any additional follow-up questions on 10
- that. 11
- 12 Q. So after -- so when you asked follow-up questions, she
- 13 just said she couldn't remember when he resided there, and no
- more follow-up questions about whether he lived there or not? 14
- No more follow-up questions about Hailong Zhu, correct. 15 Α.
- We've also established that other people possessed 16
- Hailong's bank documents and papers and account information for 17
- Hailong, correct? 18
- 19 Α. Correct.
- 20 Q. And so it's certainly possible that someone put his name
- on the power account and he didn't live there, correct; that's 21
- 22 possible?
- 23 Α. I presume that is possible, yeah.
- 24 Q. Now, I guess just thinking about these accounts a little
- more broadly, if Mr. -- if Hailong was in control or -- if he 25

Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup

- was in control of his bank accounts, he would have had the
- ability to withdraw money from the accounts anytime there was
- money in them, correct?
- 4 A. Yes. Are we talking about his Sea Dragon accounts or his
- 5 personal accounts?
- 6 Q. Let's start with the Sea Dragon accounts.
- 7 **A.** Okay.
- Q. So if he was in control of the Sea Dragon accounts, then
- 9 anytime there was money in them, he would have had the capacity
- 10 to withdraw the money, correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. For example, if he thought he was owed money by the
- conspiracy and he had control of the bank accounts, he could
- 14 | have just -- he would have had the capacity to just make a
- 15 | withdrawal and keep that money, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 **Q.** To your knowledge, he never did that, correct?
- 18 A. To my knowledge --
- 19 Q. To your knowledge, he never withdrew a large amount of
- 20 money and kept it for himself, kept it for his personal use,
- 21 correct?
- 22 **A.** Correct. Cash is hard for us sometimes to verify where
- 23 | it goes after that, so --
- 24 Q. Let me stop you there. Do you believe, based on the
- 25 | totality of your investigation, that at any point, Hailong had

```
-
Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup
```

- withdrew large amounts of money from these Sea Dragon accounts 1
- and then kept it for himself? 2
- Large amounts, no. 3 Α.
- So I want to go to -- talk a little bit about the chats 4 0.
- between Nikki, Little 7, and Joseph Wong; and in those chats, 5
- Nikki is repeatedly giving instructions to Wong, correct? 6
- Yes, sir. 7 Α.
- She's giving instructions to him to take people to banks, 8
- correct? 9
- 10 Α. Right.
- She's giving him instructions about how to handle the 11
- accounts? 12
- 13 Α. Right.
- Nikki is giving instructions to Joseph about how to 14
- problem-solve when problems come up with the accounts? 15
- Α. 16 Yes.
- Obviously, Hailong is not on these chats, correct? 17
- He's not. Α. 18
- And so Nikki wasn't giving instructions to Hailong about 19
- 20 how to problem-solve with these accounts, correct?
- Α. She was not. 21
- MR. WENSTRUP: I'd ask if the government could publish 22
- 23 Exhibit 5-12, page 4.
- 24 (Exhibit published.)
- ///// 25

- 1 BY MR. WENSTRUP:
- 2 Q. And I would like -- I would just ask that you take a look
- at the chat excerpts on the bottom. Now, would you mind reading
- 4 the first line of the English translation?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- "Nikki: Hailong just asked, Don't we go to Wynn this
- 7 | time? Laughing emoji."
- Q. And then can you read Joseph's response?
- 9 A. "Joseph: Ha ha! He's got an idea."
- 10 Q. And so, there, he is comment- -- Joseph is commenting
- 11 that Hailong has an idea that's of his own to go to Wynn, and
- he's laughing at that idea, correct?
- 13 A. Yeah, that's what the chat says.
- 14 Q. And then after that -- we'll save you from reading
- 15 | emojis -- but Little 7 also sends chuckling emojis, right?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 **Q.** And those are in response that Hailong has an idea of his
- 18 own, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 **Q.** Essentially, they're laughing at him for having an idea?
- 21 A. I mean, I don't know that the context -- if they're
- 22 | laughing at the idea. It appears that they are, though, yes.
- 23 Q. And so you talked a little bit about the contents of
- Joseph's phone. You mentioned that there was an enormous amount
- of chats on that phone?

-Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup

- 1 Α. Right.
- I think you said terabytes of chats? 2 Q.
- Yeah, on all his phones combined, yeah. 3 Α.
- And those chats that -- those terabytes included chats 4 0.
- between Nikki, Joseph, and Little 7, correct? 5
- Correct. 6 Α.
- 7 Okay. And so some of the chats between Nikki, Joseph, Ο.
- and Little 7 were translated into English, correct? 8
- Α. Correct. 9
- But there were so many chats that there are actually 10
- chats between those three people that weren't translated, 11
- correct? 12
- We attempted to get all of them, all of the chats with 13
- Nikki and Small 7 -- or Little 7. I keep calling him "Small 7" 14
- because that's what I've been calling him the whole time. 15
- 16 0. No problem.
- We attempted to get all of them. 17
- So, I quess, "attempted," just for clarity: Did you get 18
- all of them translated? 19
- 20 Α. I mean, we might not have, but we attempted to locate all
- of them. If there were other WeChat usernames that we didn't 21
- recognize, that's where it became hard to figure out who's on 22
- 23 the other side of that WeChat.
- 24 Q. Sure. So after your attempts to identify all of the
- chats between these three people, it's possible that there are 25

```
-
Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup
```

- 1 even more chats between them out there?
- Sure. 2 Α.
- I just want to review --3 Q.
- MR. WENSTRUP: I'd ask if the government wouldn't mind 4
- publishing starting at 5-12, page 1, just some of the photographs 5
- found on Hailong's phone. 6
- (Exhibit published.) 7
- You said 5-12? I don't believe that's Hailong's phone. Α. 8
- I apologize. 9 Q.
- MR. WENSTRUP: Court's indulgence just for a second. 10
- (Whereupon, there was a brief pause in the 11
- 12 proceedings.)
- 13 MR. WENSTRUP: I appreciate the Court's indulgence.
- It's the wrong exhibit. 14
- BY MR. WENSTRUP: 15
- So we're looking at 9-4, page 1, and so there's a 16
- screenshot there up at the top, correct? 17
- Α. Yes, there is. 18
- And that's got O Diamonds Trading Limited Room B2 --19
- 20 there's a bank name -- I'm sorry -- there's an account name and
- address --21
- A. Right. 22
- 23 -- on that screenshot, correct? Q.
- 24 Α. That's correct.
- I have a little trouble seeing beneath that, but it 25 Q.

-Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup

- 1 appears beneath that there are two numbers, correct?
- Correct. 2
- So we've got a bank name -- I'm sorry -- an account name, 3 Q.
- an address below it, and two numbers beneath that, correct? 4
- Α. Correct. 5
- I appreciate -- do you read Mandarin? 6 Q.
- 7 Not at all. Α.
- I don't either. 8 Q.
- So is it possible that those two numbers might be an 9
- account number and a routing number? 10
- It is possible. 11 Α.
- And so this account name, account address, potentially 12 Q.
- account number, and routing number, that's all information a 13
- person would need if they were asked to complete a bank 14
- transfer, correct? 15
- That's correct. 16 Α.
- Now, I'd like to -- I know we mentioned this briefly on 17
- direct. 18
- MR. WENSTRUP: I apologize. If we could just go to 19
- 20 page 4.
- BY MR. WENSTRUP: 21
- 22 So, there, we've got a picture of what appears to be an
- 23 address, phone number, and an email address, correct?
- 24 Α. That's correct.
- Now, I guess -- Agent Saunders, in your day-to-day life, 25 0.

-Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup

- do you write down a note to yourself with your own phone number? 1
- Α. I do not. 2
- With your own email? 3 Q.
- I do not. Α. 4
- With your own address? 5 Q.
- 6 Α. Nope.
- 7 And do you ever write down phone numbers, addresses, Ο.
- email addresses that are not yours? 8
- Α. If I need to --9
- In your day-to-day life, do you ever write those things 10 Ο.
- down? 11
- That are not mine? 12 Α.
- That is not yours. Someone gives you a phone number to 13 Q.
- call later, do you ever write it down? 14
- I put it in my phone, so no. 15 Α.
- Never. All right. You're beyond handwritten notes? 16 0.
- 17 Α. Yes.
- Do you think it's possible that someone might write down 18
- information that they need to remember later? 19
- 20 Α. Yes.
- I know some of these things, I think, we can move through 21
- quickly. You and Ms. Bedell reviewed an IRS form, California 22
- 23 Secretary of State paperwork in Hailong's phone, correct?
- 24 Α. That's correct.
- And all of those documents were in English, correct? 25 0.

Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup

- 1 **A.** They were what?
- 2 Q. In English.
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. And then you also reviewed that there was a picture of a
- 5 Wells Fargo card in his phone, correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And, actually, the account number wasn't visible in that
- 8 picture, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- MR. WENSTRUP: Court's indulgence just for a moment.
- 11 (Whereupon, there was a brief pause in the
- 12 proceedings.)
- 13 BY MR. WENSTRUP:
- 14 Q. I'm going to consult with Lorraine here, just because
- we're so deep into the page numbers that I lost count.
- MR. WENSTRUP: I'm going to ask that the government
- publish the screenshot without the metadata, a screenshot of the
- 18 text. I ask that we not -- without the metadata so we can see
- 19 it. It will be a little bigger.
- 20 (Exhibit published.)
- 21 A. I don't know if there is one; is there? Oh, yeah, there
- 22 is. I see it right here.
- 23 Q. And so I guess rather than have us skip around pages,
- 24 | this is a blown-up image that is sent by Nikki to Hailong,
- 25 correct?

-- Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. I assume you've looked at a close-up of this image,
- 3 | correct, in the course of your investigation?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. And this image is Nikki sending Hailong
- information about his own bank accounts, correct?
- 7 A. Are you talking about the first image? Oh, this one?
- 8 Sorry. I thought -- yes, correct.
- 9 Q. And just to be clear, the image we're looking at is,
- 10 | that's Nikki sending Hailong information about his own accounts,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Yes. It appears to be -- obviously, we don't know what
- 13 the passwords -- like, what accounts they go to, but it appears
- 14 to be online login information.
- 15 Q. Okay. And that is information that, clearly, Nikki has,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. It came from her.
- 18 Q. It came from her. And she has to send it to Hailong?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- MR. WENSTRUP: Court's indulgence just for a moment.
- 21 (Whereupon, there was a brief pause in the
- 22 proceedings.)
- MR. WENSTRUP: Thank you.
- 24 BY MR. WENSTRUP:
- 25 Q. Just a quick point of clarification about the phones.

- 1 We've heard -- we just saw all these images from Hailong's
- phone, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And I think -- I just want to make sure that we're clear,
- because when we were talking about Hailong's phone, we're not
- 6 | talking about hailongiPhone, correct?
- 7 A. Correct, yes.
- Q. Because Hailong's phone, we're talking about the one that
- 9 was recovered from the search of him in Chicago?
- 10 **A.** Right.
- 11 Q. And hailongiPhone was the one that accessed his bank
- accounts and was found in the search of Wong's -- and was found
- in Wong's backpack?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And so to take us back a little bit to the entirety of
- 16 the investigation here --
- 17 THE COURT: Mr. Wenstrup, I'm going to interrupt. I'm
- 18 | sensitive to how long we've been sitting here. I was, perhaps,
- 19 | in my own mind, optimistically thinking we could finish
- 20 cross-examination and redirect and be able to take our lunch
- 21 | break, but I'm beginning to think that that may not be realistic.
- 22 I don't want to rush you.
- MR. WENSTRUP: I imagine we're in the ballpark of ten
- 24 minutes.
- THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to take an

```
Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup
```

- informal poll. If you can make it another 20 minutes, it may be 1
- a logical place to stop, but have a little bit longer lunch 2
- break, are you willing to do that? I don't want anyone to feel 3
- they have to. 4
- I'm seeing nodding heads and thumbs up. 5
- Counsel, you're both challenged to try and accommodate 6
- the jury's generosity here. 7
- If anyone at any point really needs a break, let us 8
- know, but that will, I think, help us all. 9
- You may continue. 10
- MR. WENSTRUP: Thank you, Your Honor. 11
- BY MR. WENSTRUP: 12
- So relatively early on in this investigation, you came 13 Q.
- upon Hailong's name? 14
- That's correct. 15 Α.
- And --16 0.
- Well, I came upon the Sea Dragon bank account first. 17 Α.
- Thank you. 18 0.
- So one of Marisol Chavez's wire transfers went to a Sea 19
- 20 Dragon account?
- Α. Correct. 21
- 22 Of course, when you looked at the account name on that
- 23 bank account, the account was in the name of Sea Dragon LLC or
- Trading or --24
- 25 Α. Right.

- 1 Q. Or something like that? That's right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. And then when you looked at the signatory on the account,
- 4 the name on that was Hailong Zhu, correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. And so because the account in his name, or where he was
- 7 the signatory, received funds from a scam, you suspected he
- 8 | could be involved in the scam?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And as a result, you also looked into the business of Sea
- Dragon Remodelling [sic] or -- you looked into the Sea Dragon
- 12 account, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. And Hailong's name was on those too?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And he was the sole accountholder?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. And you began identifying Sea Dragon bank
- 19 accounts?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And you spoke to officials at the banks, some of whom had
- 22 | already started investigating the accounts?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And they identified the device that accessed the accounts
- 25 as hailongiPhone?

- 1 A. On their online logins, yes.
- Q. Thank you. The banks identified, online, the logins to
- the Sea Dragon accounts were coming from a device called
- 4 hailongiPhone, correct?
- 5 A. Yes, and that is only from the Chase accounts. The other
- 6 accounts didn't capture as much information.
- 7 Q. But when you checked the device name, it's hailongiPhone,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 | Q. And so Hailong's name comes up again, correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And the fact that it was Hailong's iPhone used to access
- 13 these accounts contributed to you suspecting that Hailong could
- 14 be involved?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. And so Hailong's name on the accounts, this is exactly
- 17 | why Wong was recruiting people like Hailong, correct?
- 18 A. We have not been able to speak with Wong, so I'm not -- I
- 19 haven't been able to, so I'm not sure why he chose, you know,
- 20 different types of people to manage. But, yes, Wong does have a
- 21 pattern of managing other people as well.
- 22 Q. Right. And so because -- Hailong put his own name on the
- 23 | company's documents, correct?
- 24 A. Say that again. I'm sorry.
- 25 **Q.** Hailong put his own name on the company's documents?

-Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup

- 1 Α. Yes.
- And he put his own name on the bank accounts? 2 Q.
- 3 Α. Yes.
- All right. And Wong did not put his name on the bank 4
- accounts -- I'm sorry, Wong -- you talked about Wong's pattern; 5
- and in Wong's pattern, typically, on these company's bank 6
- accounts, his name wasn't there? 7
- That's correct. Α. 8
- And as a result, when bank authorities flagged a bank 9 0.
- account for fraud, it was Hailong's name on that account, 10
- correct? 11
- That's correct. 12 Α.
- 13 Q. Not Wong's?
- That is correct. 14 Α.
- And when bank authorities flagged a company making 15 Q.
- suspicious trades, it would be Hailong's name on the company, 16
- correct? 17
- That's correct. Α. 18
- Not Wong's? 19 Q.
- 20 Α. That's correct.
- And, for example, when the Secret Service investigates a 21 Ο.
- 22 fraud related to one of these bank accounts, it would be
- 23 Hailong's name on the account, correct?
- 24 Α. When we get the records back from the bank, it will show
- Hailong's name, that's correct. 25

- 1 Q. Not Wong's?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. And so the frauds will be tied to Hailong's name?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And the investigators, as a result, will be looking at
- 6 Hailong and not Wong initially?
- 7 A. Initially, yes, but we are most definitely looking at
- 8 Wong.
- 9 Q. Fair enough. But initially when the investigation
- 10 | starts, you're looking at Hailong and not Wong, correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Now, by February 2023, you suspected Joseph Wong was
- 13 involved?
- 14 **A.** February of '23, yes, that sounds right.
- 15 | Q. And you can -- in February 2023, you conducted
- 16 | surveillance on Wong, right?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. We heard a little of that surveillance yesterday?
- 19 **A.** Yep.
- 20 Q. On February 6, 2023, the Secret Service observed Wong
- 21 | picking up a person and going in with them to multiple banks?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And on February 7th, the Secret Service observed Wong
- 24 | picking up multiple people and going in with them to multiple
- 25 banks?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So by early February, the Secret Service had observed
- Wong bringing multiple people to multiple banks?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 Q. But the Secret Service still believed that Hailong
- 6 maintained control of the Sea Dragon accounts?
- 7 A. Yes. At that point, we had not been able to seize Wong's
- 8 phones.
- 9 Q. So you believed that before the search of Wong's home?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. So we heard a little bit about that search yesterday. We
- just discussed the Sea Dragon accounts a couple of minutes ago,
- and Hailong was a named accountholder on the Sea Dragon
- 14 accounts?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 **Q.** And his name on those accounts contributed to you
- 17 | thinking he was a suspect?
- 18 A. Yes, of course.
- 19 Q. And during the search of Wong's house, the Secret Service
- 20 | found the bank cards for the Sea Dragon accounts at Wong's
- 21 house?
- 22 A. Yes, they did.
- 23 Q. And I believe the Secret Service found about ten bank
- cards in Hailong's name at Wong's house?
- 25 A. That sounds about right.

- Q. And the Secret Service found account statements for the Sea Dragon accounts at Wong's house?
- 3 A. Yes, we did.
- 4 Q. The Secret Service found videos where Wong was calling
- 5 banks and discussing the Sea Dragon accounts, correct?
- 6 A. Yes. That was found much later on because it took a
- 7 while to examine the phones. I just don't want you thinking
- 8 that we saw it the day of.
- 9 Q. I appreciate that. I understand that the extraction
- 10 of --
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. -- phones, as Mr. Cruz told us about, is not
- instantaneous.
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 | Q. But they -- that video, the phone where that video was --
- THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, the receiver is out of
- 17 | batteries. May we change the batteries?
- 18 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.
- 19 (Whereupon, there was a brief pause in the
- 20 proceedings.)
- THE COURT: Are you ready?
- THE INTERPRETER: Yes.
- 23 BY MR. WENSTRUP:
- 24 Q. And on these videos of calls, Wong recorded himself
- 25 | pretending to be Hailong?

-Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup-

- 1 Α. Yes.
- And Wong recorded himself telling the banks that he was 2
- Hailong Zhu? 3
- Α. Yes. 4
- And in those videos, the banks proceeded to discuss the 5
- Sea Dragon accounts with Wong? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- And, again, we discussed earlier that the device name 8
- hailongiPhone contributed to you thinking that Hailong was a 9
- suspect, correct? 10
- Α. Correct. 11
- The name of the device --12 Q.
- 13 Α. Contributed to it, yes, correct.
- And so during the search of Wong's home, the Secret 14
- Service found that device, correct? 15
- That's correct. 16 Α.
- They found the device hailongiPhone in Wong's room, 17
- correct? 18
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Q. And that was one of the main phones recovered at Wong's
- house? 21
- 22 Α. I believe there were eight phones. Yes.
- 23 0. In that search of Wong's house, the Secret Service found
- 24 documents from other accounts, not just Hailong's, correct?
- Α. That's correct. 25

- There was a pile of bank cards that did not belong to 1 Q.
- Mr. Wong? 2
- Right. 3 Α.
- And the search of Wong and his property, the Secret 4 0.
- Service also found checks made out to other people, correct? 5
- you're having a hard time remembering, for example, in Wong's 6
- car, the Secret Service found a cashier's check for \$72,000? 7
- Yes, we did. Α. 8
- And that check was from Bank of the West? 9 0.
- 10 I think that was the Good Luck Trading.
- Exactly. So that check was made payable to the Good Luck 11 0.
- Trading LLC? 12
- 13 Α. Right.
- And the memo on the account said "checking account 14 0.
- closure"? 15
- That's correct. 16 Α.
- And that memo indicates it's a check sent by the bank to 17 Q.
- the accountholder after the account has been closed, correct? 18
- 19 A. Yes, that's right.
- 20 Q. It was supposed to be sent to the accountholder, correct?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- A check, when a bank account has been closed, is sent --22 Q.
- 23 is supposed to be sent to the accountholder, correct?
- 24 Α. Yeah. The bank is going to send a check to whatever
- address is on the account, so the address on the account would 25

-Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup

- have been that -- presumably, would have been the 2220 Falling 1
- Leaf. 2
- And just to be clear, Joseph Wong is not the 3 Q.
- accountholder for Good Luck Trading LLC? 4
- Α. He's not. 5
- He had not registered the Good Luck Trading business, 6
- 7 correct?
- Α. No. 8
- But your investigation showed that Good Luck Trading's 9 Q.
- account address was the address where Wong resided? 10
- I believe so, yes. 11 Α.
- And Wong was in possession of Good Luck Trading's \$72,000 12
- check? 13
- 14 Α. Correct, yes.
- So after this search, Wong was questioned by the Secret 15
- Service? 16
- That's right. 17 Α.
- And he was released? 18 0.
- That's right. 19 Α.
- 20 Now, on the very same day that the Secret Service Q.
- conducted the search of Wong, they also searched Hailong and his 21
- residence, correct? 22
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Q. Those two searches happened on the exact same day?
- That's correct. 25 Α.

- 1 Q. And that was coordinated?
- 2 A. That's right.
- Q. You were present at the search of Hailong's residence,
- 4 correct?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And in that search, you did not find any identification
- 7 documents in other people's names?
- 8 **A.** No.
- 9 Q. You did not find any bank cards in other people's names?
- 10 **A.** Nope.
- 11 **Q.** You did not find any bank account documents in other
- 12 | people's names?
- 13 **A.** No.
- 14 Q. You did not find any checking accounts made out to -- I'm
- 15 sorry -- you did not find any checks made out to other people?
- 16 **A.** No.
- 17 Q. You did not find an assault rifle?
- 18 **A.** No.
- 19 Q. Didn't find a pistol?
- 20 **A.** No.
- 21 Q. Didn't find any suspected narcotics at Hailong's
- 22 residence?
- 23 **A.** No.
- Q. And after the search, Hailong agreed to speak with you?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

--Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup

- 1 Q. And he signed a Miranda waiver?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. And he spoke with you and Agent Shi at length?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And during that interview, Agent Shi told you that
- 6 Hailong said he had been scammed?
- 7 A. Can you refresh -- I don't recall the details of that
- 8 interview.
- 9 Q. Passing up page 63 of the transcript, just look up when
- 10 your recollection is refreshed.
- 11 **A.** This is the transcript? Which -- I'm sorry, which line?
- 12 Q. I apologize. I think that my colleague just passed that
- over, and I forgot to take note of the line before passing it to
- 14 you. Take a look at whatever you need to refresh your
- recollection, but I believe it to be 730.
- 16 A. Yes. He said, "I felt like they were scamming me."
- 17 Q. And, now, at the end of this conversation -- I'm sorry,
- 18 you can pass that back up.
- 19 At the end of the conversation with you and Agent Shi,
- 20 | Hailong was arrested?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. And after the searches of Wong and Hailong's residence,
- 23 Hailong was in federal custody?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And Wong was free?

Saunders - Cross - Wenstrup

- Wong was free, and then we later indicted him. 1 Α.
- So the search of Wong's residence changed your belief 2
- about the way this entire scheme worked? 3
- Yes, it did. Α. 4
- So after the search, you believed that Wong directed 5
- Hailong and others to incorporate businesses for the sole 6
- purposes -- sorry -- for the sole purpose of opening business 7
- accounts? 8
- Can you repeat that again? Sorry. That was a long one. 9 Α.
- Sure. After the search, you believed that Wong directed 10
- Zhu and others to incorporate businesses for the sole purpose of 11
- opening business accounts? 12
- Correct, yes. 13 Α.
- You believed that Wong directed individuals, including 14
- Hailong, to incorporate businesses with names that related to 15
- the name of the person opening the accounts? 16
- Yes, that's correct. 17 Α.
- Probably to make it easier to remember, right? 18 0.
- I think so too. 19 Α.
- 20 Q. And so that's why we've got Hailong businesses were
- called Sea Dragon? 21
- 22 A. Yep.
- So after the search, you believe that Wong directed 23
- 24 Hailong to open the Sea Dragon accounts?
- Yes, I do. 25 Α.

- And you believe that Wong directed and supervised the 1 Q.
- opening and control of Hailong's accounts? 2
- His business accounts, yes. 3 Α.
- After the search, you believe that Wong also directed and 4 0.
- supervised the opening and control of Good Luck Trading 5
- accounts? 6
- I do. 7 Α.
- And after the search, you believe that Wong used devices 8 Q.
- to conduct bank activities in the names of other people? 9
- Yes, he did. 10
- You believe that Wong used devices to conduct bank 11
- activities in the name of Hailong? 12
- Yes, I do. 13 Α.
- You believe that Wong used devices to direct wire 14
- transfers in the name of Hailong? 15
- Yes. It does appear that he might have executed wires 16 Α.
- from that device as well. 17
- You believe that Wong used devices to direct wire 18
- transfers in Hailong's name? 19
- 20 Α. From Hailong's accounts, yes.
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 Α. Yes.
- And after the search, you believe that Wong had posed as 23
- 24 Hailong and others when calling the banks about their bank
- accounts? 25

```
1
     Α.
           He did do that, yes.
              MR. WENSTRUP: No further questions.
2
              THE COURT: Thank you.
3
              Any redirect?
4
              MS. BEDELL: Court's indulgence.
5
               (Whereupon, there was a brief pause in the
6
7
     proceedings.)
              MS. BEDELL: No redirect, Your Honor.
8
              THE COURT: Very good.
9
              Special Agent, you may step down.
10
              THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, thank you very much
11
     for your willingness to last this long. Again, as I said, we
12
     appreciate it, I know counsel appreciate it, but this provides us
13
     with a good opportunity to stop for lunch. Let's take a slightly
14
     longer lunch to give you the chance to relax. I know you want to
15
     get as much done as you can, and so we will, I can assure you,
16
     use the time productively while you are eating. So let us resume
17
     -- it's almost 1:30 -- plan on starting at 2:45. If you come
18
     back five minutes early and we're ready to go, we'll bring you
19
20
     in, but take the time that you want, and that way you can plan on
     2:45. It's very important, even at this point in the litigation,
21
22
     to follow all of my instructions. Do not discuss the case; do
23
     not form any opinions; wait until the point at which you are
24
     directed to deliberate; and do not undertake any investigation,
     or an effort to look into the matter any further.
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Proceedings
         So with that, take your break. We'll resume at 2:45,
and you're free to go.
         (Jury out at 1:27 p.m.)
         THE COURT: Is the government prepared to rest at this
time subject to a final reconciliation of the exhibits, to make
sure that all exhibits are agreed upon that have been entered to
so that we don't have any challenges with that?
         MS. BEDELL: Yes, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: We can do this one of a couple of different
ways.
         Does the defense wish to address the Court?
         MR. KAMENS: I do, Your Honor, and I'm happy to do so
     I'm happy to let the witness stand down from the witness
stand.
         THE COURT: Yes, you may.
         (Whereupon, the witness exits the stand.)
                      I'm also happy to submit to the Court a
         MR. KAMENS:
written motion that I can hand to the government and give them
some time to prepare a response and come back for argument, if
the Court would prefer to do it that way. While I'm prepared to
present our Rule 29 argument now --
         THE COURT: Let me ask you in a hypothetical sense:
```

the Rule 29 is not successful, does the defense intend to present a defense case, or will we be moving to jury instructions and closing arguments?

Proceedings

MR. KAMENS: We anticipate that we would move to jury instructions.

THE COURT: Why don't we do this: Certainly, I would rather have the opportunity to look at whatever you've written in writing. I have a feeling that some of it will be similar to things that are already in the record. I don't expect the government to have responded or for us to wait on the jury. We need to both be efficient and deliberate, but also move things forward.

MR. KAMENS: Understood.

THE COURT: I also want to address jury instructions and the charging conference, but everyone needs a little bit of a break, so go ahead and give a copy of your written submission to the government. You can hand one up. We will take a look at it. We will endeavor to put together draft instructions for your review. Again, it requires you to do multiple things all at the same time, and we will -- let's try and come back here a little bit after 2:00, maybe 2:10. I mean, I understand everyone's got to take a little rest and get something to eat, but I want us to have enough time so we can get through the Rule 29 and jury instructions, if appropriate, and be ready to go. My intention would be, at 2:45 when they come back, if we can go straight to jury instructions -- again, if that's what transpires -- that then maybe we'd take a small break and be prepared to move to closing arguments. So just thinking about the schedule, but

```
Proceedings
```

```
we'll take everything one step at the same time and recess court
1
     until 2:10.
2
              Court will be in recess.
3
               (Whereupon, a recess in the proceedings occurred from
4
     1:30 p.m. until 2:23 p.m.)
5
              THE COURT: Were the parties able to review the
6
7
     exhibits, and is everybody on the same page?
              MS. BEDELL: Yes, Your Honor.
8
              MR. KAMENS: I believe we are.
9
              THE COURT: Does the government rest at this time?
10
              MS. BEDELL: I'm sorry, Your Honor?
11
              THE COURT: Does the government rest at this time?
12
13
              MS. BEDELL: Yes.
              THE COURT: Does the defendant have a motion?
14
              MR. KAMENS: We do, Your Honor. I've submitted a
15
     written motion, and I would like to speak briefly on it.
16
              Rule 29 requires the Court to enter a judgment of
17
     acquittal if the evidence would not permit a rational jury to
18
     find guilt as to every element of the charged offense beyond a
19
20
     reasonable doubt. By definition, if the evidence would permit
     only a preponderance of the evidence with respect to all of the
21
22
     elements, that is not a sufficient basis upon which to rule for
23
     the government on a Rule 29.
24
              I would like to speak about three elements that the
     government has to prove beyond a reasonable doubt: First,
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

knowledge of the object fraud; second, agreement to commit the same crime as coconspirators; and, third, the object proved must satisfy the elements of a scheme to commit bank fraud.

With respect to knowledge of the fraud scheme, there is no evidence in this trial that Mr. Hailong Zhu had any contact with the fraudulent platforms that were used to accept investments from victims. He had no communications with victims. He had no communications about contacts with victims with other conspirators. There are no emails of bank information to be shared with victims from him. There's no admission that he knew where the money came from. This is not a money laundering case in which willful blindness as to the unlawfulness of proceeds is a sufficient basis to find that the defendant has engaged in that prohibited conduct. This is a conspiracy to commit bank fraud in which the government must prove not only that Mr. Zhu knew about the object fraud, but that he agreed to participate in it. this case, there is simply no evidence that Mr. Hailong Zhu knew anything about the scheme to obtain money from the victims. that reason, the Court should grant the Rule 29.

A similar point is with respect to the requirement that the government prove that Hailong Zhu agreed to commit the same crime as his coconspirators. It is certainly true that the Court must consider the evidence at this stage on this motion in the light most favorable to the government. In considering the facts in that respect, the Court has certainly heard evidence that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Zhu was involved in the opening of bank accounts based on companies that, in fact, had no business; that large amounts of money were deposited in those accounts; and that he made substantial withdrawals and helped to transfer that money, but none of that shows that he agreed to the fraud scheme engaged in by the individuals who stole money from victims. It is not enough for Hailong to agree to help them commit some crime. other words, it is not enough if he believed he was committing money laundering if the others have agreed or are trying to commit another crime, the charged crime, which is conspiracy to commit bank fraud. They must show that Hailong agreed to that same conspiracy to commit bank fraud, and there is nothing in this trial to show that he was a partner in that scheme to steal money from victims. The last --

THE COURT: I'm having trouble following that final -maybe it's the way you're saying it, but the conspiracy is not to steal money from victims. That would be wire fraud. conspiracy is to commit bank fraud, to obtain the property of banks; and we've had tons of briefing on this issue, and I'll hear from the government in a second, but I'm a little confused with your language.

MR. KAMENS: Let me be more clear with respect to what I'm specifically referring to, and that is the line of cases, starting with Rosenblatt from the Second Circuit in which one defendant engaged in fraud and obtained some fraudulent checks,

and then obtained the help of his rabbi, Rosenblatt, to launder the proceeds of that fraud, but the rabbi who laundered the funds didn't know anything about the actual fraud that had produced the money. They were both charged with the conspiracy to defraud the United States.

THE COURT: Well, in that case, the rabbi thought that it was about evading taxes --

MR. KAMENS: Correct.

THE COURT: -- and so there was a question about what the object of the conspiracy was.

MR. KAMENS: Correct.

THE COURT: So, here, there aren't multiple objects.

The government has elected to pursue solely bank fraud, so the question is, is there sufficient evidence in the record, taken in the light most favorable to the government, that there was an agreement between the defendant and others to commit the crime of bank fraud, to obtain bank property or property under the control and custody of the bank? So how do you respond to that argument?

MR. KAMENS: That argument is based on the fact that we have a difference of -- we differ on what bank fraud is, that is, that bank fraud is not money laundering. It is not engaging in bank transactions with the proceeds of criminal conduct. It is not engaging in fraud that also happens to involve a bank account. It is lying to a bank to get the bank to release money that is the bank's property or the property of another person's

```
It is not what Mr. Zhu did, which is open up bank
1
     accounts, and the proceeds of anterior criminal conduct was
2
     funneled through those accounts. That is not bank fraud.
3
              THE COURT: Well, it doesn't matter what Mr. Zhu did.
4
     It matters what the object of the conspiracy was --
5
              MR. KAMENS: Absolutely.
6
              THE COURT: -- what the coconspirators did; and what
7
     the coconspirators did would be attributable to Mr. Zhu even if
8
     he didn't do it himself or even if he wasn't directly aware of
9
     it.
10
              MR. KAMENS: The precondition for attributing the
11
     conduct of coconspirators to Mr. Zhu is his knowledge and
12
     agreement to what they're doing; that is, simply because Mr. Zhu
13
     agrees to help them engage in money laundering is not the same
14
     thing as conspiring with them to commit bank fraud. So the point
15
     of the argument is that there's nothing in this trial, no
16
     evidence, to show that Mr. Zhu ever knew the source of the funds
17
     going into the accounts. That is a necessary precondition to
18
     finding him guilty of conspiring to commit bank fraud.
19
20
              Does the Court follow my argument, or am I not being
     clear enough?
21
22
              THE COURT: No, I follow your argument.
23
              MR. KAMENS:
                           The last argument is that this is not a
24
     bank fraud scheme that the government has proved. The simple
     test for bank fraud with respect to the victims that testified in
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this case is, Did Marisol Chavez and did Kwadwo Danso-Fordjour authorize the wires that they sent? If so, that's not bank fraud. Bank fraud does not encompass frauds to have people write checks or wire money or otherwise send monies to scammers. can't prevent people from doing foolish things with their money. The fact that these individuals decided, even if they were deceived by scammers, to send their own money, to wire their money, that is not bank fraud. Bank fraud requires a lie to the bank that causes the bank to release the money, and it is different than lying to a victim to get the victim to send money to the scammer. It's a very straightforward and simple argument, and it's been accepted by a number of courts. What the government has here -- essentially, everything that the government has presented -- is a fraud scheme that does not constitute bank fraud. The one thing they pointed to is efforts to get victims to take out personal loans, and that also, in this case, is not evidence of bank fraud. Loan proceeds, once they're disbursed to the borrower are no longer property of the bank. And if the fraudster obtains money that has been disbursed to the borrower by the bank pursuant to a personal loan, that, again, is not bank fraud because they are not stealing bank property. The language here is difficult to parse because when

The language here is difficult to parse because when we're talking about bank property, it's easy to think it's just money in a bank account, that that's bank property; but the thing is it doesn't encompass -- that is, specifically, bank fraud does

not encompass -- anything involving a bank account that is connected to a crime. It requires, specifically, lies to a bank to get the bank to release its money or someone else's money; like, for example, a mortgage loan application that has a lie. That is material. That would be something that would cause the bank to give its own money based on a lie. That is bank fraud.

Another clear example -- it's the one talked about by Scott Golladay -- is a \$100,000 fraudulent check. The bank doesn't know it's fraudulent; deposits it into a customer's account; and within one business day, because of the UCC, the bank has to give that money or make it available for withdrawal to the customer. The customer takes out the money, \$100,000, and the bank learns three days later the check is fraudulent. The customer no longer has the money. The bank has to write off that loss. That is bank fraud. It's a lie in the form of that fraudulent check to the bank to get the bank to release funds.

What we have here is a scheme to get individuals to go on cryptocurrency spoofed platforms and then decide to wire their own money to the scammers. That is not bank fraud. That is specifically what Loughrin, the Supreme Court case, says is not bank fraud, a scammer who gets a valid check or a valid form of payment from the victim; and that's why it's that simple test I propose here: Is the money that was provided by Marisol Chavez and Kwadwo Danso-Fordjour, are those valid wires? And they are because they were authorized by those individuals. It is fraud;

there's no doubt about that; it's just not bank fraud.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. BEDELL: Your Honor, the standard here is whether, after reviewing the evidence in the light most favorable to the prosecution, any rational trier of fact could have found the essential elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt. The government gets the benefit of all reasonable inferences from the facts proven to those sought to be established, and the jury, not the reviewing court, weighs the credibility of the evidence and resolves any conflict of the evidence presented.

So in this case, Your Honor, I think there is ample evidence to support a conviction for bank fraud -- a conspiracy to commit bank fraud here.

I would like to start with what the defendant agreed to. And so -- and I do want to be clear: We are not saying that his lies in opening accounts constitutes bank fraud in and of themselves, but they certainly demonstrate a willingness to lie to banks, and that is a critical part of the intent that needs to be proven.

Additionally, the defendant himself actually did engage in acts of bank fraud by directly lying to banks in order to obtain money from them. So a very clear example is the transaction that happened on December 10th when he attempted to withdraw just short of \$75,000 from his personal Bank of America account that had been -- the transfer from Robert Kessler on

December 9th. And in this transaction, when he attempted to withdraw the money, he told the bank that this was money that he had received from a remodeling contract. And we heard evidence that, in fact, Mr. Kessler lived in Alaska and he had reported being a victim; he submitted a hold harmless request; that money was returned to him. So those were very clearly not remodeling funds.

THE COURT: But how are they the funds of the bank? In other words --

MS. BEDELL: So, Your Honor --

THE COURT: You're absolutely right that the Court will take, and must take, the facts in the light most favorable to the government, but explain to me what facts there support a false statement to the bank to obtain the bank's property.

MS. BEDELL: So, Your Honor, the statute requires that you can either be trying to obtain the property of the bank or property in the custody of the bank. So as an initial matter, this falls most easily under property in the custody of the bank.

THE COURT: But is there any case that you've shown me that supports that theory? Because that theory, it strikes me, would mean that all customers' funds in the bank are under the custody of the bank. That's the whole nature of having your money in the bank, right? You don't have it physically; the bank has it, but it's your money. So if the bank fraud statute were intended to cover that, it would mean all accountholders' funds

would be subject to bank fraud if somebody was trying to get the accountholders' money. But isn't that the essence of all the other fraud statutes you could have brought, including wire fraud, which is trying to get a customer's money, not the bank's money?

MS. BEDELL: No, Your Honor. This is very much bank fraud because it is making misrepresentations to the bank in order to get money out of the bank's custody. And so it has to be material. So I think maybe the point you're getting at is if I, like, you know -- I think Mr. Kamens had made an example of I say it's for my bills, but, really, it's my golf tee time. The bank is not going to care about that. But we heard ample evidence about why this was a material misrepresentation and how banks are trying to determine whether these withdrawals are legitimate, and using this information for that purpose --

THE COURT: You mean because of the anti-money laundering laws and bank secrecy laws, that they have an obligation to look into why certain money is coming and going? Is that what you're talking about?

MS. BEDELL: It's not just their obligation, but the fact that they do it, Your Honor, and that they are evaluating this information and using it in making decisions about whether to release the money.

THE COURT: But it's not their money, is it?

MS. BEDELL: Your Honor, it does not have to be their

money, but I will put a pin in that and say *U.S. v. Shaw* does say that banks have an interest in accounts that -- in funds in an account. They do have a property interest there.

And the other thing, Your Honor, is that it's also not -- I think this is the other point, is that you had said: It is my money I'm trying to get out of the account. And maybe in some instances if you're trying to withdraw from your own account, but the fact is that this wasn't Mr. Zhu's money. It was sent to him under fraudulent pretext. And the law is also very clear that you don't have an unfettered right to money that you've gotten through fraud and theft. So the idea that the UCC applies and that he can just pull this money out because it's in his bank account, that's, first of all, not a reflection of the reality. We saw numerous instances where the defendant and his coconspirators were not able to access the money that was in their bank accounts, and that's because they don't have an absolute right to it.

THE COURT: All right. I guess I'm focused on trying to understand what the government's theory is. Is it that because the banks have the ability to freeze, either restrict or suspend and then close an account, that that gave them control over the accounts; and, therefore, any lies connected with trying to get that money released constitute bank fraud?

MS. BEDELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that your theory?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BEDELL: It constitutes efforts in this case to obtain the funds from the custody of the bank, and those were lies directly made to the bank here. So we're not even dealing with the situation of it going through an intermediate person; and that's where the cases are much more hesitant and are trying to -- you know, my fake purse that I think is real, that's not bank fraud because there's no direct con- -- there's no direct relationship between the misrepresentation and the bank. But, here, this is -- the plain text of the statute is that it can be money in the custody of the bank, and it has to be misrepresentations. And, again, I think Mr. Kamens and I disagree about whether the misrepresentations have to go to the bank, but in this case, they absolutely have. And so that is 100 percent classic bank fraud. And I think Mr. Kamens has argued that because it's already in his bank account, you're not obtaining it, but the reality is very different. As we said, we saw numerous instances where they were not able to get the money out of the account. And to think that the goal of the conspiracy was to say, Let's just get the money into Hailong Zhu's account, mission accomplished, we're done here, that was clearly not the goal or the purpose of the conspiracy. The goal was to continue moving it on. And so that's why we saw all of those transfers sending the money overseas, and it's why we saw the coconspirators -- Mr. Wong, Small 7, Nikki -- going to great lengths to try to get this money out of these accounts after

they've been restricted and continue moving them on. This was a
massive focus of the conspiracy, and it involved
misrepresentations by Mr. Zhu and his conspirators at nearly
every step, and that's why it constitutes bank fraud, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Are there any other cases other than *United States v. Shaw* that address this issue of the custody and control in the government's theory?

MS. BEDELL: I'm sure. I will be honest, Your Honor, I had not really thought -- it's the plain text of the statute, so that it does say in the statute that it is efforts to obtain money from the custody of a bank. So I have not looked for specific case law that would support that point because I hadn't understood Mr. Kamens to be arguing that. So I think I would have to go do additional research for that specific -- I'm certainly not saying there aren't cases. I just don't necessarily have them at my fingertips right now. Frankly, some of the cases that we have looked at and discussed probably do cover this, and I would just need a minute to -- or more than a minute -- but I would need to go through that. That's just not something that I had been totally aware was at issue given that it is the plain text of the statute.

THE COURT: And tell me again how you distinguish between that theory of custody and control and bank fraud then applying to every effort to make a false statement to obtain the money that's already in accountholders' accounts.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Proceedings

MS. BEDELL: Well, Your Honor, it seems like you're concerned about situations where just an ordinary bank customer is trying to get money out, and so I'm struggling to understand exactly what this hypothetical looks like, but I do keep coming back to materiality here because it is very difficult for me to imagine what the sort of general misrepresentation that, you know, me as a law-abiding citizen is going to make to my bank in trying to get money out. So, you know, I would -- like an ATM transaction is not necessarily automatically bank fraud if it doesn't involve a misrepresentation; and then, again, it has to be a material misrepresentation. So that, to me, it strikes me as a limiting factor, is that I'm not sort of seeing cases where we are charging or considering or trying to protect against someone paying their tea time rather than paying their bills or something like that. I don't think that that would fall into the category of material.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MS. BEDELL: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to address the loan aspect of the conspiracy, but if that's something that -- if that's helpful, because we do believe -- actually, we do believe both the efforts to obtain loans absolutely constitute bank fraud; and, there, we do see misrepresentations going to the We looked at Exhibit 1-4 where Mr. Danso-Fordjour represented that he was not taking out the loan for the purpose of investment, which was exactly what he believed he was taking

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Proceedings

out the loan for. And then, also, this is very -- as an identical case to Chittenden, wherein, there, there was no misrepresentation that went directly to the bank, but, nonetheless, the misrepresentations induced the victims -- excuse me -- induced the intermediary to take out a loan that was immediately transferred, and that was a direct and close connection. And that's exactly what happened here. I mean, there's absolutely no basis for any of these people taking out a loan other than being induced.

The other part of that -- and Mr. Kamens has tried to present this as a third conspiracy -- but the efforts to get the money from the victims that wasn't bank loans. First of all, that's an integral part of this conspiracy. It's not like there's any victim, whether we were allowed to put their testimony on or not, that out of nowhere, someone said, You should take out a loan. This is all part of the same effort. But, in addition, those non-loan transfers that they made also frequently involved misrepresentations. We heard Mr. Danso-Fordjour testify that he invented reasons for sending, in the "purpose"; and we saw in Exhibit 3-3, which was a text between Marisol and Daniel, You don't need to worry that the bank will refuse you because you can tell the bank that it is your friend. Basically, you don't have to tell the bank the real purpose of the transfer; tell them something else and the transaction will go through. And we saw numerous transactions

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that were labeled "construction," home goods -- that's not an exact one -- but it was construction, payment for supplies, things that were very clearly not the actual purpose of these payments. And we heard testimony from Mr. Campbell and Mr. Golladay that these representations are material. So we absolutely believe that that is also -- and we think the evidence supports -- that that is also bank fraud.

And then to the final point that -- maybe not the final, but one other point that Mr. Kamens made here is those cases -- and I think you picked up on this, Your Honor -- but the Rosenblatt cases have found that you have to have agreed to commit the same offense; and everyone here is committing bank fraud, and this is an integrated and coordinated conspiracy to get the money out of victims and the banks into the intermediary accounts and overseas. And everyone at all the different stages -- they may be committing other crimes, too, which happens frequently -- but they are definitely committing bank fraud. the law is very clear that once Mr. Zhu has agreed to commit bank fraud, he does not have to know the details of the scheme; he does not have to know every participant; he does not have to know every act. And, in fact, in *United States v. Mora*, the Fourth Circuit specifically says he does not need to know the organization of the scheme.

In Rosenblatt -- and there's a whole line of cases -those are all instances where, as you pointed out, one person was

committing tax evasion, in his mind, and the other was committing some sort of fraud. But, here, everyone has agreed to commit bank fraud. So that is what we have to prove, and that is what the evidence supports here, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MS. BEDELL: Not unless there are other questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. KAMENS: May I respond briefly?

THE COURT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KAMENS: Your Honor, banks put in place provisions to protect their customers. So if I go to a bank and say I'd like to withdraw my money, and I'm really intending to invest in cryptocurrency, the bank may say, That's not a good idea; we're not going to let you do that. But it's my money. If I say, then, Well, actually, I'm going to put it under my mattress, and they give me the money, I can do whatever I want with it. It is The fact that I'm invading those internal controls to my money. protect the customer is not bank fraud.

The government's theory that they've just announced is a theory that the bank fraud statute is a criminal banking statute; that drug dealers who deposit money in banks commit bank fraud when they withdraw money or move it and don't tell the bank that they're actually drug dealers. That is not bank fraud. government's argument also makes bank fraud never-ending.

have argued about this. We've cited that --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, how do you respond to the argument about the custody and control? There seems to be a fundamental difference over the view of what "custody and control" means. And so if a bank doesn't have a property interest, generally, in the money that its accountholders put there, but has certain obligations and can take certain actions when, for example, they think there's fraud going on or they think that they might end up being liable, so that they have to restrict an account or suspend it, does that not fall under the definition of bank fraud?

MR. KAMENS: It doesn't if the action is authorized by the accountholder. So banks have a property interest in the money that they have in their accounts, but that property interest is always subsumed to the interest of the accountholder, so that the two --

THE COURT: Well, in this case, the accountholder is alleged to have been perpetrating a fraud.

MR. KAMENS: Absolutely, but that comes down to whether people who engage in criminal conduct and deposit proceeds from criminal conduct in banks, whether that constitutes bank fraud, and it doesn't.

Let me explain the response in two ways: One, it comes down to, What is the occasion by which a person obtains someone else's property? Bank fraud is committed when you obtain the property of the victim. It is not when individuals engage in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

money laundering or transactions with the proceeds of that crime. That is not the occasion by which they obtain the money from the victim. Every wire fraud, bank fraud, mail fraud statute is about obtaining property from the victim; and this case, it has always seemed has been about the fraud that was perpetrated on these victims. But now the government has completely changed what they're saying, and they're saying, essentially, that the movement of those proceeds without telling the bank about what this was actually about constitutes bank fraud. And there's not a single case that supports that theory. We have argued about it. We've cited the Seventh Circuit case -- I think it's called Anderson -- which says that the reason you can't call this a fraud every time they move the money is because that would make the fraud never-ending as long as the defendants have that money and move it around.

The fraud occurs when it's stolen from the victim, not when they're moving money between accounts. And so, again, the Court's question to the government was very apt, and that is, Is there any case that supports the theory that the bank fraud statute completely subsumes money laundering, it encompasses depositing proceeds of a crime, and then trying to get that money to another account? There isn't a case that says that. Instead, what bank fraud or wire fraud or mail fraud is is stealing money from a victim. Everything that happens after the money is obtained from the victim is not the fraud itself. It may be

other crimes. It may well be money laundering, which is exactly 1 what the conduct that has been alleged in this case or presented, 2 the evidence, with respect to Mr. Zhu would establish in the 3 light most favorable to the government, but that is not bank 4 fraud. Otherwise, essentially, bank fraud is everything 5 involving the deposit of money from the criminal activity. 6 THE COURT: Do you wish to be heard? 7 MS. BEDELL: Briefly, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 MS. BEDELL: Again, bank fraud is everything if you 10 omit all the other elements. And, again, there's plenty of ways 11 to commit money laundering that don't involve bank fraud, but 12 13 when you are lying and making material misrepresentations to the bank, that is the critical defining element here that 14 distinguishes it from just trying to conceal your money. 15 And, again, I think we've debated Anderson extensively, 16 but Anderson stands for the fact -- the specific facts of that 17 case, to be clear, the money had been at rest for ten years. 18 Though she made another transfer that the court determined 19 20 completely unrelated to the fraud and the scheme that was at issue in that fraud, they concluded that transfer was not bank 21 22 fraud; and that seems entirely reasonable given the particular 23 facts of that case, but it is nothing to say about whether that

can never -- a transfer between accounts can never be bank fraud.

24

25

until after the jury has returned a verdict. I will put that on 1 the record. Thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 Well, these issues are not being raised for the first 4 They've been raised throughout this case, from the first 5 indictment, to the superseding indictment, to the motion to 6 dismiss, to the motion in limine, and now to the Rule 29. I 7 appreciate the efforts of counsel to educate the Court. I 8 appreciate the submission of the written motion for judgment of 9 acquittal. 10 I will say that it is a difficult position to be in 11 because the government doesn't have the opportunity to submit a 12 full written position; but as I said, there is plenty of evidence 13 and law in the record based on the submission of both sides. 14 I'm going to take a brief recess and then we will 15 reconvene. Court will be in recess. 16 (Whereupon, a recess in the proceedings occurred from 17 2:55 p.m. until 3:21 p.m.) 18 MR. KAMENS: Your Honor, can I make one small point 19 20 that I forgot to make? THE COURT: All right. 21 MR. KAMENS: This is in our written pleading, but with 22 respect to the government's custody and control theory, all of 23 the conduct -- the agreements, the misrepresentations they point 24

to -- happened in California. If that conduct, which is

25

equivalent to money laundering, is the basis for the government's custody and control bank fraud theory, it is just as subject to the dismissal the Court already entered in this case with respect to the money laundering charge.

> THE COURT: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's motion for judgment of acquittal pursuant to Rule 29, and the Court must determine whether, viewed in the light most favorable to the prosecution, there's substantial evidence to support a quilty verdict in this case. Substantial evidence is evidence that's sufficient for a reasonable juror to find proof beyond a reasonable doubt of each element of the charged offense.

The indictment, the superseding indictment, charges one count of conspiracy to commit bank fraud. It does not charge multiple-object conspiracy; it does not charge conspiracy to commit money laundering, or conspiracy to commit wire fraud. elements of conspiracy to commit bank fraud are as follows: Two or more persons agree to commit bank fraud; the defendant willfully joined the conspiracy with the intent to further its unlawful purpose. Bank fraud, which was the object of the alleged conspiracy, has three elements: First, that the defendant knowingly executed or attempted to execute a scheme or artifice to obtain any monies, funds, credits, assets, or other property owned by or under the custody or control of a financial institution by means of material false or fraudulent pretenses,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

representations, or promises; two, the defendant did so with the intent to defraud; and, three, the financial institution was then federally insured. Now, there's no dispute that the banks in this case that have been identified were federally insured; and, really, there's no dispute that there was a scheme to defraud. The cryptocurrency scheme was a wide-ranging and clear effort to obtain money through false pretenses. However, there is no evidence, even in the light most favorable to the government, that the defendant knew of the cryptocurrency scheme; that he knew of the websites or the platforms that were used; that he knew of Daniel or Rachel or the others who posed as friends or others who lured the victims of that scheme; or that he even knew the source of the money that came into his accounts. There's no evidence that Joseph Wong or Nikki or Little 7 ever explained or shared the purpose or means of the conspiracy to the defendant, that it was to defraud victims of their money. More specifically, there's no evidence that there was a meeting of the minds as to the object of the conspiracy that the defendant is charged with, even taking the evidence in the light most favorable to the government. There's simply nothing in the record that there was an agreement to defraud banks of their property or property under their custody and control.

Loughrin makes clear, as do many other cases, including the Davis case, that not every false statement or lie to a bank is bank fraud, and not every effort to get victims to part with

their money is bank fraud, even if a bank is involved. Here, there's no evidence that the money from the victims of the cryptocurrency scheme involved the bank's property or put the bank at risk in any way or implicated property under the control of a financial institution. The acts to restrict or suspend the accounts after the fraudulent scheme had obtained the funds cannot support a bank fraud theory. And, in fact, there's no evidence that Ms. Chavez, for example, ever got a loan. She used her own money and wired it as part of the fraud; and Mr. Danso-Fordjour, likewise, used his own money, for the most part, with the exception of the one loan that he sought from SoFi Bank, but his statements to the bank, even taking that in the light most favorable to the government, cannot support a theory of bank fraud here. They're simply too attenuated to be attributed to the defendant in this case.

Now, the Court has no doubt that the government has established many crimes were committed by the codefendants in this case. There's no doubt as well that the defendant here was taken advantage of in many ways by the codefendants, as recognized by the agents themselves. Whether the defendant is guilty of other crimes not charged in this indictment is not for the Court to say and not before the Court, but the Court finds that, pursuant to Rule 29, there's insufficient evidence to let this case go forward and go to the jury; and, accordingly, the motion for judgment of acquittal is granted. And I don't do that

lightly, and I appreciate the efforts that all counsel have devoted to this case, but there's simply no way for the Court to reach another decision, and so this matter is dismissed pursuant to Rule 29.

I will bring in the jury and advise them that the matter has been resolved and that they are discharged from further responsibilities in this case.

You may bring them in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Jury in at 3:28 p.m.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I want to thank you for your participation in this matter. I know that we have exceeded, by some time, the amount of time I told you we would have for lunch. The reason for that is that there were a number of legal issues that the parties needed to resolve, and as a result of those legal issues and a motion made by the defense, which the Court has granted, the case has been dismissed; and, accordingly, there will be no further requirement for you to deliberate on this matter.

I realize, in some ways, that may be disappointing because you've paid a lot of attention and your work was about to begin. I want to thank you for your attention to this matter. want to thank you on behalf of myself as well as the counsel.

These cases are always difficult, and the lawyers have worked very hard, and we cannot do this work and the courts cannot function without citizens being willing to take the time

Proceedings out of their day. And I know it wasn't voluntary, but you did it, and you clearly paid close attention to the matter, and the matter is now resolved. So you are released from further duty, and you may go at this time. Thank you again. (Jury out at 3:30 p.m.) THE COURT: Well, this matter is now resolved. The case is dismissed. The defendant is free to go at this time. Again, I want to emphasize that I appreciate the efforts that everyone has devoted to this case. Cases are never easy. There are complicated factual and legal issues that everybody has to contend with. The Court did what it had to do. The case is dismissed. You-all are free to go. Court will be in recess. (Whereupon, the proceedings concluded at 3:31 p.m.) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Diane Salters, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the stenographic proceedings in this matter.

22 /s/ Diane Salters 23

> Diane Salters, CSR, RCR, RPR Official Court Reporter

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21